DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

MATHEMATICAL MODELLING AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING

B1 Numerical Linear Algebra and Numerical Solution of Differential Equations

HILARY TERM 2017 FRIDAY, 13 JANUARY 2017, 9.30am to 11.30am

Candidates should submit answers to a maximum of four questions that include an answer to at least one question in each section.

Please start the answer to each question in a new booklet. All questions will carry equal marks.

Do not turn this page until you are told that you may do so

Section A: Numerical Solution of Differential Equations

1. Suppose that for t > 0, the function u(t) satisfies the differential equation

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t)), \qquad u(0) = 0.$$
 (1)

Suppose further that f is Lipschitz continuous.

(a) [2 marks] Consider the general Runge-Kutta method of order R, as given by the Butcher table:

Write this as a one-step method of the form

$$U_{n+1} = U_n + h\Phi(U_n, t_n; h),$$

where you should explicitly identify the function $\Phi(U_n, t_n; h)$.

(b) [13 marks] If $T = \max_n |T_n|$, where T_n is the truncation error at step n, and L_{Φ} is the Lipshitz constant for the function Φ , show that the global error of such a method satisfies

$$|e_n| \leqslant \frac{T}{L_\Phi} \left(\mathrm{e}^{L_\Phi t_n} - 1 \right).$$

[You may use without proof the facts that the associated function $\Phi(u,t;h)$ is Lipschitz continuous in the first argument provided that f is Lipschitz continuous, and that $1 + x \leq e^x$.]

(c) [5 marks] Consider (1) with

$$f(t,u) = u + t^{l-1},$$
(2)

where l > 0 is an integer. Let k_i , i = 1, ..., R, denote the values of the function evaluations in the Runge-Kutta method. Show that, for the first iterate of the Runge-Kutta method above, the k_i can be found by solving the matrix equation

$$(I - hB)\mathbf{k} = h^{l-1}A^{l-1}\mathbf{1},$$

where $A = \text{diag} \{a_1, \ldots, a_R\}$, $\mathbf{k} = [k_1, \ldots, k_R]^T$, B is as in the Butcher table in part (a), and $\mathbf{1} = [1, \ldots, 1]^T$.

(d) [5 marks] Using the result of the previous part show that, for h sufficiently small,

$$U_1 = h^l \mathbf{c}^T (I + hB + \dots + h^k B^k + \dots) A^{l-1} \mathbf{1}.$$

Use the fact that the analytic solution of (1) with the function (2) is $u(t) = \int_0^t e^{t-s} s^{l-1} ds$ to show that a necessary condition for the method to be of order p is that

$$\mathbf{c}^T B^k A^{l-1} \mathbf{1} = \frac{(l-1)!}{(l+k)!},$$

for a range of k which you should identify.

[You may use without proof the fact that $u^{(l-j)}(0) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, and $u^{(l+j)}(0) = (l-1)!$ for $j \geq 0$.] 2. Let $t_n = t_0 + nh$ for some h > 0. For $t \in [t_0, t_m]$, the function u(t) satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t)), \qquad u(t_0) = u_0.$$

Recall that a linear multi-step method, which takes the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j U_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j f(t_{n+j}, U_{n+j}),$$
(3)

computes values $U_n \approx u(t_n)$.

- (a) [4 marks] Define the *truncation error* of a linear multi-step method. What does it mean for the method (3) to be *consistent*?
- (b) [6 marks] Define $\rho(z)$ and $\sigma(z)$, the first and second characteristic polynomials of (3) respectively.

What does it mean for the method (3) to be *zero-stable*? Show that the multi-step method

$$U_{n+k} = U_{n+k-2} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j f(t_{n+j}, U_{n+j})$$
(4)

 $(k \ge 2)$ is zero-stable for all values of β_i , stating carefully any theorem that you use.

(c) [7 marks] Consider again the general method, (3). Show that, if u is sufficiently smooth, the truncation error T_n for (3) can be expressed as

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\sigma(1)h} \left[C_0 u(t_n) + C_1 u'(t_n)h + \dots + C_p u^{(p)}(t_n)h^p + \dots \right],$$

where $C_0 = \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j$ and $C_q = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{j^q}{q!} \alpha_j - \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{j^{q-1}}{(q-1)!} \beta_j$ for $q \ge 1$.

(d) [8 marks] Show that in order to have a consistent method, we must have that

$$\rho(1) = 0 \text{ and } \rho'(1) = \sigma(1).$$

Show further that the scheme (3) is of order $p \ge 1$ if and only if there exists a non-zero constant K such that

$$\rho(z) - \sigma(z) \log z = K(z-1)^{p+1} + \mathcal{O}(|z-1|^{p+2}).$$

3. (a) [6 marks] Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant with $Re(\lambda) < 0$. By considering directly the test problem

$$y' = \lambda y \tag{5}$$

where y is a function of t defined for $t > t_0$, derive regions of absolute stability for

- (i) Explicit Euler's method, and
- (ii) Implicit Euler's method.
- (b) [6 marks] Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix with entries that are constant with respect to time. Show that the system of differential equations

$$\mathbf{y}' = K\mathbf{y} \tag{6}$$

can be written as a series of decoupled scalar differential equations of the form

$$z_i' = \lambda_i z_i,$$

for some functions $z_i(t)$ and scalars λ_i , which you should identify.

Using this result, derive a condition for absolute stability of both the explicit and implicit versions of Euler's method when applied to (6).

