

---

**Degree Master of Science in Mathematical Modelling and Scientific Computing**

**Numerical Solution of Differential Equations & Numerical Linear Algebra**

**Thursday, 11th January 2007, 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.**

*Candidates may attempt as many questions as they wish. The best four solutions will count.*

*Solutions to questions 1–4 and 5–6 should be handed in separately.*

---

Please start the answer to each question on a new page.

All questions will carry equal marks.

**Do not turn over until told that you may do so.**

# Numerical Solution of Differential Equations

## Question 1

Consider the initial-value problem  $y' = f(x, y)$ ,  $y(0) = y_0$ , where  $f$  is a smooth function of its arguments and  $y_0$  is a given real number. Suppose that  $h > 0$ ,  $x_n = nh$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and let  $y_n$  be an approximation to  $y(x_n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , defined successively by the one-step method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \{c_1 f(x_n, y_n) + c_2 f(x_n + ah, y_n + ahf(x_n, y_n))\}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (\star)$$

where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $a$  are parameters.

(a) Apply the method  $(\star)$  to the initial-value problem  $y' = \lambda y$ ,  $y(0) = y_0$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is a real number and  $y_0 > 0$ .

(i) Show that

$$y_n = (1 + (c_1 + c_2)\lambda h + (c_2 a)(\lambda h)^2)^n y_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

(ii) Show that if  $c_1 + c_2 = 1$  and  $c_2 a = \frac{1}{2}$  then

$$|y(x_n) - y_n| \leq y_0 n e^{\lambda x_{n-1}} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (\lambda h)^k.$$

Hence deduce that

$$|y(x_n) - y_n| \leq \frac{y_0}{3!} (x_n e^{\lambda x_n} \lambda^3) h^2.$$

(iii) Show, further, that:

- if  $c_1 + c_2 \neq 1$  then the method is not consistent;
- if  $c_1 + c_2 = 1$  and  $c_2 a \neq \frac{1}{2}$  then there is no  $r > 1$  such that  $|y(x_n) - y_n| = \mathcal{O}(h^r)$ .

[You may use without proof that if  $\alpha > \beta > 0$  then  $n\beta^{n-1}(\alpha - \beta) \leq \alpha^n - \beta^n \leq n\alpha^{n-1}(\alpha - \beta)$ .]

[4+8+8 marks]

(b) Now, apply the method  $(\star)$  to the initial-value problem  $y' = \lambda y$ ,  $y(0) = y_0$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ , over the mesh  $\{x_n : x_n = nh, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ ,  $h > 0$ , with  $y_n \in \mathbb{C}$  denoting the numerical approximation to  $y(x_n) \in \mathbb{C}$  at  $x = x_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ .

Show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} y(x) = 0$ .

Supposing that  $c_1 + c_2 = 1$  and  $c_2 a = \frac{1}{2}$ , specify carefully the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of all complex numbers  $\bar{h} = \lambda h$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0$ . Give a rough sketch the set  $\mathcal{H}$  in the complex plane. [2+3 marks]

## Question 2

- (a) State the general form of a linear  $k$ -step method for the numerical solution of the initial-value problem  $y' = f(x, y)$ ,  $y(x_0) = y_0$  on the mesh  $\{x_n : x_n = x_0 + nh, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  of uniform spacing  $h > 0$ . [2 marks]
- (b) Define the *truncation error* of a linear  $k$ -step method. What is meant by saying that a linear  $k$ -step method is *consistent*? What is meant by saying that a linear multistep method is *second-order accurate*? [6 marks]
- (c) What is meant by saying that a linear  $k$ -step method is *zero-stable*? Formulate an equivalent characterisation of zero-stability in terms of the roots of a certain polynomial of degree  $k$ . [6 marks]
- (d) Consider the three-parameter family of linear two-step methods defined by

$$y_{n+2} - ay_{n+1} + by_n = hc f_{n+2},$$

where  $f_j = f(x_j, y_j)$ , and  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are real numbers. Show that there exists a unique choice of  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  such that the method is second-order accurate; show further that, for these values of  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ , the method is second-order convergent. [If Dahlquist's Theorem is used, it must be stated carefully.] [11 marks]

### Question 3

Consider the initial-value problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$
$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where  $T > 0$  is a fixed real number, and  $u_0$  is a real-valued, bounded and continuous function of the variable  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ .

- (a) Formulate the implicit (backward) Euler scheme for the numerical solution of this initial-value problem on a mesh with uniform spacings  $\Delta x > 0$  and  $\Delta t = T/M$  in the  $x$  and  $t$  co-ordinate directions, respectively, where  $M$  is a positive integer. [4 marks]
- (b) Define the truncation error of the scheme and show that it is of size  $\mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + \Delta t)$  as  $\Delta x, \Delta t \rightarrow 0$ . [You may assume that  $u$  has as many bounded and continuous partial derivatives with respect to  $x$  and  $t$  as are required by your proof.] [5 marks]
- (c) Let  $U_j^m$  denote the implicit (backward) Euler approximation to  $u(j\Delta x, m\Delta t)$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq M$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of all integers. Suppose, further, that  $\|U^0\|_{\ell_2} = \left(\Delta x \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_j^0|^2\right)^{1/2}$  is finite. Show that

$$\|U^m\|_{\ell_2} \leq (1 + \Delta t)^{-m} \|U^0\|_{\ell_2}$$

for all  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq M$ .

