# Plateau flow alias The heat flow for half-harmonic maps

#### Michael Struwe, ETH Zürich

International PDE Conference 2022 Oxford Centre for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Centre for Doctoral Training in PDE

July 22, 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed sub-manifold,  $\pi \colon N_\rho \to N$  the smooth nearest-neighbor projection on a  $\rho$ -neighborhood  $N_\rho$  of N.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○ ◆○◇

Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed sub-manifold,  $\pi \colon N_\rho \to N$  the smooth nearest-neighbor projection on a  $\rho$ -neighborhood  $N_\rho$  of N.

Definition (Da Lio-Rivière)  $u: S^1 \to N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is half-harmonic if

$$d\pi(u)((-\Delta)^{1/2}u) = 0.$$
 (1)

Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed sub-manifold,  $\pi \colon N_\rho \to N$  the smooth nearest-neighbor projection on a  $\rho$ -neighborhood  $N_\rho$  of N.

Definition (Da Lio-Rivière)  $u: S^1 \to N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is half-harmonic if

$$d\pi(u)((-\Delta)^{1/2}u) = 0.$$
 (1)

Half-harmonic maps may be regarded as critical points of the half-energy

$$E_{1/2}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^1} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^2 d\phi$$

of a map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$ , where

 $H^{1/2}(S^1; N) = \{ u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n); \ u(z) \in N \text{ for a. e. } z \in S^1 \}.$ 

Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed sub-manifold,  $\pi \colon N_\rho \to N$  the smooth nearest-neighbor projection on a  $\rho$ -neighborhood  $N_\rho$  of N.

Definition (Da Lio-Rivière)  $u: S^1 \to N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is half-harmonic if

$$d\pi(u)((-\Delta)^{1/2}u) = 0.$$
 (1)

Half-harmonic maps may be regarded as critical points of the half-energy

$$E_{1/2}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^1} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^2 d\phi$$

of a map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$ , where

$$H^{1/2}(S^1; N) = \{ u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n); \ u(z) \in N \text{ for a. e. } z \in S^1 \}.$$

Numerous results on regularity, "bubbling", and quantization have been obtained by Da Lio-Rivière, Da Lio-Martinazzi-Rivière, R. Moser, Schikorra, and others, in particular, when  $N = S^k$ .

# Half-energy and Dirichlet energy

The half-energy of a map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  equals the standard Dirichlet energy

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} |\nabla u|^2 dz$$

of its harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### Half-energy and Dirichlet energy

The half-energy of a map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  equals the standard Dirichlet energy

$$\mathsf{E}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} |\nabla u|^2 dz$$

of its harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Indeed, we have the characterization (already used by R. Moser and Millot-Sire)

$$(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$$

of the half-Laplacian as the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

#### Half-energy and Dirichlet energy

The half-energy of a map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  equals the standard Dirichlet energy

$$\mathsf{E}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} |\nabla u|^2 dz$$

of its harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Indeed, we have the characterization (already used by R. Moser and Millot-Sire)

$$(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$$

of the half-Laplacian as the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Thus, the representation of the operators  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  and  $(-\Delta)^{1/4}$  in Fourier space with symbols  $|\xi|$ ,  $\sqrt{|\xi|}$ , and Parceval's identity give

$$\int_{B} |\nabla u|^{2} dz = \int_{S^{1}} u \partial_{r} u \, d\phi$$
$$= \int_{S^{1}} u (-\Delta)^{1/2} u \, d\phi = \int_{S^{1}} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^{2} d\phi.$$

#### Connection with minimal surfaces

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The characterization  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$  of the half-Laplacian shows that a half-harmonic map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$  induces a minimal surface with free boundary on N (Da Lio-Rivière (2011)).

#### Connection with minimal surfaces

The characterization  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$  of the half-Laplacian shows that a half-harmonic map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$  induces a minimal surface with free boundary on N (Da Lio-Rivière (2011)). Indeed, the harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$  of u satisfies

$$d\pi(u)\partial_r u = d\pi(u)\big((-\Delta)^{1/2}u\big) = 0.$$

Thus,  $u_r \cdot u_\phi = 0$  on  $\partial B = S^1$ , and the (analytic) Hopf differential

$$\Phi = z^2 u_z^2 = r^2 |u_r|^2 - |u_{\phi}|^2 - 2iru_r \cdot u_{\phi}$$

is real on  $\partial B = S^1$ . Hence  $\Phi(z) = const = \Phi(0) = 0$ , showing that u is conformal and therefore has vanishing mean curvature.

