10 July 2022

## Sparse spectral methods for fractional **PDFs**

## PDE CDT Students & Alumni Reunion Event











John Papadopoulos<sup>1</sup>

Sheehan Olver<sup>1</sup> José Carrillo<sup>2</sup> Timon Gutleb<sup>2</sup> Bradley Treeby<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Imperial College London; <sup>2</sup>University of Oxford; <sup>3</sup>UCL

## My timeline



## My timeline

#### Imperial College London

## MSc

• Mathematical modelling and scientific computing

## PDE CDT

- Nonconvex optimisation problems with PDE constraints
- Existence, regularity & FEM analysis

## Postdoc

 $\bullet$  Fractional PDEs & spectral methods

## Are fractional PDEs physical?

#### Imperial College London



FPDEs describe wave absorption in the brain<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Images from https://clipart.world/brain-clipart/black-and-white-brain-clipart/, https://www.kindpng.com/imgv/iRoiRR\_sound-wave-clipart-ultrasound-ultrasound-clip-art-hd/.

#### Imperial College London

## • Equilibrium measures;

- Dispersive transport of ions;
- Replacing total variation regularization in imaging;
- Geophysics problems with long range effects;
- Neural networks where all the layers are connected to every other layer.

- Equilibrium measures;
- Dispersive transport of ions;
- Replacing total variation regularization in imaging;
- Geophysics problems with long range effects;
- Neural networks where all the layers are connected to every other layer.

- Equilibrium measures;
- Dispersive transport of ions;
- Replacing total variation regularization in imaging;
- Geophysics problems with long range effects;
- Neural networks where all the layers are connected to every other layer.

- Equilibrium measures;
- Dispersive transport of ions;
- Replacing total variation regularization in imaging;
- Geophysics problems with long range effects;
- Neural networks where all the layers are connected to every other layer.

- Equilibrium measures;
- Dispersive transport of ions;
- Replacing total variation regularization in imaging;
- Geophysics problems with long range effects;
- Neural networks where all the layers are connected to every other layer.

## The problem

#### Imperial College London

## The PDE

Find  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$  that satisfies, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})u = f$ .

## $-\Delta)^{1/2}$

Ten (or more) equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplacian over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . E.g. for  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) \coloneqq c_{d,s} \oint_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} \,\mathrm{d}y$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{s}u](\omega) = |\omega|^{2s}\mathcal{F}[u](\omega).$$

## The problem

## The PDE

Find  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$  that satisfies, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})u = f$ .

## $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$

Ten (or more) equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplacian over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . E.g. for  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) \coloneqq c_{d,s} \oint_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} \,\mathrm{d}y$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{s}u](\omega) = |\omega|^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u](\omega)$$

**Imperial College** 

London

## The problem

## The PDE

Find  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$  that satisfies, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})u = f$ .

## $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$

Ten (or more) equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplacian over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . E.g. for  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) \coloneqq c_{d,s} \oint_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} \,\mathrm{d}y$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{s}u](\omega) = |\omega|^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u](\omega).$$

**Imperial College** 

London





## Observation

## Solutions of fractional PDEs are "nonlocal" and can exhibit singularities.

#### Consequence

The solutions can be difficult to approximate numerically.

#### Challenge

How do we compute them with fast convergence?

#### Our proposal



## Observation

Solutions of fractional PDEs are "nonlocal" and can exhibit singularities.

#### Consequence

The solutions can be difficult to approximate numerically.

#### Challenge

How do we compute them with fast convergence?

## Our proposal



## Observation

Solutions of fractional PDEs are "nonlocal" and can exhibit singularities.

#### Consequence

The solutions can be difficult to approximate numerically.

## Challenge

How do we compute them with fast convergence?

## Our proposal



## Observation

Solutions of fractional PDEs are "nonlocal" and can exhibit singularities.

#### Consequence

The solutions can be difficult to approximate numerically.

## Challenge

How do we compute them with fast convergence?

## Our proposal

## Singularities and non-locality

#### Imperial College London

The fractional Laplacian is not local. E.g.



#### Nonlocal

$$u(x) = 0$$
 for  $|x| \ge 1$  but  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x) \ne 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Singularities

As  $x \downarrow 1$  and  $x \uparrow -1$ , then  $|(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x)| \to \infty$ .

## Singularities and non-locality

#### Imperial College London

The fractional Laplacian is not local. E.g.



