- (a) 2+2+5+2+3 marks for standard but unseen questions, similar to questions on problem sheets.
  - (i)  $\int_x^{\infty} f(x,y) dy = \int_0^{\infty} cxz e^{-x-z} dz = cxe^{-x}$  recognising the integral for the mean of a standard exponential distribution. Similarly, integrating over  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , we obtain integral c, so we need c = 1.
  - (ii) X and Y are not independent since the probability density function does not factorise into a function of x and a function of y.
  - (iii) From (i),  $f_X(x) = \int_x^{\infty} f(x, y) dy = xe^{-x}, x > 0$ . So,  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, 1)$ . Similarly,  $f_Y(x) = \int_0^y x(y-x)e^{-y} dy = e^{-y}[\frac{1}{2}x^2y - \frac{1}{3}x^3]_0^y = \frac{1}{6}y^3e^{-y}, y > 0$ , so Y is Gamma(4,1). Hence, as sums of independent exponential variables with mean and variance 1, we have  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \text{Var}(X) = 2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \text{Var}(Y) = 4$ , by independence.
  - (iv)  $f_{X|Y=y}(x) = f(x,y)/f_Y(y) = 6x(y-x)/y^3, x \in (0,y).$
  - (v)  $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^y x^2 y(y-x) e^{-y} dx dy = \int_0^\infty (\frac{1}{3}y^5 \frac{1}{4}y^5) e^{-y} dy = 10$  integral of Gamma(6,1) density, noting that  $\Gamma(6) = 5! = 120$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y) = 2$ . Students may also represent Y = X + X' for  $X' \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(2,1)$  independent of X and use this to calculate  $\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)$ .
  - (b) (i) 3 marks for bookwork, (ii) 4 marks for standard application, (iii) 4 marks for something new.
    - (i) Let  $(X_j, j \ge 1)$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \le x\right) \to \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} dz = \mathbb{P}(Z \le x).$$

(ii) The Central Limit Theorem applies since X and Y have positive finite variance, by (a)(iii). By symmetry  $\mathbb{P}(Z \leq 0) = 1/2$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \le 2n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n - n\mathbb{E}(X)}{\sqrt{n\operatorname{Var}(X)}} \le 0\right) \to \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(T_n \le 4n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{T_n - n\mathbb{E}(Y)}{\sqrt{n\operatorname{Var}(Y)}} \le 0\right) \to \frac{1}{2}.$$

(iii)  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  are not independent, but writing  $T_n = S_n + S'_n$  for independent  $S_n$ and  $S'_n$ , we have that  $(S_n - 2n)/\sqrt{2n}$  and  $(S'_n - 2n)/\sqrt{2n}$  converge in distribution to independent normals Z and Z', say, and hence

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \le 2n, T_n \le 4n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} \le 0, \frac{S_n - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} + \frac{S'_n - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} \le 0\right)$$
$$\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Z < 0, Z + Z' < 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(\Theta \in \left(\pi, \frac{7}{4}\pi\right)\right) = 3/8,$$

by spherical symmetry of the standard bivariate normal distribution, which makes the angular part  $\Theta \sim \text{Unif}(0, 2\pi)$ .

2. (a) 3 marks for bookwork.

Let  $(X_j, j \ge 1)$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with finite mean  $\mu$  and  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(S_n/n \to \mu) = 1$ .

- (b) 2+3+5 marks for a seen example, (i)-(ii) in lectures, (iii) on problem sheet.
  - (i) For  $p \in [1/2, 1)$ , the only communicating class is  $\mathbb{Z}$ , while for p = 1, all  $\{n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  are singleton classes.
  - (ii) We can write  $S_n = a + X_1 + \dots + X_n$  for  $\mathbb{P}(X_j = 1) = 1 \mathbb{P}(X_j = -1) = p$ , with  $\mathbb{E}(X_j) = 2p - 1$ . For p > 1/2, SLLN yields  $(S_n - a)/n \to p > 1/2$  hence  $S_n \to \infty$  a.s. For p = 1/2 the SLLN does not determine the limiting behaviour.
  - (iii) By translation invariance we may assume a = 0. Let

$$h_m = \mathbb{P}_m(S_n = 0 \text{ for some } n \ge 0), \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then  $(h_m, m \in \mathbb{Z})$  is the minimal solution of  $h_0 = 1$ ,  $h_m = ph_{m+1} + (1-p)h_{m-1}$ . Let p = 1/2. Then,  $h_1 < 1$  would imply  $h_{-1} > 1$ , which is absurd, so  $h_1 = 1$ . Inductively,  $h_m = 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let p > 1/2. Then,  $h_m = 1$  for all m < 0 by (ii). For m > 0, try  $h_m = \beta^m$ . Then  $\beta = p\beta^2 + (1-p)$ , factorising  $\beta^2 - \beta/p + (1-p)/p = (\beta-1)(\beta-(1-p)/p)$ , we see that  $\beta = (1-p)/p$  yields the minimal solution  $h_m = ((1-p)/p)^m$ ,  $m \ge 0$ . Conditioning on the first step and applying the Markov property, we find

$$h = ph_1 + (1 - p)h_{-1} = 1 - p + 1 - p = 2 - 2p.$$

- (c) 7 marks for a more challenging unseen application.
  - (i) By irreducibility, we only need to check that 0 is recurrent. By symmetry, (b)(iii) for  $p \in (0, 1/2]$ , yields  $h_m = 1$  for all  $m \ge 1$ . Here, conditioning on the first step and applying the Markov property, we find that the return probability to 0 is  $h' = h_1 = 1$ , so 0 is recurrent.
  - (ii)  $\xi Q = \xi$  is equivalent to  $\xi_m = \xi_{m-1}p + \xi_{m+1}(1-p)$ . Try  $\xi_m = A\gamma^m$ , then, as above  $\gamma \in \{p/(1-p), 1\}$ . Only  $h_m = A(p/(1-p))^m$  can be normalised, and only for p < 1/2, to satisfy  $\sum_{m\geq 0} \xi_m = 1$ , and A = 1 - p/(1-p) yields a geometric distribution with parameter A = (1-2p)/(1-p). For p = 1/2 there is no solution satisfying  $\sum_{m\geq 0} \xi_m = 1$ , so there is no stationary distribution.
- (d) 5 marks for something new.