#### Connection with minimal surfaces

The characterization  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$  of the half-Laplacian shows that a half-harmonic map  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$  induces a minimal surface with free boundary on N (Da Lio-Rivière (2011)). Indeed, the harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$  of u satisfies

$$d\pi(u)\partial_r u = d\pi(u)\big((-\Delta)^{1/2}u\big) = 0.$$

Thus,  $u_r \cdot u_\phi = 0$  on  $\partial B = S^1$ , and the (analytic) Hopf differential

$$\Phi = z^2 u_z^2 = r^2 |u_r|^2 - |u_{\phi}|^2 - 2iru_r \cdot u_{\phi}$$

is real on  $\partial B = S^1$ . Hence  $\Phi(z) = const = \Phi(0) = 0$ , showing that u is conformal and therefore has vanishing mean curvature.

Moreover, from the condition  $d\pi(u)\partial_r u = 0$  we infer that u meets N vertically.

#### The heat flow for half-harmonic maps Wettstein (2021) studied the heat flow

$$d\pi(u)(u_t + (-\Delta)^{1/2}u) = 0 \text{ on } S^1 \times [0,\infty[,$$
 (2)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

for the half-energy and he obtained global existence for initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with sufficiently small energy.

#### The heat flow for half-harmonic maps Wettstein (2021) studied the heat flow

$$d\pi(u)(u_t + (-\Delta)^{1/2}u) = 0 \text{ on } S^1 \times [0,\infty[,$$
 (2)

for the half-energy and he obtained global existence for initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with sufficiently small energy.

Note that with  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$ , equation (2) is equivalent to the evolution equation

$$u_t + d\pi(u)\partial_r u = 0 \tag{3}$$

for a family of maps  $u = u(t) \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

#### The heat flow for half-harmonic maps Wettstein (2021) studied the heat flow

$$d\pi(u)(u_t + (-\Delta)^{1/2}u) = 0 \text{ on } S^1 \times [0,\infty[,$$
 (2)

for the half-energy and he obtained global existence for initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with sufficiently small energy.

Note that with  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \partial_r u$ , equation (2) is equivalent to the evolution equation

$$u_t + d\pi(u)\partial_r u = 0 \tag{3}$$

э

for a family of maps  $u = u(t) \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Observing that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u) = \int_{B} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} \, dx = \int_{\partial B} u_{r} \cdot u_{t} \, d\phi$$
$$= -\int_{\partial B} |d\pi(u)u_{r}|^{2} d\phi = -\int_{\partial B} |u_{t}|^{2} d\phi,$$

we view (3) as heat flow for E with a free boundary constraint.

Using the equivalence of the evolution problems (2) and (3), here we extend Wettstein's results to initial data of arbitrarily large (but finite) energy.

Using the equivalence of the evolution problems (2) and (3), here we extend Wettstein's results to initial data of arbitrarily large (but finite) energy.

Theorem (S.(2021)). Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed, smooth sub-manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and suppose that the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}N$  is parallelizable.

Using the equivalence of the evolution problems (2) and (3), here we extend Wettstein's results to initial data of arbitrarily large (but finite) energy.

Theorem (S.(2021)). Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed, smooth sub-manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and suppose that the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}N$  is parallelizable. For any  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  there exists a unique global weak solution u = u(t) of (3) (hence (2)) with  $u(0) = u_0$ , whose energy is non-increasing and which is smooth away from finitely many points in space-time where non-trivial half-harmonic maps "bubble off".

Using the equivalence of the evolution problems (2) and (3), here we extend Wettstein's results to initial data of arbitrarily large (but finite) energy.

Theorem (S.(2021)). Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed, smooth sub-manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and suppose that the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}N$  is parallelizable. For any  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  there exists a unique global weak solution u = u(t) of (3) (hence (2)) with  $u(0) = u_0$ , whose energy is non-increasing and which is smooth away from finitely many points in space-time where non-trivial half-harmonic maps "bubble off". As  $t \to \infty$  suitably, u(t) converges to a half-harmonic limit map away from finitely many non-trivial half-harmonic "bubbles".