#### Nonlocal

$$u(x)=0$$
 for  $|x|\geq 1$  but  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x)
eq 0$  for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}.$ 

#### Singularities

As  $x \downarrow 1$  and  $x \uparrow -1$ , then  $|(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x)| \to \infty$ .

## Singularities and non-locality

#### Imperial College London

The fractional Laplacian is not local. E.g.



#### Nonlocal

$$u(x)=0$$
 for  $|x|\geq 1$  but  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x)
eq 0$  for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}.$ 

## Singularities

As  $x \downarrow 1$  and  $x \uparrow -1$ , then  $|(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x)| \to \infty$ .

## Spectral methods

#### Imperial College London

Pick an orthogonal set of polynomials, e.g. the so-called *ChebyshevT* polynomials, denoted  $\{T_n(x)\}$ . These satisfy

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{T_n(x)T_m(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, \mathrm{d}x = \delta_{nm}; \ T_0(x) = 1, \ T_1(x) = x, \ T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x).$$

For  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , consider the approximation:  $e^{-x^2} \sin(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^n c_k T_k(x)$ .

## Spectral methods

#### Imperial College London

Pick an orthogonal set of polynomials, e.g. the so-called *ChebyshevT* polynomials, denoted  $\{T_n(x)\}$ . These satisfy

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{T_n(x)T_m(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, \mathrm{d}x = \delta_{nm}; \ T_0(x) = 1, \ T_1(x) = x, \ T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x).$$

For  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , consider the approximation:  $e^{-x^2} \sin(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^n c_k T_k(x)$ .



Many spectral methods for differential equations induce *dense* matrices  $\times$ . Consider solving

$$-u'(x) = f(x), u(-1) = 0.$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevT polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via a collocation method. D is dense.
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

Many spectral methods for differential equations induce *dense* matrices  $\times$ . Consider solving

$$-u'(x) = f(x), u(-1) = 0.$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevT polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via a collocation method. D is dense.
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

Many spectral methods for differential equations induce *dense* matrices  $\times$ . Consider solving

$$-u'(x) = f(x), u(-1) = 0.$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevT polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via a collocation method. D is dense.
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

Many spectral methods for differential equations induce *dense* matrices  $\times$ . Consider solving

$$-u'(x) = f(x), u(-1) = 0.$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevT polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via a collocation method. D is dense.
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

Many spectral methods for differential equations induce *dense* matrices  $\times$ . Consider solving

$$-u'(x) = f(x), u(-1) = 0.$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevT polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via a collocation method. D is dense.
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

#### Imperial College London

#### An observation

Let  $\{U_n\}$  denote the *ChebyshevU* orthogonal polynomial basis. Then, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $T'_n(x) = nU_{n-1}(x)$ . Or in *quasimatrix* form:

$$(T'_0(x) \ T'_1(x) \ T'_2(x) \ \dots) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = (U_0(x) \ U_1(x) \ U_2(x) \ \dots)$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevU polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via ChebyshevT/U relationship. D is sparse with one dense row related to the BC
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

#### Imperial College London

#### An observation

Let  $\{U_n\}$  denote the *ChebyshevU* orthogonal polynomial basis. Then, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $T'_n(x) = nU_{n-1}(x)$ . Or in *quasimatrix* form:

$$(T'_0(x) \ T'_1(x) \ T'_2(x) \ \dots) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = (U_0(x) \ U_1(x) \ U_2(x) \ \dots)$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevU polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via ChebyshevT/U relationship. D is sparse with one dense row related to the BC
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

#### Imperial College London

#### An observation

Let  $\{U_n\}$  denote the *ChebyshevU* orthogonal polynomial basis. Then, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $T'_n(x) = nU_{n-1}(x)$ . Or in *quasimatrix* form:

$$(T'_0(x) \ T'_1(x) \ T'_2(x) \ \dots) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = (U_0(x) \ U_1(x) \ U_2(x) \ \dots)$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevU polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via ChebyshevT/U relationship. D is sparse with one dense row related to the BC
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

#### Imperial College London

#### An observation

Let  $\{U_n\}$  denote the *ChebyshevU* orthogonal polynomial basis. Then, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $T'_n(x) = nU_{n-1}(x)$ . Or in *quasimatrix* form:

$$(T'_0(x) \ T'_1(x) \ T'_2(x) \ \dots) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = (U_0(x) \ U_1(x) \ U_2(x) \ \dots)$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevU polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via ChebyshevT/U relationship. D is sparse with one dense row related to the BC.
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