[8 marks]

- (d) Show, further, that

$$\max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_j^m| \leq (1 + \Delta t)^{-m} \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_j^0|$$

for all  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq M$ .

[8 marks]

### Question 4

Suppose that  $a$  is a nonzero real number,  $T > 0$ , and  $u_0$  is a real-valued continuous function of  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  which is equal to zero outside a bounded subinterval of  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Consider the initial-value problem

$$u_t + au_x = 0, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of all integers and suppose that  $\Delta x > 0$ ,  $\Delta t = T/M$ , where  $M$  is a positive integer, and let  $\mu = a\Delta t/\Delta x$ .

(a) Show that if  $|\mu| \leq 1$  then the *Lax–Friedrichs scheme*

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - \frac{1}{2}(U_{j+1}^m + U_{j-1}^m)}{\Delta t} + a \frac{U_{j+1}^m - U_{j-1}^m}{2\Delta x} = 0, \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq m \leq M-1, \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is stable in the  $\ell_2$  norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_2}$ . [7 marks]

(b) Define the truncation error  $T_j^m$  of the Lax–Friedrichs scheme. Show that

$$\max_{0 \leq m \leq M-1} \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |T_j^m| \leq K,$$

where  $K = C_1(\Delta t) + C_2(\Delta x)^2 + C_3 \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{\Delta t}$  and  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  are constants which you should define in terms of  $a$  and upper bounds on absolute values of certain partial derivatives of the solution  $u$ .

[You may assume that  $u$  has as many bounded and continuous partial derivatives with respect to  $x$  and  $t$  as are required by your proof.] [6 marks]

(c) Now consider a modification of the Lax–Friedrichs scheme, called the *central difference scheme*, where the arithmetic average  $\frac{1}{2}(U_{j+1}^m + U_{j-1}^m)$  is replaced by  $U_j^m$ :

$$\frac{U_j^{m+1} - U_j^m}{\Delta t} + a \frac{U_{j+1}^m - U_{j-1}^m}{2\Delta x} = 0, \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq m \leq M-1, \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By writing

$$U_j^m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\Delta x}^{\pi/\Delta x} \hat{U}^m(k) e^{ikj\Delta x} dk,$$

where  $\hat{U}^m$  denotes the semidiscrete Fourier transform of the mesh-function  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto U_j^m$  defined by the central difference scheme, show that

$$\hat{U}^{m+1}(k) = \lambda(k) \hat{U}^m(k), \quad k \in [-\pi/\Delta x, \pi/\Delta x], \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1,$$

where  $k \mapsto \lambda(k)$  is a function that you should define on the interval  $[-\pi/\Delta x, \pi/\Delta x]$  in terms of  $\mu$ , the wave number  $k$  and the spatial mesh-size  $\Delta x$ .

Show that  $|\lambda(k)|^2 = 1 + \mu^2 \sin^2(k\Delta x)$  for all  $k \in [-\pi/\Delta x, \pi/\Delta x]$ .

Hence deduce the existence of an initial condition  $U^0$  such that  $\|U^m\|_{\ell_2}^2 \geq (1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2)^m \|U^0\|_{\ell_2}^2$ .

What does this inequality imply about the stability, or otherwise, of the central difference scheme in the  $\ell_2$  norm for  $\mu$  fixed? [3+3+3+3 marks]

# Numerical Linear Algebra

## Question 5

(a) What is an orthogonal matrix? If  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the first  $n$  columns of an orthogonal matrix (with  $n < m$ ), show that  $Q^T Q = I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . [2+2 marks]

(b) What does it mean to say that  $A = U \Sigma V^T$  is the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of the matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ? Suppose that  $m > n$  and that  $A$  is of rank  $n$ . If  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the first  $n$  columns of  $U$ , show that the columns of  $Q$  are a basis for the range of  $A$ . [4+4 marks]

(c) It is now desired to use this singular value decomposition of  $A$  to compute the solution of the linear least squares problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - b\|_2$$

for some given  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Explain how the solution may be computed without any other factorisation of  $A$ , proving any results that you need. What is the linear least squares error? [7+1 marks]

(d) If the rank of  $A$  was known to be  $r < n$  rather than  $n$ , can the singular value decomposition still be used to solve the linear least squares problem? If so, what is a solution in terms of the SVD: is it unique? What is the linear least squares error? [5 marks]

## Question 6

(a) What is Gauss-Seidel iteration for the solution of a linear system of equations  $Ax = b$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is nonsingular? What is a necessary condition on  $A$  in order that this method can be applied? Show that if the Gauss-Seidel iterates converge then they must converge to the solution  $x$  of the linear system. State without proof a necessary and sufficient condition for convergence. [3+1+3+2 marks]

(b) If  $D$  is the diagonal part of  $A$ ,  $L$  the strictly lower triangular part of  $A$  and  $U$  the strictly upper triangular part of  $A$  show that iterates which satisfy

$$x^{(k)} = (D + U)^{-1} (I - L(D + L)^{-1}) b + (D + U)^{-1} L(D + L)^{-1} U x^{(k-1)} \quad (1)$$

can be calculated by the *symmetric* Gauss-Seidel method. Show that the iteration (1) is the simple iteration related to the splitting  $A = M - N$  with  $M = (D + L)D^{-1}(D + U)$  and  $N = LD^{-1}U$ .

[5+6 marks]

(c) Deduce a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$D(D + L)^{-1}LD^{-1}U(D + U)^{-1}$$

for the iteration (1) to be convergent.

[5 marks]