• We exploit the surprising regularity properties of the normal component  $d\pi_N^{\perp}(u)\partial_r u$  of the harmonic extension of u, likely related to the commutator estimates for the normal projection in the work of Da Lio-Rivière and others.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• We exploit the surprising regularity properties of the normal component  $d\pi_N^{\perp}(u)\partial_r u$  of the harmonic extension of u, likely related to the commutator estimates for the normal projection in the work of Da Lio-Rivière and others.

• A simple identity, and the use of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the harmonic extension  $u: B \to \mathbb{R}^n$  of u instead of the half-Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u$  allow to perform the analysis completely avoiding fractional calculus.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• We exploit the surprising regularity properties of the normal component  $d\pi_N^{\perp}(u)\partial_r u$  of the harmonic extension of u, likely related to the commutator estimates for the normal projection in the work of Da Lio-Rivière and others.

• A simple identity, and the use of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the harmonic extension  $u: B \to \mathbb{R}^n$  of u instead of the half-Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u$  allow to perform the analysis completely avoiding fractional calculus. (Compare Lenzmann-Schikorra.)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• We exploit the surprising regularity properties of the normal component  $d\pi_N^{\perp}(u)\partial_r u$  of the harmonic extension of u, likely related to the commutator estimates for the normal projection in the work of Da Lio-Rivière and others.

• A simple identity, and the use of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the harmonic extension  $u: B \to \mathbb{R}^n$  of u instead of the half-Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u$  allow to perform the analysis completely avoiding fractional calculus. (Compare Lenzmann-Schikorra.)

• Even though (3) appears to be degenerate, within our framework we are able to obtain similar smoothing properties as in the case of the harmonic map heat flow of surfaces.

• We exploit the surprising regularity properties of the normal component  $d\pi_N^{\perp}(u)\partial_r u$  of the harmonic extension of u, likely related to the commutator estimates for the normal projection in the work of Da Lio-Rivière and others.

• A simple identity, and the use of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the harmonic extension  $u: B \to \mathbb{R}^n$  of u instead of the half-Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u$  allow to perform the analysis completely avoiding fractional calculus. (Compare Lenzmann-Schikorra.)

• Even though (3) appears to be degenerate, within our framework we are able to obtain similar smoothing properties as in the case of the harmonic map heat flow of surfaces.

• When N is a smoothly embedded, oriented closed curve  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the flow (3) may be viewed as an alternative gradient flow for the Plateau problem of disc-type minimal surfaces, the Plateau flow.

• It is not clear whether the classical Plateau boundary condition (requiring monotonicity) is preserved along the flow (3), even when  $\Gamma$  is a strictly convex planar curve. But also without monotonicity energy quantization holds (see below).

• It is not clear whether the classical Plateau boundary condition (requiring monotonicity) is preserved along the flow (3), even when  $\Gamma$  is a strictly convex planar curve. But also without monotonicity energy quantization holds (see below).

• It should be straightforward to extend our results to the case when the disc *B* is replaced by a surface *S* of higher genus, if for given initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  we consider a family u = u(t)in  $H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  solving the equation (3), that is,

$$u_t + d\pi(u)\partial_{\nu}u = 0$$

instead of (2), where  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal along  $\partial S = S^1$ and where for each time we harmonically extend u(t) to S; see Da Lio-Pigati (2020) in the time-independent case.

• It is not clear whether the classical Plateau boundary condition (requiring monotonicity) is preserved along the flow (3), even when  $\Gamma$  is a strictly convex planar curve. But also without monotonicity energy quantization holds (see below).

• It should be straightforward to extend our results to the case when the disc *B* is replaced by a surface *S* of higher genus, if for given initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  we consider a family u = u(t)in  $H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  solving the equation (3), that is,

$$u_t + d\pi(u)\partial_{\nu}u = 0$$

instead of (2), where  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal along  $\partial S = S^1$ and where for each time we harmonically extend u(t) to S; see Da Lio-Pigati (2020) in the time-independent case. Similarly, one might study the flow (3) on a domain with multiple boundaries.

