

CHARACTERISTIC IBVP'S AND MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMICS

Paolo Secchi



UNIVERSITY
OF BRESCIA



18, 19 March, 2024, Oxford UK

PLAN

- 1 INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS
- 2 CHARACTERISTIC IBVP FOR HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS
 - Examples: Euler equations, MHD
 - Anisotropic Sobolev spaces and MHD
- 3 KREISS-LOPATINSKII CONDITION
 - Analysis of Majda's example

EULER EQUATIONS

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v) + \nabla p = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho E) + \operatorname{div}((\rho E + p)v) = 0, \end{cases}$$

ρ density, $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ velocity field, $p = p(\rho, S)$ pressure, S entropy,
 $E = e + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2$ total energy, $e = e(\rho, S)$ internal energy.

EULER EQUATIONS

Symmetrizable in terms of (p, v, S) under the hyperbolicity conditions

$$\boxed{\rho > 0, \quad \rho_p > 0,}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\rho_p}{\rho} (\partial_t p + v \cdot \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot v = 0, \\ \rho \{ \partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla) v \} + \nabla p = 0, \\ \partial_t S + v \cdot \nabla S = 0, \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\rho_p/\rho)(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla) & \nabla \cdot & 0 \\ \nabla & \rho(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)I_3 & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \partial_t + v \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \\ S \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

If $d = 3$ the size of the matrices is $N = 5$.

EULER EQUATIONS

Boundary matrix:

$$A_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} (\rho_p/\rho)v \cdot \nu & \nu^T & 0 \\ \nu & \rho v \cdot \nu I_3 & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & v \cdot \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $v \cdot \nu = 0$, then

$$\text{rank } A_\nu = 2 \quad (\text{characteristic bdry}),$$

$$p = 1 \quad (\text{one b.c.}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker A_\nu &= \{U' = (p', v', S') : p' = 0, v' \cdot \nu = 0\} \\ &\subset \ker M = \{U' : v' \cdot \nu = 0\} \quad (\text{reflexivity}), \end{aligned}$$

Projection onto $(\ker A_\nu)^\perp$:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \underline{0}^T & 0 \\ \underline{0} & \nu \otimes \nu & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 P has the regularity of ν .

IDEAL COMPRESSIBLE MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMICS

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v - H \otimes H) + \nabla q = 0, \\ \partial_t H - \nabla \times (v \times H) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho E + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2) + \operatorname{div}((\rho E + p)v + H \times (v \times H)) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

ρ density, $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ plasma velocity, $H \in \mathbb{R}^3$ magnetic field, $p = p(\rho, S)$ pressure, $q = p + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2$ total pressure, S entropy, $E = e + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2$ total energy, $e = e(\rho, S)$ internal energy.

Under a state equation $\rho = \rho(p, S)$ and the 1st principle of thermodynamics, (1) becomes a closed system for the unknown $\mathbf{U} = (p, v, H, S)$.

(1) is supplemented by the divergence constraint on the initial data

$$\operatorname{div} H = 0. \quad (2)$$

Symmetrizable in terms of (p, v, H, S) under the hyperbolicity conditions

$$\boxed{\rho > 0, \quad \rho_p > 0,}$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_p(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)p + \rho \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ \rho\{\partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla)v\} + \nabla p + H \times (\nabla \times H) = 0, \\ \partial_t H + (v \cdot \nabla)H - (H \cdot \nabla)v + H \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ \partial_t S + v \cdot \nabla S = 0, \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_p/\rho & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & 0 \\ \underline{0} & \rho I_3 & 0_3 & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & 0_3 & I_3 & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \\ H \\ S \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\rho_p/\rho)v \cdot \nabla & \nabla \cdot & \underline{0}^T & 0 \\ \nabla & \rho v \cdot \nabla I_3 & \nabla(\cdot) \cdot H - H \cdot \nabla I_3 & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & H \nabla \cdot - H \cdot \nabla I_3 & v \cdot \nabla I_3 & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & v \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \\ H \\ S \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