In (c)(ii), the mean return time to 0 is  $1/\xi_0 = (1-p)/(1-2p)$ . For (d), denote the stationary distribution by  $\eta$ . Conditioning on the first step, the mean return time to 0 here is  $m_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(a^+ + a^-)$  where  $a^+ = (1-p^+)/(1-2p^+) - 1 = p^+/(1-2p^+)$  and  $a^- = p^-/(2p^- - 1) - 1 = (1-p^-)/(2p^- - 1)$ , and  $\eta_0 = 1/m_0$ . By the Ergodic Theorem, this is also the long-term proportion of time spent in 0. Of the time not spent at 0, a proportion  $a^+/(a^+ + a^-)$  is spent positive since each return from 1 takes  $a^+$  on average, each return from -1 takes  $a^-$ . By (c)(ii), these proportions further split into proportions  $\xi_m/(1-\xi_0)$  spent in m. Hence

$$\eta_m = (1 - \eta_0) \frac{a^+}{a^+ + a^-} \frac{1 - 2p^+}{1 - p^+} \left(\frac{p^+}{1 - p^+}\right)^{m-1} = \frac{1}{2 + a^+ + a^-} \left(\frac{p^+}{1 - p^+}\right)^m$$

for  $m \ge 1$ , and by symmetry,  $\eta_m = (1/(2 + a^+ + a^-))((1 - p^-)/p^-)^{|m|}$  for  $m \le -1$ .

3. (a) 8=4+4 marks for a standard question, seen before.

(i) 
$$M(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{tx} f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \lambda^r x^{r-1} e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t}\right)^r$$
, for  $t < \lambda$ , as the Gamma $(r, \lambda - t)$  density integrates to 1.

(ii)  $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) = \operatorname{Gamma}(1, \lambda)$ . By independence, for all  $t < \lambda$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{t(E_1+\dots+E_n)}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{tE_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(e^{tE_n}) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t}\right)^n.$$

By the uniqueness theorem for moment generating functions,  $E_1 + \cdots + E_n \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$ .

- (b) 7=3+4 marks for bookwork.
  - (i)  $N_0 = 0$ , increments  $N_{t_j} - N_{t_{j-1}}$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ , are independent for all  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ , and  $N_{s+t} - N_s \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$  for all  $s, t \ge 0$ .
  - (ii) By definition, for s = 0, we have  $N_t = N_{0+t} N_0 \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(T_n > t) = \mathbb{P}(N_t \le n - 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}.$$
 Hence, differentiation yields

$$f_{T_n}(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{P}(T_n > t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k+1} t^k}{k!} - \frac{k \lambda^k t^{k-1}}{k!} \right) e^{-\lambda t} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lambda^n t^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t},$$

for all t > 0. Hence,  $T_n \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$ .

(c) 3 marks for bookwork.

Let  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$  and  $(N_t, t \ge 0)$  be two independent Poisson processes of rates  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ . Then  $K_t = M_t + N_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , is a Poisson process of rate  $\mu + \lambda$ .

(d) 8=2+4+2 marks for something new.

(i)  $\mathbb{P}(T_1 > t) = \mathbb{P}(L_t - L_0 = 0) = \exp(-\alpha t^m).$ Hence  $f_{T_1}(t) = \alpha m t^{m-1} \exp(-\alpha t^m), t > 0.$ 

(ii) First note that  $N_u - N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\alpha(u^m - t^m))$ , by independence of increments.

$$\mathbb{P}(S_1 \le t, S_2 > u) = \mathbb{P}(L_t = 1, L_u - L_t = 0) = \alpha t^m e^{-\alpha t^m} e^{-\alpha (u^m - t^m)} = \alpha t^m e^{-\alpha u^m}.$$

for 0 < t < u.

By differentiation,  $f_{S_1,S_2}(t,u) = \alpha m t^{m-1} \alpha m u^{m-1} e^{-\alpha u^m}$ , 0 < t < u. By the transformation formula,  $f_{S_1,S_2-S_1}(t,s) = \alpha^2 m^2 t^{m-1} (s+t)^{m-1} e^{-\alpha (s+t)^m}$ , t > 0, s > 0.

As m > 1, this does not factorise, so  $S_1$  and  $S_2 - S_1$  are not independent, in contrast to the case m = 1 of a (homogeneous) Poisson process.

(iii)  $J_t = L_t + N_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , is an inhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\alpha mt^{m-1} + \lambda$ . This is because independent increments are preserved as for the standard superposition theorem. Clearly  $J_0 = 0$ , and the rate function, which is the derivative of the parameter of the Poisson distribution of  $J_t$  is identified from  $J_t = L_t + N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\alpha t^m + \lambda t)$ .