• It is not clear whether the classical Plateau boundary condition (requiring monotonicity) is preserved along the flow (3), even when  $\Gamma$  is a strictly convex planar curve. But also without monotonicity energy quantization holds (see below).

• It should be straightforward to extend our results to the case when the disc *B* is replaced by a surface *S* of higher genus, if for given initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  we consider a family u = u(t)in  $H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  solving the equation (3), that is,

$$u_t + d\pi(u)\partial_{\nu}u = 0$$

instead of (2), where  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal along  $\partial S = S^1$ and where for each time we harmonically extend u(t) to S; see Da Lio-Pigati (2020) in the time-independent case. Similarly, one might study the flow (3) on a domain with multiple boundaries.

• In these cases, in order to obtain minimal surfaces one would also need to flow the conformal type of the domain, similar to Rupflin-Topping for harmonic map flow.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Tubular neighborhood: Suppose for simplicity that N is an oriented, closed hypersurface with smooth unit normal vector field  $\nu$ .

Tubular neighborhood: Suppose for simplicity that N is an oriented, closed hypersurface with smooth unit normal vector field  $\nu$ . There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that the representation

 $T: N \times ] - \rho, \rho[ \ni (p, s) \rightarrow p + s\nu(p) \in N_{\rho} = \cup_{p \in N} B_{\rho}(p)$ 

is a diffeomorphism.

Tubular neighborhood: Suppose for simplicity that N is an oriented, closed hypersurface with smooth unit normal vector field  $\nu$ . There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that the representation

$$T: N \times ] - \rho, \rho[ \ni (p, s) \to p + s\nu(p) \in N_{\rho} = \cup_{p \in N} B_{\rho}(p)$$

is a diffeomorphism. For  $q \in N_{\rho}$  then  $T^{-1}(q) = (p, h)$  with  $p = \pi_N(q)$  defines the signed distance function h = h(q).

Tubular neighborhood: Suppose for simplicity that N is an oriented, closed hypersurface with smooth unit normal vector field  $\nu$ . There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that the representation

$$T: N \times ] - \rho, \rho[ \ni (p, s) \to p + s\nu(p) \in N_{\rho} = \cup_{p \in N} B_{\rho}(p)$$

is a diffeomorphism. For  $q \in N_{\rho}$  then  $T^{-1}(q) = (p, h)$  with  $\rho = \pi_N(q)$  defines the signed distance function h = h(q). With a cut-off function  $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\eta(s) = s$  for  $|s| < \rho/2$ ,  $\eta(s) = 0$  for  $|s| \ge 3\rho/4$ , we let

$$dist_N(q) = \eta(h(q))$$
 in  $N_\rho$ ,  $dist_N(q) = 0$  else.

Tubular neighborhood: Suppose for simplicity that N is an oriented, closed hypersurface with smooth unit normal vector field  $\nu$ . There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that the representation

$$T: N \times ] - \rho, \rho[ \ni (p, s) \to p + s\nu(p) \in N_{\rho} = \cup_{p \in N} B_{\rho}(p)$$

is a diffeomorphism. For  $q \in N_{\rho}$  then  $T^{-1}(q) = (p, h)$  with  $p = \pi_N(q)$  defines the signed distance function h = h(q). With a cut-off function  $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\eta(s) = s$  for  $|s| < \rho/2$ ,  $\eta(s) = 0$  for  $|s| \ge 3\rho/4$ , we let

$$dist_N(q) = \eta(h(q))$$
 in  $N_{
ho}$ ,  $dist_N(q) = 0$  else.

Then for any  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension u we have

$$u(u)\partial_r(dist_N(u)) = \nu(u)\nu(u) \cdot u_r =: d\pi_N^{\perp}(u)u_r$$

on  $\partial B = S^1$ , where  $d\pi_N^{\perp}(p) = 1 - d\pi_N(p)$  for each  $p \in N$  is the orthogonal projection to  $T_p^{\perp}N$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

To illustrate a key feature of our method, we re-derive a result obtained by Da Lio-Rivière using fractional calculus.