A different symmetrization with the total pressure $q = p + |H|^2/2$ instead of p :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\rho_p}{\rho} \left((\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)q - H \cdot (\partial_t + (v \cdot \nabla))H \right) + \nabla \cdot v = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t + (v \cdot \nabla))v + \nabla q - (H \cdot \nabla)H = 0, \\ (\partial_t + (v \cdot \nabla))H - (H \cdot \nabla)v - \\ \quad - \frac{\rho_p}{\rho} H \left((\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)q - H \cdot (\partial_t + (v \cdot \nabla))H \right) = 0, \\ \partial_t S + v \cdot \nabla S = 0, \end{cases}$$

that we rewrite as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_p/\rho & \underline{0}^T & -(\rho_p/\rho)H^T & 0 \\ \underline{0} & \rho I_3 & 0_3 & \underline{0} \\ -(\rho_p/\rho)H & 0_3 & a_0 & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} q \\ v \\ H \\ S \end{pmatrix} + \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\rho_p/\rho)v \cdot \nabla & \nabla \cdot & -(\rho_p/\rho)H^T v \cdot \nabla & 0 \\ \nabla & \rho v \cdot \nabla I_3 & -H \cdot \nabla I_3 & \underline{0} \\ -(\rho_p/\rho)H v \cdot \nabla & -H \cdot \nabla I_3 & a_0 v \cdot \nabla & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & v \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ v \\ H \\ S \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

where $a_0 = I_3 + (\rho_p/\rho)H \otimes H$.

If $d = 3$ the size of the matrices is $N = 8$.

Boundary matrix:

$$A_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} (\rho_p/\rho)v \cdot \nu & \nu^T & -(\rho_p/\rho)H^T v \cdot \nu & 0 \\ \nu & \rho v \cdot \nu I_3 & -H \cdot \nu I_3 & \underline{0} \\ -(\rho_p/\rho)Hv \cdot \nu & -H \cdot \nu I_3 & a_0 v \cdot \nu & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & v \cdot \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If $v \cdot \nu = 0$, $H \cdot \nu = 0$ (perfectly conducting wall b.c.), then

$$\text{rank } A_\nu = 2 \quad (\text{characteristic bdry}), \quad p = 1 \quad (\text{one b.c.}),$$

$$\ker A_\nu = \{U' = (q', v', H', S') : q' = 0, v' \cdot \nu = 0\}$$

$$\subset \ker M = \{U' : v' \cdot \nu = 0\} \quad (\text{reflexivity})$$

$$(H' \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ restriction on the initial data}),$$

Projection onto $(\ker A_\nu)^\perp$:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & 0 \\ \underline{0} & \nu \otimes \nu & \underline{0}_3 & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0}_3 & \underline{0}_3 & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If $\underline{H \cdot \nu = 0}$ and $\underline{v \cdot \nu \neq 0, v \cdot \nu \neq \frac{|H|}{\sqrt{\rho}} \pm c(\rho)}$, then

$$\ker A_\nu = \{0\}, \quad P = Id.$$

(Non-characteristic boundary)

- If $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nu} = 0$ and $\underline{H} \cdot \underline{\nu} \neq 0$, then

$$\ker A_{\underline{\nu}} = \{v' = 0, \nu q' - (H \cdot \nu)H' = 0\},$$

$$\text{rank } A_{\underline{\nu}} = 6.$$

Projection onto $(\ker A_{\underline{\nu}})^{\perp}$:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \underline{0}^T & -\Lambda(H \cdot \nu)\underline{\nu}^T & 0 \\ \underline{0} & I_3 & 0_3 & \underline{0} \\ -\Lambda(H \cdot \nu)\underline{\nu} & 0_3 & I_3 - \Lambda\underline{\nu} \otimes \underline{\nu} & \underline{0} \\ 0 & \underline{0}^T & \underline{0}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\Lambda := [1 + (H \cdot \nu)^2]^{-1}$.

P has the (finite) regularity of $H \cdot \nu$ (for $\partial\Omega \in C^{\infty}$).