#### Imperial College London

#### An observation

Let  $\{U_n\}$  denote the *ChebyshevU* orthogonal polynomial basis. Then, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $T'_n(x) = nU_{n-1}(x)$ . Or in *quasimatrix* form:

$$(T'_0(x) \ T'_1(x) \ T'_2(x) \ \dots) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = (U_0(x) \ U_1(x) \ U_2(x) \ \dots)$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevU polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via ChebyshevT/U relationship. D is sparse with one dense row related to the BC.
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

#### Imperial College London

#### An observation

Let  $\{U_n\}$  denote the *ChebyshevU* orthogonal polynomial basis. Then, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $T'_n(x) = nU_{n-1}(x)$ . Or in *quasimatrix* form:

$$(T'_0(x) \ T'_1(x) \ T'_2(x) \ \dots) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = (U_0(x) \ U_1(x) \ U_2(x) \ \dots)$$

- Expand f(x) in the ChebyshevU polynomial basis, truncate, and collect the coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the differential operator D via ChebyshevT/U relationship. D is sparse with one dense row related to the BC.
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the ChebyshevT expansion of u(x).

## Sparse spectral method for FPDE

## ChebyshevT

 $T_n(x) = \text{ChebyshevT}$ , polynomials of order  $n, x \in [-1, 1]$ , orthogonal w.r.t.  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Extend by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$  outside [-1, 1].

#### ChebyshevU

 $U_n(x) =$  ChebyshevU, polynomials of order  $n, x \in [-1, 1]$ , orthogonal w.r.t.  $\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Extend by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$  outside [-1, 1].

## Extended Chebyshev functions on ${\mathbb R}$

 $\tilde{T}_n(x)$  and  $\tilde{U}_n(x)$  are carefully chosen extensions of  $T_n(x)$  and  $U_n(x)$ , respectively, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Sparse spectral method for FPDE

## ChebyshevT

 $T_n(x) = \text{ChebyshevT}$ , polynomials of order  $n, x \in [-1, 1]$ , orthogonal w.r.t.  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Extend by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$  outside [-1, 1].

## ChebyshevU

 $U_n(x) = \text{ChebyshevU}$ , polynomials of order  $n, x \in [-1, 1]$ , orthogonal w.r.t.  $\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Extend by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$  outside [-1, 1].

#### Extended Chebyshev functions on ${\mathbb R}$

 $\tilde{T}_n(x)$  and  $\tilde{U}_n(x)$  are carefully chosen extensions of  $T_n(x)$  and  $U_n(x)$ , respectively, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Sparse spectral method for FPDE

## ChebyshevT

 $T_n(x) = \text{ChebyshevT}$ , polynomials of order  $n, x \in [-1, 1]$ , orthogonal w.r.t.  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Extend by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$  outside [-1, 1].

## ChebyshevU

 $U_n(x) = \text{ChebyshevU}$ , polynomials of order  $n, x \in [-1, 1]$ , orthogonal w.r.t.  $\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Extend by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$  outside [-1, 1].

## Extended Chebyshev functions on $\mathbb R$

 $\tilde{T}_n(x)$  and  $\tilde{U}_n(x)$  are carefully chosen extensions of  $T_n(x)$  and  $U_n(x)$ , respectively, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Imperial College London

$$ilde{T}_n(x) := egin{cases} T_n(x) & |x| \leq 1, \ (x - \mathrm{sgn}(x)\sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{U}_n(x) \coloneqq \tilde{T}_n(x) - \tilde{U}_{n-2}(x), \ n \ge 0,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{U}_{-1}(x) &\coloneqq \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \leq 1, \\ -\frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} & |x| > 1, \end{cases} \\ \hat{U}_{-2}(x) &\coloneqq x \hat{U}_{-1}(x). \end{split}$$

#### Imperial College London

$$ilde{T}_n(x) \coloneqq egin{cases} T_n(x) & |x| \leq 1, \ (x-\operatorname{sgn}(x)\sqrt{x^2-1})^n & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$



$$\tilde{U}_n(x) \coloneqq \tilde{T}_n(x) - \tilde{U}_{n-2}(x), \ n \ge 0,$$

where

$$\hat{U}_{-1}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \le 1, \\ -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} & |x| > 1, \end{cases} \\ \hat{U}_{-2}(x) := x \hat{U}_{-1}(x).$$