To illustrate a key feature of our method, we re-derive a result obtained by Da Lio-Rivière using fractional calculus.

Proposition. There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = f$$
 on  $\partial B = S^1$ ,

with  $E(u) \leq \delta^2$  there holds

$$\int_{S^1} |\partial_{\phi} u|^2 d\phi \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2$$

To illustrate a key feature of our method, we re-derive a result obtained by Da Lio-Rivière using fractional calculus.

Proposition. There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = f$$
 on  $\partial B = S^1$ ,

with  $E(u) \leq \delta^2$  there holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^1} |\partial_\phi u|^2 d\phi \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}^1)}^2.$$

Proof. We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\partial_r u = d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u + \nu(u)\nu(u) \cdot \partial_r u = f + \nu(u)\partial_r(dist_N(u)).$$

To illustrate a key feature of our method, we re-derive a result obtained by Da Lio-Rivière using fractional calculus.

Proposition. There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = f$$
 on  $\partial B = S^1$ ,

with  $E(u) \leq \delta^2$  there holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^1} |\partial_\phi u|^2 d\phi \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}^1)}^2$$

Proof. We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\partial_r u = d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u + \nu(u)\nu(u) \cdot \partial_r u = f + \nu(u)\partial_r(dist_N(u)).$$

Extend  $\nu(p) := \nabla dist_N(p)$ . Note that  $dist_N(u) \in H_0^1(B)$  with  $\|\nabla(dist_N(u))\|_{L^2(B)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B)}^2 \leq C\delta^2$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● ●

Moreover,  $dist_N(u) \in H^1_0(B)$  satisfies the equation

 $\Delta(dist_N(u)) = div(\nu(u) \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla u \cdot d\nu(u) \nabla u \text{ in } B$ (4)

with a right hand side similar to the equation for harmonic maps.

Moreover,  $dist_N(u) \in H^1_0(B)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta(dist_N(u)) = div(\nu(u) \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla u \cdot d\nu(u) \nabla u \text{ in } B$$
 (4)

with a right hand side similar to the equation for harmonic maps. The basic  $L^2$ -theory for the Laplace equation and Sobolev's embedding  $H^{1/2}(B) \hookrightarrow L^4(B)$  then give the estimate

$$\|dist_N(u)\|^2_{H^2(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{L^4(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{H^{1/2}(B)}.$$

Moreover,  $dist_N(u) \in H^1_0(B)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta(dist_N(u)) = div(\nu(u) \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla u \cdot d\nu(u) \nabla u \text{ in } B$$
 (4)

with a right hand side similar to the equation for harmonic maps. The basic  $L^2$ -theory for the Laplace equation and Sobolev's embedding  $H^{1/2}(B) \hookrightarrow L^4(B)$  then give the estimate

$$\|dist_N(u)\|^2_{H^2(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{L^4(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{H^{1/2}(B)}.$$

The divergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_r(\operatorname{dist}_N(u))\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2 &= \int_{\partial B} |z \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{dist}_N(u))|^2 d\phi \\ &\leq C \|\nabla(\operatorname{dist}_N(u))\|_{H^1(B)} \|\nabla(\operatorname{dist}_N(u))\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C\delta \|\nabla u\|_{H^{1/2}(B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $dist_N(u) \in H^1_0(B)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta(dist_N(u)) = div(\nu(u) \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla u \cdot d\nu(u) \nabla u \text{ in } B$$
 (4)

with a right hand side similar to the equation for harmonic maps. The basic  $L^2$ -theory for the Laplace equation and Sobolev's embedding  $H^{1/2}(B) \hookrightarrow L^4(B)$  then give the estimate

$$\|dist_N(u)\|^2_{H^2(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{L^4(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{H^{1/2}(B)}.$$

The divergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_r(dist_N(u))\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2 &= \int_{\partial B} |z \cdot \nabla(dist_N(u))|^2 d\phi \\ &\leq C \|\nabla(dist_N(u))\|_{H^1(B)} \|\nabla(dist_N(u))\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C\delta \|\nabla u\|_{H^{1/2}(B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$
  
Fourier expansion shows  $\|\nabla u\|_{H^{1/2}(B)}^2 = C \|\partial_r u\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2;$ 