Well-posedness in H^m (full regularity) [[Yanagisawa](#) Hokkaido MJ 1987, [Shirotu](#)].

CHARACTERISTIC HYPERBOLIC IBVP

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu = F & \text{in } Q_T, \\ Mu = G & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T), \Sigma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$
- $L := A_0(t, x)\partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(x, t)\partial_{x_j} + B(t, x), A_j, B \in \mathbf{M}_{N \times N}$
- $M = M(x, t) \in \mathbf{M}_{d \times N}, \text{rank}(M) = d$ (maximal rank)
- $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N, F(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N, u_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N, G(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$

CHARACTERISTIC BOUNDARY

The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is **characteristic** if the boundary matrix

$$A_\nu := \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \nu_j$$

is singular at $\partial\Omega$ (not invertible). ($\nu = \nu(x)$ outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$).

The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is **characteristic of constant multiplicity** if

$$\dim A_\nu = \text{const} \quad \text{at} \quad \partial\Omega.$$

Full regularity (existence in usual Sobolev spaces $H^m(\Omega)$) can't be expected, in general, because of the possible **loss of normal regularity** at $\partial\Omega$.

[[Tsuji](#), Proc. Japan Acad. 1972]

MHD [[Ohno & Shirota](#), ARMA 1998], ill-posedness for the MHD eqn.s (with perfectly conducting wall b.c.) in $H^m(\Omega)$ for $m \geq 2$

Generally speaking, one normal derivative (w.r.t. $\partial\Omega$) is controlled by two tangential derivatives. Natural function space is the

weighted anisotropic Sobolev space

$$H_*^m(\Omega) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : Z^\alpha \partial_{x_1}^k u \in L^2(\Omega), |\alpha| + 2k \leq m\},$$

where

$$Z_1 = \sigma(x_1)\partial_{x_1} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_j = \partial_{x_j} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, n,$$

if $\Omega = \{x_1 > 0\}$, where $\sigma \in C^\infty(\overline{R}_+)$ such that $\sigma(x_1) = x_1$ in a neighborhood of the origin and $\sigma(x_1) = 1$ for x_1 large.

[Chen Shuxing, Chinese Ann. Math. 1982],
[Yanagisawa & Matsumura, CMP 1991].

RESULTS

Most of results have been proved for

Symmetric hyperbolic systems

maximal non-negative boundary conditions on the characteristic boundary of constant multiplicity:

- Linear L^2 theory [[Rauch](#), Trans. AMS 1985],
- Existence theory in $H_*^m(\Omega)$ [[Guès](#), CPDE '90], [[Ohno-Shizuta-Yanagisawa](#), JM Kyoto U '95], [[Secchi](#), DIE '95, ARMA '96, Arch. Math. 2000], [[Shizuta](#), Proc. Japan Acad. MS 2000], [[Casella-S.-Trebeschi](#), IJPAM 2005, DIE 2006],
- Application to MHD: [[Secchi](#), Arch. Math. 1995, NoDEA 2002]
Current-vortex sheets: [[Chen-Wang](#), ARMA 2008], [[Trakhinin](#), ARMA 2009], [[Morando-S.-Trebeschi-Yuan](#) ARMA 2023]
Plasma-vacuum: [[S.-Trakhinin](#), Nonlinearity 2014], [[Morando-S.-Trakhinin-Trebeschi-Yuan](#) 2023]
FBP [[Lindblad-Zhang](#), ARMA 2023]

Consider (3) with perfectly conducting wall b.c.s

$$v \cdot \nu = 0, \quad H \cdot \nu = 0. \quad (5)$$

The corresponding i.b.v.p. has the form (4) ($F = 0, G = 0$) for $u = (q, v, H)^T$.
Assume

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 > 0\}.$$

(so that $\partial\Omega = \{x_1 = 0\}$, $\nu = (-1, 0, 0)$).