# $ilde{\mathcal{T}}_n(x) \coloneqq egin{cases} \mathcal{T}_n(x) & |x| \leq 1, \ (x - \mathrm{sgn}(x)\sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$

$$\tilde{U}_n(x)\coloneqq\tilde{T}_n(x)-\tilde{U}_{n-2}(x),\ n\ge 0,$$

where

$$\hat{U}_{-1}(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \le 1, \\ -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} & |x| > 1, \end{cases} \\ \hat{U}_{-2}(x) \coloneqq x \hat{U}_{-1}(x).$$



-0.5

$$ilde{T}_n(x) \coloneqq egin{cases} T_n(x) & |x| \leq 1, \ (x - \operatorname{sgn}(x) \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{U}_n(x)\coloneqq \tilde{T}_n(x)-\tilde{U}_{n-2}(x), \ n\geq 0,$$

where

$$\hat{U}_{-1}(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \le 1, \\ -rac{\operatorname{sgn}(x)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} & |x| > 1, \end{cases}$$
  
 $\hat{U}_{-2}(x) \coloneqq x \hat{U}_{-1}(x).$ 



$$ilde{T}_n(x) \coloneqq egin{cases} T_n(x) & |x| \leq 1, \ (x - \operatorname{sgn}(x) \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{U}_n(x) \coloneqq \tilde{T}_n(x) - \tilde{U}_{n-2}(x), \ n \ge 0,$$

where

$$\hat{U}_{-1}(x) \coloneqq egin{cases} 0 & |x| \leq 1, \ -rac{\mathrm{sgn}(x)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} & |x| > 1, \ \hat{U}_{-2}(x) \coloneqq x \hat{U}_{-1}(x). \end{cases}$$



Imperial College London

Denote the Hilbert transform:  $\mathcal{H}[u](x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(y)}{x-y} dy$ . Let  $W_n(x) \coloneqq (1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_n(x)$ .

#### Property 1

• 
$$\mathcal{H}[W_n](x) = \tilde{T}_{n+1}(x)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
•  $\mathcal{H}[\tilde{T}_{n+1}](x) = -W_n(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

#### Property 2

Modulo some assumptions:  $\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{H} = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  in 1D.

## Property 3

• 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[W_n](x) = (n+1)(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2}T_{n+1}(x).$$

Imperial College London

Denote the Hilbert transform:  $\mathcal{H}[u](x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(y)}{x-y} dy$ . Let  $W_n(x) \coloneqq (1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_n(x)$ .

#### Property 1

- $\mathcal{H}[W_n](x) = \tilde{T}_{n+1}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}[\tilde{T}_{n+1}](x) = -W_n(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Property 2

Modulo some assumptions:  $\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{H} = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  in 1D.

## Property 3

• 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[W_n](x) = (n+1)(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2}T_{n+1}(x).$$

Imperial College London

Denote the Hilbert transform:  $\mathcal{H}[u](x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(y)}{x-y} dy$ . Let  $W_n(x) \coloneqq (1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_n(x)$ .

#### Property 1

• 
$$\mathcal{H}[W_n](x) = \tilde{T}_{n+1}(x)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

• 
$$\mathcal{H}[\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n+1}](x) = -W_n(x)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Property 2

Modulo some assumptions:  $\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{H} = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  in 1D.

#### Property 3

• 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dx}}[W_n](x) = (n+1)(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2}T_{n+1}(x).$$

Imperial College London

Denote the Hilbert transform:  $\mathcal{H}[u](x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(y)}{x-y} dy$ . Let  $W_n(x) \coloneqq (1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_n(x)$ .

#### Property 1

- $\mathcal{H}[W_n](x) = \tilde{T}_{n+1}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}[\tilde{T}_{n+1}](x) = -W_n(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Property 2

Modulo some assumptions:  $\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{H} = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  in 1D.

## Property 3

• 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[W_n](x) = (n+1)(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2}T_{n+1}(x).$$

## A sparse spectral method for an FPDE Imperial College London

 $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ 

$$\{ (1 - x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_n(x) \} \xrightarrow{(-\Delta)^{1/2}} \{ \tilde{U}_n(x) \},$$
  
 
$$\{ \tilde{T}_n(x) \} \xrightarrow{(-\Delta)^{1/2}} \{ (1 - x^2)^{-1/2}_+ T_n(x) \}$$

## dentity

$$\{(1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_n(x)\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \{(1-x^2)^{-1/2}_+ T_n(x)\},$$
$$\{\tilde{T}_n(x)\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \{\tilde{U}_n(x)\}.$$

## $\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}$

$$\underbrace{\{\tilde{T}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{1/2} U_n(x)\}}_{\text{sum space}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}} \underbrace{\{\tilde{U}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2} T_n(x)\}}_{\text{dual sum space}}.$$

- Expand f in the dual sum space, truncate, and collect coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the truncated **sparse** matrix *D* induced by  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})$ .
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the sum space expansion of  $u(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## $\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}$

$$\underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_n(x)\}\cup\{(1-x^2)_+^{1/2}\mathcal{U}_n(x)\}}_{\text{sum space}}\xrightarrow{\lambda\mathcal{I}+(-\Delta)^{1/2}}\underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n(x)\}\cup\{(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2}\mathcal{T}_n(x)\}}_{\text{dual sum space}}.$$

- Expand f in the dual sum space, truncate, and collect coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the truncated **sparse** matrix *D* induced by  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})$ .
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the sum space expansion of  $u(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# $$\begin{split} \lambda \mathcal{I} &+ (-\Delta)^{1/2} \\ \underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{1/2} \mathcal{U}_n(x)\}}_{\text{sum space}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}} \underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2} \mathcal{T}_n(x)\}}_{\text{dual sum space}}. \end{split}$$

- Expand f in the dual sum space, truncate, and collect coefficients in vector f.
- Construct the truncated sparse matrix *D* induced by  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})$ .
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the sum space expansion of u(x), x ∈ ℝ.

# $$\begin{split} &\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2} \\ &\underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{1/2} \mathcal{U}_n(x)\}}_{\text{sum space}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}} \underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2} \mathcal{T}_n(x)\}}_{\text{dual sum space}}. \end{split}$$

- Expand f in the dual sum space, truncate, and collect coefficients in vector f.
- **②** Construct the truncated sparse matrix *D* induced by  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})$ .
- Solve  $D\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  for the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}$  in the sum space expansion of  $u(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# $$\begin{split} \lambda \mathcal{I} &+ (-\Delta)^{1/2} \\ \underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{1/2} \mathcal{U}_n(x)\}}_{\text{sum space}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}} \underbrace{\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_n(x)\} \cup \{(1-x^2)_+^{-1/2} \mathcal{T}_n(x)\}}_{\text{dual sum space}}. \end{split}$$

- Expand f in the dual sum space, truncate, and collect coefficients in vector f.
- **②** Construct the truncated sparse matrix *D* induced by  $(\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})$ .
- Solve Du = f for the coefficients u in the sum space expansion of u(x), x ∈ ℝ.



- $\{\tilde{T}_n^i\}$  and  $\{W_n^i\}$ , i = 1, 2, are the spaces of affine transformed functions of the spaces  $\{\tilde{T}_n\}$  and  $\{\sqrt{1 x^2}U_n\}$ , respectively, centred at the interval midpoints of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .
- $W_n^i$  are supported on  $I_i$ , i = 1, 2.
- $\tilde{T}_n^i$  are not only supported on  $I_i$  and interact with other functions centred on other intervals.

Imperial College London



•  $\{\tilde{T}_n^i\}$  and  $\{W_n^i\}$ , i = 1, 2, are the spaces of affine transformed functions of the spaces  $\{\tilde{T}_n\}$  and  $\{\sqrt{1-x^2}U_n\}$ , respectively, centred at the interval midpoints of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

• 
$$W_n^i$$
 are supported on  $I_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

•  $\tilde{T}_n^i$  are not only supported on  $I_i$  and interact with other functions centred on other intervals.

Imperial College London



•  $\{\tilde{T}_n^i\}$  and  $\{W_n^i\}$ , i = 1, 2, are the spaces of affine transformed functions of the spaces  $\{\tilde{T}_n\}$  and  $\{\sqrt{1 - x^2}U_n\}$ , respectively, centred at the interval midpoints of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

• 
$$W_n^i$$
 are supported on  $I_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

•  $\tilde{T}_n^i$  are not only supported on  $I_i$  and interact with other functions centred on other intervals.

Imperial College London

$$\begin{array}{c|c} I_1 & I_2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \{\tilde{T}_n^1\} \cup \{W_n^1\} & \{\tilde{T}_n^2\} \cup \{W_n^2\} \end{array} \rightarrow$$

 $\lambda \mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  induces a block-diagonal matrix. Each block corresponds to the sum space centred at one interval.