Moreover,  $dist_N(u) \in H^1_0(B)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta(dist_N(u)) = div(\nu(u) \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla u \cdot d\nu(u) \nabla u \text{ in } B$$
 (4)

with a right hand side similar to the equation for harmonic maps. The basic  $L^2$ -theory for the Laplace equation and Sobolev's embedding  $H^{1/2}(B) \hookrightarrow L^4(B)$  then give the estimate

$$\|dist_N(u)\|^2_{H^2(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{L^4(B)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|^4_{H^{1/2}(B)}.$$

The divergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{r}(dist_{N}(u))\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} &= \int_{\partial B} |z \cdot \nabla(dist_{N}(u))|^{2} d\phi \\ &\leq C \|\nabla(dist_{N}(u))\|_{H^{1}(B)} \|\nabla(dist_{N}(u))\|_{L^{2}(B)} \leq C\delta \|\nabla u\|_{H^{1/2}(B)}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
  
Fourier expansion shows  $\|\nabla u\|_{H^{1/2}(B)}^{2} = C \|\partial_{r}u\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2}; thus  $\|\partial_{\phi}u\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} &= \|\partial_{r}u\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} = \|f\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} + \|\partial_{r}(dist_{N}(u))\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} + C\delta \|\nabla u\|_{H^{1/2}(B)}^{2} \leq \|f\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2} + C\delta \|\partial_{r}u\|_{L^{2}(S^{1})}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● ●

Corollary. (i) For any non-constant, smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B = S^1, \tag{5}$$

there holds  $E(u) \ge \delta^2$ , where  $\delta = \delta(N) > 0$ .

Corollary. (i) For any non-constant, smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B = S^1, \tag{5}$$

there holds  $E(u) \ge \delta^2$ , where  $\delta = \delta(N) > 0$ .

In particular, let  $N = \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  a closed, smoothly embedded curve, and let  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be smooth, non-constant, harmonic, and satisfying the generalized Plateau condition  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$ .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Corollary. (i) For any non-constant, smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B = S^1, \tag{5}$$

there holds  $E(u) \ge \delta^2$ , where  $\delta = \delta(N) > 0$ .

In particular, let  $N = \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  a closed, smoothly embedded curve, and let  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be smooth, non-constant, harmonic, and satisfying the generalized Plateau condition  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$ .

(ii) *u* is conformal iff *u* solves (5), and then  $E(u) \ge \delta(\Gamma)^2 > 0$ .

Corollary. (i) For any non-constant, smooth solution  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  with harmonic extension  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  of

$$d\pi_N(u)\partial_r u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B = S^1, \tag{5}$$

there holds  $E(u) \ge \delta^2$ , where  $\delta = \delta(N) > 0$ .

In particular, let  $N = \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  a closed, smoothly embedded curve, and let  $u \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be smooth, non-constant, harmonic, and satisfying the generalized Plateau condition  $u \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$ .

(ii) *u* is conformal iff *u* solves (5), and then  $E(u) \ge \delta(\Gamma)^2 > 0$ .

Proof. Let  $\gamma: S^1 \to \Gamma$  be an arc-length reference parametrization of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $u = \gamma \circ \xi$  on  $\partial B = S^1$  for some smooth  $\xi: S^1 \to S^1$ . Then at any  $p \in S^1$  where  $|\partial_{\theta} u| = |\partial_r u| \neq 0$  with some  $\lambda \neq 0$  we have

$$|d\pi_{\Gamma}(u)\partial_{r}u| = |\gamma'(\xi) \cdot \partial_{r}u| = \lambda |\partial_{\theta}u \cdot \partial_{r}u| = 0,$$

and u is conformal iff u solves (5). The claim then follows from (i).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Let u be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ .

Let *u* be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  there exists a number R > 0 such that

$$\sup_{z_0\in B,\,0< t< T}\int_{B_R(z_0)\cap B}|\nabla u(t)|^2dz<\delta.$$
(6)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Let *u* be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  there exists a number R > 0 such that

$$\sup_{z_0\in B,\,0< t< T}\int_{B_R(z_0)\cap B}|\nabla u(t)|^2dz<\delta.$$
(6)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

In the following we show how condition (6) for suitably small  $\delta > 0$  allows to obtain higher and higher regularity.