We write the operator L in (3) in the form

$$L := A_0 \partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^3 (A_j + C_j) \partial_{x_j},$$

where

$$A_j = A_j(u) \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

C_j are constant symmetric matrices,

$$A_\nu := \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j \nu_j = -A_1 \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T.$$

The boundary matrix is $-A_1 - C_1$, where

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(rank $C_1 = 2$). Accordingly, decompose

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^{I I} & A_i^{I II} \\ A_i^{II I} & A_i^{II II} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(A_i^{I I} \in \mathbf{M}_{2 \times 2}, \quad A_i^{I II} \in \mathbf{M}_{2 \times 5}, \quad A_i^{II I} \in \mathbf{M}_{5 \times 2}, \quad A_i^{II II} \in \mathbf{M}_{5 \times 5}),$$

$$u = (u^I, u^{II})^T,$$

$$u^I = (q, v_1)^T$$

$$u^{II} = (v_2, v_3, H_1, H_2, H_3)^T$$

(non-characteristic part)

(characteristic part).

Then

$$\partial_{x_1} u^I = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(A_0 \partial_t u + A_1 \partial_{x_1} u + \sum_{j=2}^3 (A_j + C_j) \partial_{x_j} u \right)^I \quad (6)$$

where $A_1 \partial_{x_1} u$ behaves like $Z_1 u = \sigma(x_1) \partial_{x_1}$, because $A_1 = 0$ on Σ_T .

Therefore $\partial_{x_1} u^I$ is equal to tangential derivatives only.

It suggests the introduction of a second weighted anisotropic Sobolev space with some more regularity:

$$H_{**}^m(\Omega) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : Z^\alpha \partial_{x_1}^k u \in L^2(\Omega), |\alpha| + 2k \leq m + 1, |\alpha| \leq m\}.$$

In fact:

$$H_{**}^m(\Omega) = \{u \in H_*^m(\Omega) : \partial_\nu u \in H_*^{m-1}(\Omega)\}.$$

(Here $\partial_\nu = \partial_1$)

To prove the apriori estimates of the solution to (3), (5) we use

LEMMA (1)

Let $U = (Q, V, W)$ (with $Q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^2$) be a sufficiently smooth solution of

$$A_0 \partial_t U + \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j + C_j) \partial_j U = F$$

be such that

$$\text{either } Q = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad V_1 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_T.$$

Then^a

$$a_0 \|U(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq a_0^{-1} \|U(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(2 \int_{\Omega} F \cdot U \, dx + |\text{Div} \vec{A}|_{L^\infty} \|U\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) ds$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $\text{Div} \vec{A} = \partial_t A_0 + \sum_j \partial_j A_j$.

^awe assume $a_0 \leq A_0 \leq 1/a_0$ for a given constant $0 < a_0 < 1$.

- We apply Lemma 1 for the estimate of the tangential derivatives $U = Z^\alpha u$ ($|\alpha| \leq m$), because $V_1 = Z^\alpha v_1 = 0$ at $\partial\Omega$ (by tangential differentiation of $v_1 = 0$ along the boundary). (Also true for time derivatives)

LEMMA

Assume $m \geq 5$. Let u be a sufficiently smooth solution to (3), (5) such that

$$\|u\|_{5,**} \leq K \quad \text{in } Q_T. \quad (7)$$

Let $D_\star^\alpha = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \partial_3^{\alpha_3}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_3)$ with $|\alpha| \leq m$. Then $D_\star^\alpha u$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \|D_\star^\alpha u(t)\|^2 &\leq a_0^{-1} \|D_\star^\alpha u(0)\|^2 + \\ &+ C \int_0^t \{ \Phi(K) \|D_\star^\alpha u\|^2 + \|A\|_{m,**} \|u\|_{m,**}^2 + \|u\|_{m,**} \|\partial_1 q\|_{m-1,*} \} ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

$$\|u(t)\|_{m,*}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^m \|\partial_t^k u(t)\|_{m-k,*}^2,$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{m,**}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^m \|\partial_t^k u(t)\|_{m-k,**}^2$$

- The alternative possibility $Q = 0$ suggests that we can exploit the property

$$\partial_1 q = -\rho(\partial_t v_1 + v \cdot \nabla v_1) + H \cdot \nabla H_1 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \Sigma_T$$

(because of $v_1 = H_1 = 0$ at Σ_T) for the estimate of one normal derivative.