(c) [5 marks] Let u(x,t) be a function defined for $x \in [-1,1]$, $t \ge 0$, which satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

with boundary conditions u(-1,t) = a, u(1,t) = b, and initial condition $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$. Let N be a positive integer, and consider a uniform mesh $x_k = kh$, where h = 1/N and $k = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm N$. Let U(t) be the vector where $U_i(t) \approx u(x_i, t)$ is the approximation obtained by using central differences in the spatial dimension only. Show that U(t) satisfies the system of differential equations

$$U' = AU + \mathbf{f} \tag{7}$$

where the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(2N-1) \times (2N-1)}$ and vector $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N-1}$ should be identified.

(d) [8 marks] Show that the eigenvectors of the matrix A take the form \mathbf{w}^p , the *j*th component of which is given by $\mathbf{w}_j^p = \sin(jp\pi h)$, and determine the corresponding eigenvalues. Show that an implicit Euler scheme applied to (7) is unconditionally stable. Derive a condition on the size of the time step required for an explicit Euler scheme applied to (7) to be absolutely stable.

[You may use without proof the fact that $\sin(A \pm B) = \sin(A)\cos(B) \pm \cos(A)\sin(B)$]

4. Let u(x,t) be a function that satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - a(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x},\tag{8}$$

with boundary conditions u(-1,t) = u(1,t) = 0, initial condition $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$, where u_0 and a are continuous real-valued functions on [-1,1].

Let N be a given integer, and consider a uniform mesh $x_j = j\Delta x$, where $\Delta x = 1/N$ and $j = 0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm N$, and $t_n = n\Delta t$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Let $U_j^n \approx u(x_j, t_n)$ be an approximation obtained via the scheme

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} - a_j \frac{U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x},$$

where $a_j = a(x_j)$.

(a) [8 marks] Consider the case where a(x) = 0. By using a semi-discrete Fourier transform, show that this scheme is stable provided that Δt/(Δx)² ≤ 1/2.
 [You may use Parseval's identity:

$$||U^n||_{\ell_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} ||\hat{U}^n||_{L_2}^2.$$

(without proof).]

- (b) [9 marks] Now consider the case where a(x) is a continuous function. Define the truncation error of the scheme. If $\partial^2 u/\partial t^2$, $\partial^3 u/\partial x^3$ and $\partial^4 u/\partial x^4$ exist and are bounded in the domain, show that $|T_n| \leq C(\Delta t + \Delta x^2)$, where C is a constant independent of Δt and Δx .
- (c) [8 marks] Show that the global error vanishes as $\Delta x \to 0$, $\Delta t \to 0$ provided that $\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2}\Delta x^2$, and $\Delta x \leq \lambda$, where λ is a constant you should identify.

Section B: Numerical Linear Algebra

5. (a) [10 marks] What is an orthogonal matrix? Show that for any vector, x, $||Qx||_2 = ||x||_2$ when Q is an orthogonal matrix. Prove also that $||QAP||_2 = ||A||_2$ when Q and P are orthogonal.

Explain what a QR factorisation is and, briefly, how it might be computed for an $n \times n$ upper Hessenberg matrix with just n - 1 Givens rotations.

(b) [4 marks] Explain how a QR factorisation can be employed in the solution of a linear least squares problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - b\|_2$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has rank n and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with m > n.

- (c) [5 marks] Define the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. [You do not need to prove that the SVD exists, but you may assume that it does for the rest of this question.] How might an SVD be employed in the solution of a linear least squares problem?
- (d) [6 marks] Prove that for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, there exists an orthogonal matrix, Q, and a real symmetric and positive semi-definite matrix, H, such that A = QH. Further prove that if P is any orthogonal matrix and $||A||_2 \ge 2$, then

$$||A - P||_2 \ge ||A - Q||_2$$

- 6. (a) [6 marks] What is a simple iteration for the solution of a (square) linear system of equations, Ax = b with starting vector x_0 ? What is the associated iteration matrix? If the iteration matrix is diagonalisable, state and prove a necessary and sufficient condition for the simple iteration to generate a sequence of vectors which converge to x. [For the remainder of this question, you may assume that the assumption of diagonalisability is not necessary for this result to hold.]
 - (b) [10 marks] What is (i) the Jacobi iteration, (ii) the relaxed Jacobi iteration with relaxation parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \theta \leq 1$?

For any square matrix, B, let

 $\rho = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } B\}.$

Show that for the relaxed Jacobi iteration matrix, the value of ρ cannot be less than the value of ρ for the Jacobi iteration matrix when Jacobi iteration converges. Are there any circumstances in which the relaxed Jacobi iteration converges, but the Jacobi iteration does not?

State briefly in words a context in which the relaxed Jacobi iteration might be preferable to the Jacobi iteration.

(c) [9 marks] Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \ddots & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+2) \times (n+2)}.$$

Show that

$$v_r = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{0r\pi}{n+1} \\ \cos \frac{1r\pi}{n+1} \\ \cos \frac{2r\pi}{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \cos \frac{(n+1)r\pi}{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a right eigenvector of A for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., n + 1. Further show that the relaxed Jacobi iteration matrix for A has eigenvalues all lying in the real interval $[1 - 2\theta, 1]$. Deduce that for $0 < \theta < 1$, the relaxed Jacobi iteration for A will generate a sequence of vectors which will converge to a solution, x, whenever $x - x_0$ has no component in the direction of v_0 .