Let *u* be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  there exists a number R > 0 such that

$$\sup_{z_0\in B,\,0< t< T}\int_{B_R(z_0)\cap B}|\nabla u(t)|^2dz<\delta.$$
(6)

In the following we show how condition (6) for suitably small  $\delta > 0$  allows to obtain higher and higher regularity.

Hence the flow (3) can only become singular at time  $T_0$  if energy of size at least  $\delta > 0$  concentrates as  $t \uparrow T_0$ .

Let *u* be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  there exists a number R > 0 such that

$$\sup_{z_0\in B,\,0< t< T}\int_{B_R(z_0)\cap B}|\nabla u(t)|^2dz<\delta.$$
(6)

In the following we show how condition (6) for suitably small  $\delta > 0$  allows to obtain higher and higher regularity.

Hence the flow (3) can only become singular at time  $T_0$  if energy of size at least  $\delta > 0$  concentrates as  $t \uparrow T_0$ . (Energy concentration can then be analyzed via the usual rescaling procedure.)

# *H*<sup>2</sup>-bounds

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Lemma. With a constant C > 0 depending only on N there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\int_{\partial B}|u_{\phi}|^{2}d\phi\big)+\int_{B}|\nabla u_{\phi}|^{2}dz\leq C\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{2}|u_{\phi}|^{2}dz.$$

# *H*<sup>2</sup>-bounds

Lemma. With a constant C > 0 depending only on N there holds

$$rac{d}{dt}ig(\int_{\partial B}|u_{\phi}|^{2}d\phiig)+\int_{B}|
abla u_{\phi}|^{2}dz\leq C\int_{B}|
abla u|^{2}|u_{\phi}|^{2}dz.$$

Proof. Writing  $d\pi_N(u) = 1 - \nu(u) \times \nu(u)$  we compute

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\partial B}|u_{\phi}|^{2}d\phi\right)=\int_{\partial B}u_{\phi}\cdot u_{\phi,t}d\phi=-\int_{\partial B}u_{\phi\phi}\cdot u_{t}d\phi\\ &=\int_{\partial B}u_{\phi\phi}\cdot d\pi_{N}(u)u_{r}d\phi=-\int_{\partial B}\left(u_{\phi}\cdot u_{r\phi}-u_{\phi}\cdot \partial_{\phi}(\nu(u)\,\nu(u)\cdot u_{r})\right)d\phi\\ &=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial B}\partial_{r}(|u_{\phi}|^{2})d\phi-\int_{\partial B}u_{\phi}\cdot d\nu(u)u_{\phi}\,\nu(u)\cdot u_{r}d\phi\\ &=-\int_{B}|\nabla u_{\phi}|^{2}dz-\int_{B}\nabla u\cdot\nabla(\nu(u)\,u_{\phi}\cdot d\nu(u)u_{\phi})dz. \end{split}$$

# Integral $H^2$ -bounds

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● ●

Let u be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ , and for any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  let R > 0 such that (6) holds on [0, T].

# Integral $H^2$ -bounds

Let u be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ , and for any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  let R > 0 such that (6) holds on [0, T].

From the previous lemma, with the multiplicative inequality

$$\int_{B} |\nabla u|^4 \varphi_{z_i,R}^2 dz \leq C\delta \int_{B_R(z_i)} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dz + C\delta R^{-2} \int_{B_R(z_i)} |\nabla u|^2 dz,$$

where  $\varphi_{z_i,R} \in C_c^{\infty}(B_R(z_i))$ , we first obtain an integral  $H^2$ -bound.

# Integral $H^2$ -bounds

Let u be a smooth solution of (3) on  $[0, T_0[$ , and for any  $\delta > 0$ , any  $T < T_0$  let R > 0 such that (6) holds on [0, T].