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{u}_1 \\ \mathsf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{f}_1 \\ \mathsf{f}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This decomposes to

$$D_1\mathbf{u}_1=\mathbf{f}_1, \quad D_2\mathbf{u}_2=\mathbf{f}_2.$$

Imperial College London

$$\begin{array}{c|c} I_1 & I_2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \{\tilde{T}_n^1\} \cup \{W_n^1\} & \{\tilde{T}_n^2\} \cup \{W_n^2\} \end{array} \rightarrow$$

 $\lambda I + (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  induces a block-diagonal matrix. Each block corresponds to the sum space centred at one interval.

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \mathbf{f}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This decomposes to

$$D_1\mathbf{u}_1=\mathbf{f}_1, \quad D_2\mathbf{u}_2=\mathbf{f}_2.$$

Imperial College London

$$\begin{array}{c|c} I_1 & I_2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \{\tilde{T}_n^1\} \cup \{W_n^1\} & \{\tilde{T}_n^2\} \cup \{W_n^2\} \end{array} \rightarrow$$

 $\lambda I + (-\Delta)^{1/2}$  induces a block-diagonal matrix. Each block corresponds to the sum space centred at one interval.

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \mathbf{f}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This decomposes to

$$D_1\mathbf{u}_1=\mathbf{f}_1,\quad D_2\mathbf{u}_2=\mathbf{f}_2.$$

## Example: the Gaussian

#### Imperial College London

$$(\mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})u(x) = e^{-x^2} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_1(1; 1/2; -x^2).$$

 $_{1}F_{1}$  is the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function. The solution is  $u(x) = e^{-x^{2}}$ .

## Example: the Gaussian

#### Imperial College London

$$(\mathcal{I} + (-\Delta)^{1/2})u(x) = e^{-x^2} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_1(1; 1/2; -x^2).$$

 $_1F_1$  is the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function. The solution is  $u(x) = e^{-x^2}$ .



## Example: wave propagation

Consider the FPDE  $(u(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}]u(x,t) = (1-x^2)_+^{1/2}U_4(x)e^{-t^2}.$$

A Fourier transform in time gives  $(\hat{u}(x,\omega) \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty)$ :

## Example: wave propagation

Consider the FPDE  $(u(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}]u(x,t) = (1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_4(x) e^{-t^2}.$$

A Fourier transform in time gives  $(\hat{u}(x,\omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} - \omega^2]\hat{u}(x,\omega) = \sqrt{\pi}(1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_4(x) e^{-\omega^2/4}.$$

## Example: wave propagation

Consider the FPDE  $(u(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}]u(x,t) = (1-x^2)_+^{1/2}U_4(x)e^{-t^2}.$$

A Fourier transform in time gives  $(\hat{u}(x,\omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} - \omega^2]\hat{u}(x,\omega) = \sqrt{\pi}(1-x^2)_+^{1/2}U_4(x)e^{-\omega^2/4}.$$



## Example: wave propagation

Consider the FPDE  $(u(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}]u(x,t) = (1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_4(x) e^{-t^2}.$$

A Fourier transform in time gives  $(\hat{u}(x,\omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty)$ :

$$[(-\Delta)^{1/2} + \mathcal{H} - \omega^2]\hat{u}(x,\omega) = \sqrt{\pi}(1-x^2)^{1/2}_+ U_4(x) e^{-\omega^2/4}.$$



## Conclusions

#### Imperial College London

- A sparse spectral method for solving the identity + half-Laplacian;
- Based on a carefully chosen sum space.

## Still to come

- An efficient implementation written in Julia **&** see https: //github.com/ioannisPApapadopoulos/SumSpaces.jl;
- Generalization to  $(-\Delta)^s$  with  $s \in (0, 1)$ ;
- Generalization to higher dimensions.

## Conclusions

- A sparse spectral method for solving the identity + half-Laplacian;
- Based on a carefully chosen sum space.

## Still to come

- An efficient implementation written in Julia see https: //github.com/ioannisPApapadopoulos/SumSpaces.jl;
- Generalization to  $(-\Delta)^s$  with  $s \in (0,1)$ ;
- Generalization to higher dimensions.



# Thank you for listening!

 $\bowtie$  ioannis.papadopoulos13@imperial.ac.uk