- Again, we use Lemma 1 for the estimate of tangential and one normal derivatives $U = Z^\beta \partial_1 u$ ($|\beta| \leq m - 1$), with $Q = Z^\beta \partial_1 q = 0$ at $\partial\Omega$ (by tangential differentiation of $\partial_1 q = 0$ along the boundary).
- The better estimate allows to solve the problem for u in $H_{**}^m(\Omega)$ (instead of $H_*^m(\Omega)$).

LEMMA

Assume $m \geq 6$. Let u be a sufficiently smooth solution to (3), (5) satisfying (7). Let $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_3)$ a multi-index with $|\beta| \leq m - 1$. Then $D_{\star}^{\beta} \partial_1 u$ satisfies

$$a_0 \|D_{\star}^{\beta} \partial_1 u(t)\|^2 \leq a_0^{-1} \|D_{\star}^{\beta} \partial_1 u(0)\|^2 + \int_0^t \{ \Phi(K) \|D_{\star}^{\beta} \partial_1 u\|^2 + \|A\|_{m, **} \|u\|_{m, **}^2 + \| \partial_1 v_1 \|_{m-1, **} \| \partial_1 q \|_{m-1, *} \} ds$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

The norm in the red box requires more regularity than $u \in H_{**}^m(\Omega)$.

- To complete the estimate of $\partial_1 u^{II}$ in $H_*^{m-1}(\Omega)$ we need to estimate

$Z^\gamma \partial_1^k u^{II}$ for $|\gamma| + 2k \leq m + 1, k \geq 2$. This is obtained from rows 3 to 7 of (3) that we write as

$$(A_0^{II II} \partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j^{II II} \partial_j) u^{II} = G \quad (8)$$

with

$$G = - \left((A_0^{II I} \partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j^{II I} \partial_j) u^I \right),$$

that is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_1 = - \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 q \\ \partial_3 q \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \frac{\rho_p}{\rho} H (\partial_t q + v \cdot \nabla q) + \begin{pmatrix} H \cdot \nabla v_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where (8) is a transport-type equation because the boundary matrix $A_1^{II II} \equiv 0$ at $\partial\Omega$, and no boundary condition is required.

LEMMA

Assume $m \geq 6$. Let u be a sufficiently smooth solution to (3), (5) satisfying (7) and decomposed in the form $u = (u^I, u^{II})$. Then u^{II} satisfies

$$a_0 \|D_{\star}^{\gamma} \partial_1^k u^{II}(t)\|^2 \leq a_0^{-1} \|D_{\star}^{\gamma} \partial_1^k u^{II}(0)\|^2 + C \int_0^t \{ \Phi(K) \|D_{\star}^{\gamma} \partial_1^k u^{II}\|^2 + (1 + \|A\|_{m,**}) \left(\|u\|_{m,**} + \|\partial_1 q\|_{m-1,**} + \|\partial_1 v_1\|_{m-1,**} \right) \|u\|_{m,**} \} ds$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, and for any multi-index γ and integer $k \geq 2$ such that $|\gamma| + 2k \leq m + 1$.

The norms in the red box require more regularity than $u \in H_{**}^m(\Omega)$.

We introduce a third function space:

$$H_{***}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^3) = \{u \in H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : \partial_1 u \in H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)\}.$$

- At last, the estimate of $Z^\gamma \partial_1^k u^I$ ($|\gamma| + 2k \leq m + 1, k \geq 2$) is obtained by a direct estimate of (6):

$$\partial_{x_1} u^I = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(A_0 \partial_t u + A_1 \partial_{x_1} u + \sum_{j=2}^3 (A_j + C_j) \partial_{x_j} u \right)^I.$$

LEMMA

Let u be a sufficiently smooth solution to (3), (5) satisfying (7). Then for all $t \in [0, T]$:

(i) the pressure q satisfies the estimate

$$|||\partial_1 q|||_{m-1, **} \leq \Phi(K) (|||u|||_{m, **} + |||v_1, H_1|||_{m, ***}),$$

(ii) the first component of v satisfies

$$|||\partial_1 v_1|||_{m-1, **} \leq \Phi(K) |||u|||_{m, **},$$

(iii) the non-characteristic part (q_1, v_1) and H_1 satisfy

$$|||q_1, v_1, H_1|||_{m, ***} \leq \Phi(K) |||u|||_{m, **}.$$

SOME TECHNICAL TOOLS:

- Imbeddings:

$$H_*^{[(n+1)/2]+1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_B^0(\bar{\Omega}),$$

$$H_{**}^m(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_B^0(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \text{for } m > n/2.$$

- Product of 2 functions:

$$\|uv\|_{H_*^m(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{H_*^m(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_*^r(\Omega)} \quad m \geq 1, r = \max\{m, [\frac{n+1}{2}] + 2\},$$

$$\|uv\|_{H_*^m(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{H_*^m(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_{**}^r(\Omega)} \quad m \geq 1, r = \max\{m, 2[\frac{n}{2}] + 2\},$$

$$\|uv\|_{H_{**}^m(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{H_{**}^m(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_{**}^r(\Omega)} \quad m \geq 1, r = \max\{m, 2[\frac{n}{2}] + 2\}.$$

- Compactness:

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open bounded set with C^∞ boundary. The imbedding $H_{**}^m(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H_*^{m-1}(\Omega)$ is compact.

HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES:

- If $A = 0$ on $\partial\Omega = \{x_1 = 0\}$, let's define $U(x_1, x') = A(x_1, x')/\sigma(x_1)$.

Then

$$\|U\|_{H_*^{m-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|A\|_{H_*^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

$$\|U\|_{H_{**}^{m-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|A\|_{H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

$$\|U\|_{H_*^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|A\|_{H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

$$\|U\|_{H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C\|A\|_{H_{***}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

where

$$H_{***}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^3) = \{u \in H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : \partial_1 u \in H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)\}.$$

EXAMPLES OF APPLICATION

Estimate of $A\partial_1 u$, given $u \in H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $A \in H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that $A = 0$ at $\partial\Omega$.



$$A \cdot \partial_1 u \in H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cdot H_{**}^{m-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset H_{**}^{m-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad m \geq 2 \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 2,$$

$$A \cdot \partial_1 u \in H_{**}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cdot H_*^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset H_*^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad m \geq 2 \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 2,$$



$$\frac{A}{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \partial_1 u \in H_*^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cdot H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset H_*^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad m-1 \geq \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \right] + 2,$$

- If $A \in H_{***}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$:

$$\frac{A}{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \partial_1 u \in H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cdot H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset H_{**}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad m-1 \geq 2 \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 2.$$

THEOREM (NoDEA 2002; 2024)

Let $m \geq 6$ be an integer. Let $\rho \in C^{m+1}$ and $u_0 = (q_0, v_0, H_0) \in H^m(\Omega)$, let $p_0 = q_0 - |H_0|^2/2$ be such that $\rho(p_0) > 0$, $\rho_p(p_0) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, $\nabla \cdot H_0 = 0$ in Ω . The data satisfy the compatibility conditions $\partial_t^k v|_{t=0} \cdot \nu = 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, $H_0 \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Then there exists $T > 0$ such that the mixed problem (3), (5), $u|_{t=0} = u_0$, has a unique solution on $[0, T]$

$$u = (q, v, H) \in \cap_{k=0}^m C^k([0, T]; H_{**}^{m-k}(\Omega))$$

with $\rho(p) > 0$, $\rho_p(p) > 0$, for $p = q - |H|^2/2$, and $\nabla \cdot H = 0$ in Q_T .

Moreover $\partial_t^k v(t)|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{m-k-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$,

$H(t)|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \nu \in H^{m-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, for each $t \in [0, T]$.