From the previous lemma, with the multiplicative inequality

$$\int_{B} |\nabla u|^{4} \varphi_{z_{i},R}^{2} dz \leq C\delta \int_{B_{R}(z_{i})} |\nabla^{2} u|^{2} dz + C\delta R^{-2} \int_{B_{R}(z_{i})} |\nabla u|^{2} dz,$$

where  $\varphi_{z_i,R} \in C_c^{\infty}(B_R(z_i))$ , we first obtain an integral  $H^2$ -bound. Proposition. There exist constants  $\delta > 0$  and C > 0 such that for any  $T < T_0$  there holds

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{\partial B} |u_{\phi}(t)|^2 d\phi + \int_0^T \int_B |\nabla u_{\phi}|^2 dx dt$$
$$\leq \int_{\partial B} |u_{0,\phi}|^2 d\phi + CTR^{-2}E(u_0),$$

where R > 0 is as in (6).

# Uniform $H^2$ - and higher bounds

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

With similar reasoning we also obtain a uniform  $H^2$ -bound.

Proposition. For any smooth  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  and any  $T < T_0$  as specified above, with a constant C > 0 possibly depending also on T there holds

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{B} |\nabla u_{\phi}(t)|^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial B} |u_{\phi r}|^{2} d\phi \, dt \leq C$$

# Uniform $H^2$ - and higher bounds

With similar reasoning we also obtain a uniform  $H^2$ -bound.

Proposition. For any smooth  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(S^1; N)$  and any  $T < T_0$  as specified above, with a constant C > 0 possibly depending also on T there holds

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{B} |\nabla u_{\phi}(t)|^2 dx + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial B} |u_{\phi r}|^2 d\phi \, dt \leq C.$$

Higher bounds can then be obtained with two lemmas like the following.

Lemma For any  $k \ge 2$ , with a constant C > 0 depending only on k and  $\Gamma$ , for the solution u = u(t) to (2) for any  $0 < t < T_0$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \big( \|\nabla \partial_{\phi}^{k} u\|_{L^{2}(B)}^{2} \big) + \|\partial_{\phi}^{k} u_{r}\|_{L^{2}(\partial B)}^{2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq j_{i} \leq k+1, \Sigma_{i} j_{i} = k+2} \|\nabla \partial_{\phi}^{k} u\|_{L^{2}(B)} \|\Pi_{i} \nabla^{j_{i}} u\|_{L^{2}(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

• Local existence can be obtained with a contraction-mapping argument for the map  $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \colon v \to u = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(v)$ , the solution of

$$u_t + (\varepsilon + d\pi(v))\partial_r u = 0, \ u_{|_{t=0}} = u_0,$$

using bounds similar to the previous lemma, which are uniform in  $0<\varepsilon\ll 1.$ 

• Local existence can be obtained with a contraction-mapping argument for the map  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ :  $v \to u = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(v)$ , the solution of

$$u_t + (\varepsilon + d\pi(v))\partial_r u = 0, \ u_{|_{t=0}} = u_0,$$

using bounds similar to the previous lemma, which are uniform in  $0<\varepsilon\ll 1.$ 

• With the help of equation (4), uniqueness of the local smooth solutions of (3) obtained can be shown with the same argument as for the harmonic map heat flow of surfaces.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• Local existence can be obtained with a contraction-mapping argument for the map  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ :  $v \to u = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(v)$ , the solution of

$$u_t + (\varepsilon + d\pi(v))\partial_r u = 0, \ u_{|_{t=0}} = u_0,$$

using bounds similar to the previous lemma, which are uniform in  $0<\varepsilon\ll 1.$ 

• With the help of equation (4), uniqueness of the local smooth solutions of (3) obtained can be shown with the same argument as for the harmonic map heat flow of surfaces.

• The analysis of blow-up and asymptotics is achieved by suitable rescaling and a local version of the regularity estimate.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• Local existence can be obtained with a contraction-mapping argument for the map  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ :  $v \to u = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(v)$ , the solution of

$$u_t + (\varepsilon + d\pi(v))\partial_r u = 0, \ u_{|_{t=0}} = u_0,$$

using bounds similar to the previous lemma, which are uniform in  $0<\varepsilon\ll 1.$ 

• With the help of equation (4), uniqueness of the local smooth solutions of (3) obtained can be shown with the same argument as for the harmonic map heat flow of surfaces.

• The analysis of blow-up and asymptotics is achieved by suitable rescaling and a local version of the regularity estimate.

Reference: http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.02083

# Thank you for your attention

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @