



VANISHING VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF
THE COMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS WITH
SPHERICAL SYMMETRY AND LARGE INITIAL
DATA

by

Gui-Qiang Chen
University of Oxford

and

Mikhail Perepelitsa
University of Houston

Oxford Centre for Nonlinear PDE
Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford
Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter,
Woodstock Road,
Oxford, UK
OX2 6GG

VANISHING VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF THE COMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS WITH SPHERICAL SYMMETRY AND LARGE INITIAL DATA

GUI-QIANG G. CHEN AND MIKHAIL PEREPELITSA

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with spherically symmetric solutions of the Euler equations for multidimensional compressible fluids, which are motivated by many important physical situations. Various evidences indicate that spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations may blow up near the origin at certain time under some circumstance. The central feature is the strengthening of waves as they move radially inward. A longstanding open, fundamental problem is whether concentration could form at the origin. In this paper, we develop a method of vanishing viscosity and related estimate techniques for viscosity approximate solutions, and establish the convergence of the approximate solutions to a global finite-energy entropy solution of the isentropic Euler equations with spherical symmetry and large initial data. This indicates that concentration does not form in the vanishing viscosity limit, even though the density may blow up at certain time. To achieve this, we first construct global smooth solutions of appropriate initial-boundary value problems for the Euler equations with designed viscosity terms, approximate pressure function, and boundary conditions, and then we establish the strong convergence of the viscosity approximate solutions to a finite-energy entropy solutions of the Euler equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with the existence theory for spherically symmetric global solutions of the Euler equations for multidimensional isentropic compressible fluids:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \\ (\rho \mathbf{v})_t + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is the density, p the pressure, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the velocity, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the gradient with respect to $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The constitutive pressure-density relation for polytropic perfect gases is

$$p = p(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma,$$

where $\gamma > 1$ is the adiabatic exponent and, by scaling, the constant κ in the pressure-density relation may be chosen as $\kappa = (\gamma - 1)^2/4\gamma$ without loss of generality.

For the spherically symmetric motion,

$$\rho(t, \mathbf{x}) = \rho(t, r), \quad \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{x}) = u(t, r) \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r}, \quad r = |\mathbf{x}|. \quad (1.2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q31; 35L65; 76N10; 35D30; 76M45.

Key words and phrases. Viscosity solutions, global solutions, spherical symmetry, Euler equations, compressible fluids, isentropic, multidimensional, vanishing viscosity, finite energy, concentration, strong convergence, approximate solutions, compactness framework.

Then the functions $(\rho, m) = (\rho, \rho u)$ are governed by the following Euler equations with geometrical terms:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_r m + \frac{n-1}{r} m = 0, \\ \partial_t m + \partial_r \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho) \right) + \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{m^2}{\rho} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

The existence theory for spherically symmetric solutions $(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t, \mathbf{x})$ to (1.1) through form (1.2) is equivalent to the existence theory for global solutions $(\rho, m)(t, r)$ to (1.3). For any problem with a constant velocity \mathbf{v}_∞ at infinity, *i.e.*, $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}_\infty$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathbf{v}_\infty = 0$, or equivalently, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(t, r) = 0$, by the Galilean invariance.

The study of spherically symmetric solutions can date back 1950s, which are motivated by many important physical problems such as flow in a jet engine inlet manifold and stellar dynamics including gaseous stars and supernovae formation. In particular, the similarity solutions of such a problem have been discussed in a large literature (*cf.* [9, 13, 23, 24, 26]), which are determined by singular ordinary differential equations. The central feature is the strengthening of waves as they move radially inward. Various evidences indicate that spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations may blow up near the origin at certain time under some circumstance. A longstanding open, fundamental problem is whether concentration could form at the origin, that is, the density becomes a delta measure at the origin, especially when a focusing spherical shock is moving inward the origin (*cf.* [9, 23, 26]).

Some progress has been made for solving this problem in recent decades. The local existence of spherically symmetric weak solutions outside a solid ball at the origin was discussed in Makino-Takeno [21] for the case $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}$; also see Yang [27]. A shock capturing scheme was introduced in Chen-Glimm [6] for constructing approximate solutions to spherically symmetric entropy solutions for $\gamma > 1$, where the convergence proof was limited to be locally in time. A first global existence of entropy solutions including the origin was established in Chen [5] for a class of L^∞ Cauchy data of arbitrarily large amplitude, which model outgoing blast waves and large-time asymptotic solutions. Also see Slemrod [24] for the resolution of the spherical piston problem for isentropic gas dynamics via a self-similar viscous limit, and LeFloch-Westdickenberg [17] for a compactness framework to ensure the strong compactness of spherically symmetric approximate solutions with uniform finite-energy norms for the case $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}$.

The approach and ideas developed in this paper can yield indeed the global existence of finite-energy entropy solutions of the compressible Euler equations with spherical symmetry and large initial data for the general case $\gamma > 1$, based on our earlier results in [8]. To establish the existence of global entropy solutions to (1.3) with initial data:

$$(\rho, m)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, m_0), \quad (1.4)$$

we develop a method of vanishing viscosity and related estimate techniques for viscosity approximate solutions, and establish the convergence of the viscosity approximate solutions to a global finite-energy entropy solution. To achieve this, we first construct global smooth solutions of appropriate initial-boundary value problems for the Euler equations with designed viscosity terms, approximate pressure function, and boundary conditions, and then we establish the strong convergence of the viscosity approximate solutions to an entropy solution of the Euler equations (1.3), which is equivalent to (1.1) via relation

(1.2). For simplicity of presentation, we focus our analysis on the physical region $1 < \gamma \leq 3$ throughout the paper, though the convergence argument also works for all $\gamma > 1$.

The viscosity terms and approximate pressure function are designed to approximate the Euler equations are as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + m_r + \frac{n-1}{r}m = \varepsilon(\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\rho_r) \equiv \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)}(r^{n-1}\rho_r)_r, \\ m_t + (\frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho))_r + \frac{n-1}{r}\frac{m^2}{\rho} = \varepsilon(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r}m)_r \equiv \varepsilon(r^{-(n-1)}(r^{n-1}m)_r)_r, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$p_\delta(\rho) = \kappa\rho^\gamma + \delta\rho^2, \quad \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0,$$

with $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in an appropriate order. Notice that the positive term $\delta\rho^2$ is added into $p_\delta(\rho)$ to avoid the possibility of formation of cavitation of the solutions to the viscous system (1.5). For shallow water flow, $\gamma = 2$, so that the term $\delta\rho^2$ can be dropped.

We consider (1.5) on a cylinder $Q^\varepsilon = \mathbb{R}_+ \times (a, b)$, with $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $a := a(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$, $b := b(\varepsilon) > 1$, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} b(\varepsilon) = \infty,$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$(\rho_r, m)|_{r=a} = (0, 0), \quad (\rho, m)|_{r=b} = (\bar{\rho}, 0) \quad \text{for } t > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

for some $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon) > 0$, and with appropriate approximate initial functions:

$$(\rho, m)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)(r) \quad \text{for } a < r < b, \quad (1.7)$$

satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.1 below.

A pair of mappings $(\eta, q) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is called an entropy-entropy flux pair (or entropy pair, for short) of system (1.3) if the pair satisfies the 2×2 linear hyperbolic system:

$$\nabla q(U) = \nabla \eta(U) \nabla \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\nabla = (\partial_\rho, \partial_m)$ is the gradient with respect to $U = (\rho, m)$ from now on. Furthermore, $\eta(\rho, m)$ is called a weak entropy if

$$\eta \Big|_{\substack{\rho=0 \\ u=m/\rho \text{ fixed}}} = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

An entropy pair is said to be convex if the Hessian $\nabla^2 \eta(\rho, m)$ is nonnegative in the region under consideration.

For example, the mechanical energy $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ (a sum of the kinetic and internal energy) and the mechanical energy flux $q^*(\rho, m)$:

$$\eta^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{\rho} + \frac{\kappa\rho^\gamma}{\gamma-1}, \quad q^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^3}{\rho^2} + \frac{\kappa\gamma}{\gamma-1} m\rho^{\gamma-1}, \quad (1.10)$$

form a special entropy pair of system (1.3), and $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ is convex in the region $\rho \geq 0$.

Any weak entropy pair for the Euler system (1.3) can be expressed by

$$\eta_\psi(\rho, m) = \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \rho^\theta s\right) [1 - s^2]_+^\lambda ds, \quad (1.11)$$

$$q_\psi(\rho, m) = \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \theta \rho^\theta s\right) \psi\left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \rho^\theta s\right) [1 - s^2]_+^\lambda ds, \quad (1.12)$$

with $\lambda = \frac{3-\gamma}{2(\gamma-1)}$ and the generating function $\psi(s)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $(\rho_0, m_0) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)^2$, with $\rho_0 \geq 0$, is of finite energy:*

$$\left(\frac{m_0^2}{2\rho_0} + \frac{\kappa\rho_0^\gamma}{\gamma-1}\right)r^{n-1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+). \quad (1.13)$$

Let $(\delta, \bar{\rho}) = (\delta(\varepsilon), \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon)) \in (0, \varepsilon) \times (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}(\delta, \bar{\rho}) = (0, 0)$ satisfy

$$\bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M, \quad (1.14)$$

for some $M < \infty$ independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. If $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)$ is a sequence of smooth functions with the following properties:

- (i) $\rho_0^\varepsilon > 0$;
- (ii) $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)$ satisfies (1.6) and

$$(r^{n-1} m_0^\varepsilon)_r \Big|_{r=a} = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

and, at $r = b$,

$$m_{0,r}^\varepsilon = \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)} (r^{n-1} \rho_{0,r}^\varepsilon)_r, \quad \left(\frac{(m_0^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho_0^\varepsilon} + p_\delta(\rho_0^\varepsilon)\right)_r = \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)} (r^{n-1} m_0^\varepsilon)_r; \quad (1.16)$$

- (iii) $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\rho_0, m_0)$ a.e. $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where we understand $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)$ as the zero extension of $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)$ outside (a, b) ;

$$(iv) \int_a^b \left(\frac{(m_0^\varepsilon)^2}{2\rho_0^\varepsilon} + \frac{\kappa(\rho_0^\varepsilon)^\gamma}{\gamma-1}\right) r^{n-1} dr \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{m_0^2}{2\rho_0} + \frac{\kappa\rho_0^\gamma}{\gamma-1}\right) r^{n-1} dr \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

then, for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a unique global classical solution $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)(t, r)$ of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial data $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)$ so that there exists a subsequence (still labeled $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$) that converges a.e. $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, $p \in [1, \gamma + 1)$, $q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3})$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to a global finite-energy entropy solution (ρ, m) of the Euler equations (1.3) with initial condition (1.7) in the following sense:

- (i) For any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ with $\varphi_r(t, 0) = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} (\rho\varphi_t + m\varphi_r) r^{n-1} dr dt + \int_0^\infty \rho_0(r)\varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} dr = 0;$$

- (ii) For all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, with $\varphi(t, 0) = \varphi_r(t, 0) = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \left(m\varphi_t + \frac{m^2}{\rho}\varphi_r + p(\rho)\left(\varphi_r + \frac{n-1}{r}\varphi\right)\right) r^{n-1} dr dt + \int_0^\infty m_0(r)\varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} dr = 0;$$

(iii) For a.e. $t_2 \geq t_1 \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \eta^*(\rho, m)(t_2, r) r^{n-1} dr \leq \int_0^\infty \eta^*(\rho, m)(t_1, r) r^{n-1} dr \leq \int_0^\infty \eta^*(\rho_0, m_0)(r) r^{n-1} dr; \quad (1.17)$$

(iv) For any convex function $\psi(s)$ with subquadratic growth at infinity and any entropy pair (η_ψ, q_ψ) defined in (1.11)–(1.12),

$$(\eta_\psi r^{n-1})_t + (q_\psi r^{n-1})_r + (n-1)r^{n-2}(m\eta_{\psi,\rho} + \frac{m^2}{\rho}\eta_{\psi,m} - q_\psi) \leq 0 \quad (1.18)$$

in the sense of distributions.

Remark 1.1. Theorem 1.1 indicates that there is no concentration formed in the vanishing viscosity limit of the viscosity approximate solutions to the global entropy solution of the compressible Euler equations (1.3) with initial condition (1.7), which is of finite-energy with monotonic decreasing in time (1.17) and obeys the entropy inequality (1.18).

Remark 1.2. To achieve (1.14), it suffices to choose $\delta = \varepsilon b^{-k_1}$ and $\bar{\rho} = b^{-k_2}$ for any $k_1 \geq n$ and $k_2 \geq \frac{n}{\gamma}$.

2. GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF A UNIQUE CLASSICAL SOLUTION OF THE APPROXIMATE EULER EQUATIONS WITH ARTIFICIAL VISCOSITY

The equations in (1.5) form a quasilinear parabolic system for (ρ, m) . In this section, we show the existence of a unique smooth solution (ρ, m) , equivalently (ρ, u) with $u = \frac{m}{\rho}$, and make some estimates of the solution whose bounds may depend on the parameter $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ (except the energy bound E_0 below). For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, let $C^{2+\beta}([a, b])$ and $C^{2+\beta, 1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T)$ be the usual Hölder and parabolic Hölder spaces, where $Q_T = [0, T] \times (a, b)$ (cf. [14]). For simplicity, we will drop the ε -dependence of the involved functions in this section.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\rho_0, m_0) \in (C^{2+\beta}([a, b]))^2$ with $\inf_{a \leq r \leq b} \rho_0(r) > 0$ and satisfy (1.6) and (1.15)–(1.16). Then there exists a unique global solution (ρ, m) of problem (1.5)–(1.7) for $\gamma \in (1, 3]$ such that*

$$(\rho, m) \in (C^{2+\beta, 1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T))^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \inf_{(t,r) \in Q_T} \rho(t, r) > 0 \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

The nonlinear terms in (1.5) have singularities when $\rho = 0$ or $|m| = \infty$. To establish Theorem 2.1, we derive *a priori* estimates for a generic solution in $C^{2,1}(Q_T)$ with $\|(\rho, \frac{1}{\rho}, \frac{m}{\rho})\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} < \infty$, showing by this that the solution takes values in a region (determined *a priori*) away from the singularities. With the *a priori* estimates, the existence of the solution can be derived from the general theory of the quasilinear parabolic systems, by a suitable linearization techniques; see Section 5 and Theorem 7.1 in Ladyzhenskaja-Solonnikov-Uraltseva [14].

The *a priori* estimates are obtained by the following arguments: First we derive the estimates based on the balance of total energy. Then, in Lemma 2.2, we use the maximum principle for the Riemann invariants and the total energy estimates to show that the L^∞ -norm of $u = \frac{m}{\rho}$ depends linearly on the L^∞ -norm of $\rho^{(\gamma-1)/2}$. This is in turn used in Lemma 2.3 to close the higher energy estimates for (ρ_r, m_r) . With that, we obtain the *a priori* upper bound ρ in L^∞ and, by using Lemma 2.2 again, the *a priori* bounds of the

L^∞ -norms of m and u . Finally, to show the positive lower bound for ρ , we obtain an estimate on $\int_0^t \|u_r(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} dt$.

We proceed now with the derivation of the *a priori* estimates. Let (ρ, m) , with $\rho > 0$, be a $C^{2,1}(Q_T)$ solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with (1.15)–(1.16).

2.1. Energy Estimate. As usual, we denote by

$$\eta_\delta^* = \frac{m^2}{2\rho} + h_\delta(\rho), \quad q_\delta^* = \frac{m^3}{2\rho^2} + mh'_\delta(\rho), \quad (2.1)$$

as the mechanical energy pair of system (1.5) with $\varepsilon = 0$, where $h_\delta(\rho) := \rho e_\delta(\rho)$ for the internal energy $e_\delta(\rho) := \int_0^\rho \frac{p_\delta(s)}{s^2} ds$.

Note that $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ is the only constant equilibrium state of the system. For the mechanical energy pair $(\eta_\delta^*, q_\delta^*)$ in (2.1), we denote

$$\bar{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho, m) = \eta_\delta^*(\rho, m) - \eta_\delta^*(\bar{\rho}, 0) - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)(\rho - \bar{\rho}), \quad (2.2)$$

as the total energy relative to the constant equilibrium state $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let*

$$E_0 := \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_a^b \bar{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho_0^\varepsilon(r), m_0^\varepsilon(r)) r^{n-1} dr < \infty.$$

Then, for the viscosity approximate solution $(\rho, m) = (\rho, \rho u)$ determined by Theorem 2.1 for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \right) r^{n-1} dr \\ & + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \left(h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_r|^2 + \rho |u_r|^2 + (n-1) \frac{\rho u^2}{r^2} \right) r^{n-1} dr dt \leq E_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) = h_\delta(\rho) - h_\delta(\bar{\rho}) - h'_\delta(\bar{\rho})(\rho - \bar{\rho}) \geq c_1 \rho (\rho^\theta - \bar{\rho}^\theta)^2, \quad \theta = \frac{\gamma-1}{2}, \quad (2.4)$$

for some constant $c_1 = c_1(\bar{\rho}, \gamma) > 0$. Furthermore, for any $t \in [0, T]$, the measure of set $\{\rho(t, \cdot) > \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}\}$ is less than $c_2 E_0$ for some $c_2 = c_2(\bar{\rho}, \gamma) > 0$.

Proof. We multiply the first equation in (1.5) by $(\bar{\eta}_\delta^*)_\rho r^{n-1}$, the second in (1.5) by $(\bar{\eta}_\delta^*)_m r^{n-1}$, and then add them up to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{\eta}_\delta^* r^{n-1})_t + ((q_\delta^* - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)m) r^{n-1})_r \\ & = \varepsilon r^{n-1} (\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) ((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)) + \varepsilon r^{n-1} (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m)_r (\eta_\delta^*)_m, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{\eta}_\delta^* r^{n-1})_t + ((q_\delta^* - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)m) r^{n-1})_r + (n-1) \varepsilon m (\eta_\delta^*)_m r^{n-3} \\ & = \varepsilon (\rho_r r^{n-1})_r ((\eta_\delta^*)_\rho - (\eta_\delta^*)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)) + \varepsilon (m_r r^{n-1})_r (\eta_\delta^*)_m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Integrating both sides of (2.5) over Q_t for any $t \in (0, T]$ and using the boundary conditions (1.6), we have

$$\int_a^b \bar{\eta}_\delta^* r^{n-1} dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \left((\rho_r, m_r) \nabla^2 \bar{\eta}_\delta^* (\rho_r, m_r)^\top + \frac{m^2}{2\rho r^2} \right) r^{n-1} dr dt = E_0.$$

Note that $(\rho_r, m_r) \nabla^2 \bar{\eta}_\delta^* (\rho_r, m_r)^\top$ is a positive quadratic form that dominates $h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_r|^2$ and $\rho |u_r|^2$ so that

$$\int_a^b \bar{\eta}_\delta^* r^{n-1} dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \left((2\delta + \kappa\gamma\rho^{\gamma-2}) |\rho_r|^2 + \rho |u_r|^2 + (n-1) \frac{\rho u^2}{r^2} \right) r^{n-1} dr dt \leq E_0. \quad (2.6)$$

Estimate (2.6) also implies

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b (\rho u^2 + \bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho})) r^{n-1} dr \leq E_0.$$

The function $\bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho})$ is positive, quadratic in $\rho - \bar{\rho}$ for ρ near $\bar{\rho}$, and grows as $\rho^{\max\{\gamma, 2\}}$ for large values of ρ . In particular, there exists $c_1 = c_1(\bar{\rho}, \gamma) > 0$ such that (2.4) holds. Thus, for any $t \in [0, T]$, the measure of set $\{\rho(t, \cdot) > \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}\}$ is less than $c_2 E_0$ for some $c_2 > 0$. \square

With the basic energy estimate (2.3), we have

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $C = C(\varepsilon, T, E_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}^{2 \max\{2, \gamma\}} dt \leq C. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. In the case that the measure of set $\{\rho(t, \cdot) > \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}\}$ is zero, we have the uniform upper bound $\frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}$ for $\rho(t, r)$. Otherwise, for $r \in (a, b)$, let $r_0 \in (a, b)$ be the closest to point r such that $\rho(t, r_0) = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}$. Clearly, $|r - r_0| \leq c(\bar{\rho})E_0$. With such a choice of r_0 , we have

$$|\rho^\gamma(t, r) - \rho^\gamma(t, r_0)| \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \gamma \left| \int_{r_0}^r \rho^{\gamma-1}(t, y) \rho_y(t, y) dy \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int_{r_0}^r \rho^\gamma(t, y) y^{n-1} dy \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_a^b \rho^{\gamma-2}(t, y) |\rho_y(t, y)|^2 y^{n-1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_a^b \rho^{\gamma-2}(t, r) |\rho_r(t, r)|^2 r^{n-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Then estimate (2.6) yields

$$\int_0^T \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}^{2\gamma} dt \leq C, \quad (2.10)$$

where C stands for a generic function of the parameters: $\gamma, \varepsilon, \delta, T, E_0$, and $\bar{\rho}$.

Repeating the argument with ρ^2 instead of ρ^γ , we conclude (2.7). \square

From now on, the constant $C > 0$ is a universal constant that may depend on the parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ in §2.2–§2.3, while the constant $M > 0$ below is another universal constant independent of the parameter ε as E_0 from §3, though both of them may also depend on $T > 0$, E_0 , and other parameters; we will also specify their dependence whenever needed.

2.2. Maximum Principle Estimates. Furthermore, we have

Lemma 2.2. *There exists $C = C(a, T, E_0)$ such that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} \leq C(\|u_0 + R(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} + \|u_0 - R(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} + \|R(\rho)\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)}), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$R(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \frac{\sqrt{p'_\delta(s)}}{s} ds. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Consider system (1.5). The characteristic speeds of system (1.5) without artificial viscosity terms are

$$\lambda_1 = u - \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)}, \quad \lambda_2 = u + \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)},$$

and the corresponding right-eigenvectors are

$$r_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad r_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Riemann invariants (w, z) , defined by the conditions $\nabla w \cdot r_1 = 0$ and $\nabla z \cdot r_2 = 0$, are given by

$$w = \frac{m}{\rho} + R(\rho), \quad z = \frac{m}{\rho} - R(\rho),$$

with R defined in (2.12). They are quasi-convex:

$$\nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w (\nabla^\perp w)^\top \geq 0, \quad -\nabla^\perp z \nabla^2 z (\nabla^\perp z)^\top \geq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where ∇^2 is the Hessian with respect to (ρ, m) and $\nabla^\perp = (\partial_m, -\partial_\rho)$.

Let us multiply the first equation in (1.5) by $w_\rho(\rho, m)$, the second in (1.5) by $w_m(\rho, m)$, and add them to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & w_t + \lambda_2 w_r + \frac{n-1}{r} u \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)} \\ &= -\varepsilon(\rho_r(w_\rho)_r + m_r(w_m)_r) + \varepsilon w_{rr} + \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} (w_r - \frac{1}{r} m w_m), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_2 is as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & w_t + \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r}\right) w_r - \varepsilon w_{rr} \\ &= -\varepsilon(\rho_r, m_r) \nabla^2 w(\rho_r, m_r)^\top - \frac{n-1}{r} u \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)} - (n-1)\varepsilon \frac{u}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$(\rho_r, m_r) = \alpha \nabla w + \beta \nabla^\perp w,$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{w_r}{|\nabla w|^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\rho_r w_m - m_r w_\rho}{|\nabla w|^2}.$$

Then we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} & w_t + \lambda w_r - \varepsilon w_{rr} \\ &= -\varepsilon \beta^2 \nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w (\nabla^\perp w)^\top - \frac{n-1}{r} u \sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho)} - (n-1)\varepsilon \frac{u}{r^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda_2 - \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{|\nabla w|^2} \nabla w \nabla^2 w (\nabla w)^\top + \frac{2\varepsilon\beta}{|\nabla w|^2} \nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w (\nabla w)^\top.$$

By setting

$$\tilde{w}(t, r) = w(t, r) - (n-1) \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\sqrt{p'_\delta(\rho(\tau, r))} u(\tau, r)}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon u(\tau, r)}{r^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} d\tau,$$

and using the quasi-convexity property (2.13) and the classical maximum principle applied to the parabolic equation (2.14), we obtain

$$\max_{Q_t} \tilde{w} \leq \max \left\{ \max_{(a, b)} w_0, \max_{[0, t] \times (\{a\} \cup \{b\})} \tilde{w} \right\},$$

or

$$\max_{Q_t} w \leq \max_{(a, b)} w_0 + \|R(\rho)\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} + C(\bar{\rho}, a) \int_0^t \left(1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}} \right) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} d\tau.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\max_{Q_t} (-z) \leq \max_{(a, b)} (-z_0) + \|R(\rho)\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} + C \int_0^t \left(1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}} \right) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} d\tau.$$

Since $\rho \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{Q_t} |u| &\leq \max_{(a, b)} |w_0| + \max_{(a, b)} |z_0| + \|R(\rho)\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} \\ &\quad + C(a) \int_0^t \left(1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}} \right) \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

By (2.7) and $\max\{1, \gamma-1\} < 4\gamma$, we have

$$\int_0^T \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma-1\}} d\tau \leq C.$$

Then we conclude (2.11) from (2.15). \square

2.3. Lower Bound on ρ .

Lemma 2.3. *There exists $C = C(\|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}, \|(\rho_0, m_0)\|_{H^1(a, b)}, \gamma)$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b (|\rho_r|^2 + |m_r|^2) dr + \int_{Q_T} (|\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2) dr dt \leq C. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. We multiply the first equation in (1.5) by ρ_{rr} and the second by m_{rr} to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_t \left(\frac{|\rho_r|^2 + |m_r|^2}{2} \right) - \varepsilon (|\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2) + (\rho_t \rho_r)_r + (m_t m_r)_r \\ &= -m_r \rho_{rr} - \frac{(n-1)}{r} m \rho_{rr} - (\rho u^2 + p_\delta)_r m_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^2 m_{rr} \\ & \quad + \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} \rho_r \rho_{rr} + \left(\frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} m \right)_r m_{rr}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate this over Q_t to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \left(\frac{|\rho_r|^2 + |m_r|^2}{2} \right) \Big|_0^t dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} (|\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2) dr dt \\
&= \int_{Q_t} \left(m_r \rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} m_r \rho_{rr} \right) dr dt + \int_{Q_t} (\rho u^2 + p_\delta)_r m_{rr} dr dt \\
&+ (n-1) \int_{Q_t} \left(\frac{\rho u^2}{r} m_{rr} - \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \rho_r \rho_{rr} \right) dr dt - (n-1) \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \left(\frac{m}{r} \right)_r m_{rr} dr dt. \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the term $\int_{Q_T} (\rho u^2 + p)_r m_{rr} dr dt$ first. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{Q_t} p'_\delta(\rho) \rho_r m_{rr} dr d\tau \right| \\
&\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_{Q_t} (2\delta\rho + \kappa\gamma\rho^{\gamma-1})^2 |\rho_r|^2 dr d\tau \\
&\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left((1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{2\gamma}) \int_a^b |\rho_r|^2 dr \right) d\tau, \tag{2.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta > 0$ will be chosen later.

Consider $(\rho u^2)_r m_{rr} = u^2 \rho_r m_r + 2\rho u u_r m_{rr}$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_r m_{rr}| dr d\tau \\
&\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^4 \int_a^b |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau \\
&\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^4 \int_a^b h''_\delta(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the uniform estimates (2.11), we obtain

$$\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^4 \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_\tau)}^4 \leq C(\bar{\rho}, a, \|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}) (1 + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_\tau)}^{2\max\{1, \gamma-1\}}). \tag{2.19}$$

Inserting this into the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_r m_{rr}| dr d\tau \\
&\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left((1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{2\max\{1, \gamma-1\}}) \int_a^b h''_\delta(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the estimate similar to (2.8), we can write

$$\|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{\max\{4, \gamma+2\}} \leq C \left(1 + \int_a^b |\rho_r(t, \cdot)|^2 dr \right) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.20}$$

Using (2.20) and $\gamma \in (1, 3]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_r m_{rr}| dr d\tau \\ & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau \\ & \quad + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 dr\right) \int_a^b h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_t} |\rho u u_r m_{rr}| dr d\tau & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau \\ & \quad + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\|(\rho u^2)(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \int_a^b \rho(\tau, r) |u_r(\tau, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Arguing as in (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\rho u^2)(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} & \leq C \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{\max\{2, \gamma\}} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 dr \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Inserting this into (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} |\rho u u_r m_{rr}| dr d\tau \\ & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau \\ & \quad + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 dr\right) \int_a^b \rho(\tau, r) |u_r(\tau, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Combining (2.18), (2.21), and (2.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Q_t} (\rho u^2 + p)_r m_{rr} dr d\tau \right| & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau \\ & \quad + C_\Delta \int_0^t \Phi_1(\tau) \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_1(\tau) = \int_a^b \left(h_\delta''(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 + \rho(\tau, r) |u_r(\tau, r)|^2 \right) dr$$

is an $L^1(0, T)$ -function with the norm depending on a, ε , and E_0 ; see (2.3) and (2.7).

Consider now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Q_t} \frac{2\rho u^2}{r} m_{rr} dr d\tau \right| &\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(\|(\rho u^2)(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \int_a^b (\rho u^2)(\tau, r) dr \right) d\tau \\ &\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 dr d\tau + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we have used (2.3) and (2.23). All the other terms in (2.17) can be estimated by similar arguments. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \int_a^b (|\rho_r(\tau, s)|^2 + |m_r(\tau, s)|^2) dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} (|\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2) dr d\tau \\ &\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} (|\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2) dr d\tau \\ &\quad + C_\Delta \int_0^t (1 + \Phi(\tau)) \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b (|\rho_r(s, r)|^2 + |m_r(s, r)|^2) dr \right) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(\tau) = \Phi_1(\tau) + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{2 \max\{2, \gamma\}}$.

Choosing Δ small enough and using the Gronwall-type argument and Lemma 2.1, we complete the proof. \square

As a corollary, we can first bound $\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}$, which follows directly from (2.16) and (2.20), and then bound $\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}$ from Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists an a priori bound for $\|(\rho, u)\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}$ in terms of the parameters $T, E_0, \|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{L^\infty(a, b)}$, and $\|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{H^1(a, b)}$.*

Define

$$\phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\rho - \bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}^2}, & \rho < \bar{\rho}, \\ 0, & \rho > \bar{\rho}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5. *There exists $C > 0$ depending on $\|\phi(\rho_0)\|_{L^1(a, b)}$ and the other parameters of the problem such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \phi(\rho(t, \cdot)) dr + \int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt \leq C. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. Indeed, multiplying the first equation in (1.5) by $\phi'(\rho)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_t + (u\phi)_r - \varepsilon \phi_{rr} + (n-1)\varepsilon \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \\ &= 2\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}}\right) u_r \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2}\right) \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} + \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2}\right) \rho_r \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above equation in (t, r) and using the boundary conditions (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \phi(\rho) dr + \varepsilon(n-1) \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt \\
 & \leq \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} 2\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}}\right) u_r dr dt \right| + \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2}\right) dr dt \right| \\
 & \quad + \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} \rho_r \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2}\right) dr dt \right| \\
 & = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$I_1 \leq 2 \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \left| \frac{\rho_r u}{\rho^2} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt + C_\varepsilon \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|u|^2}{\rho} dr dt.$$

Since $\rho^{-1} \leq \phi(\rho)$ for small ρ , u is bounded in L^∞ , and $|\{\rho(t, \cdot) \leq \bar{\rho}\}|$ is bounded independently of T , then the last term in the above inequality is bounded by

$$C \left(1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dr dt\right).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & \leq 2 \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \left| \frac{\rho_r u}{\rho^2} \right| dr dt \\
 & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt + C_\Delta \left(1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dr dt\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Also, by the similar arguments,

$$I_2 = \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{n-1}{r} \left(\frac{\rho u}{\rho^2} - \frac{u}{\rho}\right) dr dt \right| \leq C \left(1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dr dt\right), \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 & \leq C \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \left| \frac{\varepsilon \rho_r}{\rho^2} \right| dr dt \\
 & \leq \Delta \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt + C_\Delta \left(1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dr dt\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Combining the last three estimates in (2.26), choosing $\Delta > 0$ sufficiently small, and using the Gronwall-type inequality, we obtain the *a priori* estimate we need. \square

Then we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T \left\| \frac{1}{\rho(t, \cdot)} \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b)} dt & \leq C \left(1 + \left(\int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) dr dt\right)^{1/2}\right) \\
 & \leq C \left(1 + \left(\int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Lemma 2.6. *There exists $C > 0$ depending on $\|\phi(\rho_0)\|_{L^1(a,b)}$ and the other parameters as in Lemma 2.4 such that*

$$\int_0^T \left\| \left(\frac{m_r}{\rho}, \frac{\rho_r}{\rho}, u_r \right)(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} dt \leq C, \quad (2.31)$$

and

$$C^{-1} \leq \rho(t, r) \leq C. \quad (2.32)$$

Proof. Indeed, by the Sobolev embedding and (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{m_r(t, \cdot)}{\rho(t, \cdot)} \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} dt &\leq \int_0^T \|m_r(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \|\rho^{-1}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \left(\int_a^b |m_{rr}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \left(\int_a^b \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by (2.16) and (2.25). The estimate for $\frac{\rho_r}{\rho}$ is the same. The estimate for u_r follows from $u_r = \frac{m_r}{\rho} - \frac{u\rho_r}{\rho}$, the estimates above, and Lemma 2.4.

Now we can obtain a uniform estimate for $v = \frac{1}{\rho}$. Notice that v verifies the inequality:

$$v_t + \left(u - \frac{\varepsilon(n-1)}{r} \right) v_r - \varepsilon v_{rr} \leq \left(u_r + \frac{(n-1)u}{r} \right) v.$$

By the maximum principle, we have

$$\max_{Q_T} v \leq C \max \{ \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}, \bar{v} \} e^{C \int_0^T \|(u_r, u)(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} d\tau} \leq C \max \{ \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}, \bar{v} \}, \quad (2.33)$$

by Lemma 2.4 and (2.31). \square

The estimates in Lemma 2.4 and (2.33) are the required *a priori* estimates. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we provide a complete proof of Theorem 1.1. As indicated earlier, the constant M is a universal constant, *independent of $\varepsilon > 0$* , from now on.

3.1. A Priori Estimates Independent of ε . We will need the following estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $l = 0, \dots, n-1$, and $a_1 \in (a, 1]$. There exists $M = M(\gamma, a_1, E_0)$ such that, for any $T > 0$,*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{a_1}^b \rho(t, \cdot)^\gamma r^l dr \leq M(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. The proof is based on the energy estimate (2.3). Let

$$\hat{e}(\rho) = \rho^\gamma - \bar{\rho}^\gamma - \gamma \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} (\rho - \bar{\rho}).$$

Using the Young inequality, we find that there exists $M(\gamma) > 0$ such that

$$\rho^\gamma \leq M(\gamma) (\hat{e}(\rho) + \bar{\rho}^\gamma).$$

Then we have

$$\int_{a_1}^b \rho^\gamma r^l dr \leq M \left(\int_{a_1}^b \hat{e}(\rho) r^l dr + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^{l+1} \right).$$

Since $0 < a(\varepsilon) < 1 < b(\varepsilon) < \infty$, we have

$$\int_{a_1}^b \hat{e}(\rho(t, r)) r^l dr \leq a_1^{l+1-n} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \int_a^b \bar{\eta}_\delta^*(\rho(\tau, r), m(\tau, r)) r^{n-1} dr \leq a_1^{1-n} E_0,$$

by Proposition 2.1 for E_0 , independent of ε , which implies that, for all $l = 0, \dots, n-1$,

$$\int_{a_1}^b \rho^\gamma r^l dr \leq M(a_1^{1-n} E_0 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n) \leq M(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n).$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $M = M(T)$, independent of ε , such that*

$$\int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} dy dt \leq M \left(1 + \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for any } r \in (a, b). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Consider first the case $\gamma \in (1, 2)$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} dy dt &\leq M\varepsilon \int_0^T \sup_{(r,b)} \rho^{3-\gamma}(t, \cdot) dt \\ &\leq M + M\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{3-\frac{3\gamma}{2}} |(\rho^{\frac{\gamma}{2}})_y| dy dt \\ &\leq M + M\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{6-3\gamma} (y^{n-1})^{-1} dy dt \\ &= M + M\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{6-3\gamma} (y^{n-1})^{2-\gamma} (y^{n-1})^{\gamma-3} dy dt \\ &\leq M + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} dy dt, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we have used the Jensen inequality. It follows from the above computation that

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} dy dt \leq M(T) \quad \text{for all } r \in (a, b),$$

which arrives at (3.2).

Let now $\gamma \in [2, 3]$. First, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_r^b \rho y^{n-1} dy &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\int_a^b \rho^\gamma r^{n-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\int_r^b y^{n-1} dy \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq Mb^{\frac{n(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}} \leq Mb^n \end{aligned}$$

since $b > 1$.

Then we argue as above:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} dy dt &\leq \int_0^T \left(\sup_{(r,b)} \rho^2(t, \cdot) \int_r^b \rho y^{n-1} dy \right) dt \\
&\leq Mb^n \left(1 + \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho |\rho_r| dy dt \right) \\
&= Mb^n \left(1 + \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}} |\rho_y| dy dt \right) \\
&\leq Mb^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{4-\gamma} (y^{n-1})^{-1} dy dt \right) \\
&\leq Mb^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \\
&\leq M \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we have used the Jensen inequality with powers $\frac{\gamma}{4-\gamma}$ and $\frac{\gamma}{2\gamma-4}$ and the energy estimate (2.3). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let K be a compact subset of (a, b) . Then, for $T > 0$, there exists $M = M(K, T)$ independent of ε such that*

$$\int_0^T \int_K (\rho^{\gamma+1} + \delta \rho^3) dr dt \leq M. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. We divide the proof into five steps.

1. Let $\omega(r)$ be a smooth positive, compactly supported function on (a, b) . We multiply the momentum equation in (1.5) by ω to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\rho u \omega)_t + ((\rho u^2 + p_\delta) \omega)_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^2 \omega - \varepsilon \left(\omega(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) \right)_r \\
&= (\rho u^2 + p_\delta - \varepsilon(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m)) \omega_r.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating (3.4) in r over (r, b) yields

$$\left(\int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right)_t + \int_r^b \frac{n-1}{y} \rho u^2 \omega dy + \varepsilon \omega(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) = \omega(\rho u^2 + p_\delta) + f_1, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$f_1 = \int_r^b (\rho u^2 + p_\delta - \varepsilon(m_y + \frac{n-1}{y} m)) \omega_y dy.$$

2. Multiplying (3.5) by ρ and using the continuity equation (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right)_t + \left((\rho u)_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho m - \varepsilon(\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) \right) \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \\
&\quad + \rho \int_r^b \frac{n-1}{y} \rho u^2 \omega dy + \varepsilon \rho \omega(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) \\
&= (\rho^2 u^2 + \rho p_\delta) \omega + \rho f_1,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_t + \left(\rho u \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r \\
& + \varepsilon \left(- \left(\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r \right) \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy + \rho \omega \left(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m \right) \right) \\
& = \rho p_\delta \omega + f_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$f_2 = \rho f_1 - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho m \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy - \rho \int_r^b \frac{n-1}{y} \rho u^2 \omega \, dy.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r \right) \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy + \rho \omega \left(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m \right) \\
& = - \left(\rho_r \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \rho u \rho_r \omega - \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho^2 u \omega \\
& \quad + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy + \rho^2 u_r \omega + \rho u \rho_r \omega + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho^2 u \omega \\
& = - \left(\rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_{rr} - (\rho^2 u \omega)_r - \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r \\
& \quad + \rho^2 u_r \omega + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_t + \left(\rho u \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \varepsilon \left(\rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_{rr} - \varepsilon (\rho^2 u \omega)_r \\
& \quad - \varepsilon \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r + \varepsilon \rho^2 u_r \omega \\
& = p_\delta \rho \omega + f_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $f_3 = f_2 - \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{r^2} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy$.

3. We multiply (3.7) by ω to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_t + \left(\rho u \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \varepsilon \left(\omega \left(\rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r \right)_r \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \left(\rho \omega_r \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \varepsilon (\rho^2 u \omega^2)_r - \varepsilon \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \rho^2 u_r \omega^2 + \varepsilon \rho^2 u \omega \omega_r \\
& = p_\delta \rho \omega^2 + f_4,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $f_4 = \omega f_3 + \rho u \omega_r \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho \omega_r \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy$.

We integrate (3.8) over $[0, T] \times [a, b]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_T} (\delta\rho^3 + \kappa\rho^{\gamma+1})\omega^2 drdt \\
&= \int_{Q_T} (\varepsilon\rho^2 u_r \omega^2 + \varepsilon\rho^2 u \omega \omega_r) drdt \\
&\quad + \int_a^b \left(\rho\omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right) \Big|_0^T dr - \int_{Q_T} f_4 drdt \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^3 \omega^2 drdt + \varepsilon M \int_{Q_T} (\rho|u_r|^2 \omega^2 + \rho|u|^2 |\omega_r|^2) drdt \\
&\quad + \int_a^b \left(\rho\omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right) \Big|_0^T dr - \int_{Q_T} f_4 drdt \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^3 \omega^2 drdt + M(\text{supp } \omega, T, E_0). \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows easily from (2.3)–(2.6) and the formula for f_4 .

4. Claim: There exists $M = M(\text{supp } \omega, T, E_0)$ such that

$$\varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \rho^3 \omega^2 drdt \leq M + M\varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \rho^{\gamma+1} \omega^2 drdt. \tag{3.10}$$

If $\gamma \geq 2$, the claim is trivial. Let $\gamma < \beta \leq 3$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^\beta \omega^2 dxdt \tag{3.11} \\
&\leq \varepsilon \sup_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho^{\beta-\gamma} \omega^2) \int_{Q_T \cap \text{supp } \omega} \rho^\gamma drdt \\
&\leq \varepsilon M \sup_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho^{\beta-\gamma} \omega^2) \\
&\leq \varepsilon M \int_{Q_T} \rho^{\beta-\gamma-\frac{\gamma}{2}} |(\rho^{\frac{\gamma}{2}})_r| \omega^2 drdt + \varepsilon M \int_{Q_T} \rho^{\beta-\gamma} \omega |\omega_r| drdt \\
&\leq \varepsilon M \left(\int_{Q_T \cap \text{supp } \omega} \rho^\gamma drdt + \int_{Q_T} |(\rho^{\frac{\gamma}{2}})_r|^2 \omega^2 drdt + \int_{Q_T} \rho^{2\beta-3\gamma} \omega^2 drdt \right) \\
&\leq M \left(1 + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^{2\beta-3\gamma} \omega^2 drdt \right). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

If $2\beta - 3\gamma \leq \gamma + 1$, the estimate of the claim follows. Otherwise, since $2\beta - 3\gamma < \beta$ (note that $\beta \leq 3$), we can iterate (3.11) with β replaced by $2\beta - 3\gamma$ and improve (3.11):

$$\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^\beta \omega^2 drdt \leq M \left(1 + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^{4\beta-9\gamma} \omega^2 drdt \right). \tag{3.13}$$

If $4\beta - 9\gamma$ is still larger than $\gamma + 1$, we iterate the estimate again. In this way, we obtain a recurrence relation $\beta_n = 2\beta_{n-1} - 3\gamma$, $\beta_0 = \beta \leq 3$, and the estimate

$$\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^\beta \omega^2 drdt \leq M(n) \left(1 + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^{\beta_n \gamma} \omega^2 drdt \right).$$

Solving the recurrence relation, we obtain

$$\beta_n = 2^n \beta - 3\gamma(2^{n-1} - 1).$$

For some n , the expression is less than $\gamma + 1$ (note that $\beta \leq 3$). Then the expected estimate is obtained.

5. Now returning to (3.9), we have

$$\int_{Q_T} (\rho^{\gamma+1} + \delta\rho^2)\omega^2 dr dt \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T, E_0)$$

for all small $\varepsilon > 0$. □

The following lemma holds for weak entropies η (also *cf.* [12]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ be the mechanical energy of system (1.3), and let (η_ψ, q_ψ) be an entropy pair (1.11)–(1.12) with the generating function $\psi(s)$ satisfying*

$$\sup_s |\psi''(s)| < \infty.$$

Then, for any $(\rho, m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and any vector $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2)$,

$$|\bar{a} \nabla^2 \eta \bar{a}^\top| \leq M_\psi \bar{a} \nabla^2 \eta^* \bar{a}^\top \quad \text{for some } M_\psi > 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $K \subset (a, b)$ be compact. There exists $M = M(K, T)$ independent of ε such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\int_0^T \int_K (\rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) dr dt \leq M \left(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n \right).$$

Proof. We divide the proof into five steps.

1. Let $(\check{\eta}, \check{q})$ be an entropy pair corresponding to $\psi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s|s|$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) &= \check{\eta}(\rho, m) - \nabla_{(\rho, m)} \check{\eta}(\bar{\rho}, 0) \cdot (\rho - \bar{\rho}, m) \geq 0, \\ \tilde{q}(\rho, m) &= \check{q}(\rho, m) - \nabla_{(\rho, m)} \check{\eta}(\bar{\rho}, 0) \cdot \left(m, \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the entropy pair $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q})$ is defined for system (1.3) with pressure $p = \kappa\rho^\gamma$, rather than p_δ . Then $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q})$ is still an entropy pair of (1.3).

We multiply the continuity equation in (1.5) by $\tilde{\eta}_\rho r^{n-1}$, the momentum equation (1.5) by $\tilde{\eta}_m r^{n-1}$, and then add them to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\eta} r^{n-1})_t + (\tilde{q} r^{n-1})_r + (n-1)r^{n-2} \left(-\check{q} + m\check{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \check{\eta}_m + \check{\eta}_m(\bar{\rho}, 0)p(\rho) \right) \\ &= \varepsilon r^{n-1} \left((\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\rho_r)\tilde{\eta}_\rho + (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r}m)_r \tilde{\eta}_m \right) - (\delta\rho^2)_r \tilde{\eta}_m r^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

2. It can be checked directly that, for some constant $M = M(\gamma) > 0$,

$$\tilde{q}(\rho, m) \geq \frac{1}{M}(\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) - M(\rho + \rho|u|^2 + \rho^\gamma), \quad (3.16)$$

$$-\check{q} + m(\check{\eta}_\rho + u\check{\eta}_m) \leq 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$|\check{\eta}_m| \leq M(|u| + \rho^\theta), \quad |\check{\eta}_\rho| \leq M(|u|^2 + \rho^{2\theta}), \quad (3.18)$$

$$|\tilde{\eta}| \leq M(\rho + \rho|u|^2 + \rho^\gamma), \quad \rho|\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho + \rho|u|^2 + \rho^\gamma), \quad (3.19)$$

and, for $\check{\eta}_\rho + u\check{\eta}_m$ considered as a function of (ρ, u) ,

$$|(\check{\eta}_\rho + u\check{\eta}_m)_\rho| \leq M(\rho^{\theta-1}|u| + \rho^{2\theta-1}), \quad |(\check{\eta}_\rho + u\check{\eta}_m)_u| \leq M(|u| + \rho^\theta). \quad (3.20)$$

Also see [8] for these inequalities.

Moreover, note that, at $r = b$,

$$\tilde{q}(\bar{\rho}, 0) = \check{q}(\bar{\rho}, 0) = c_0(\gamma)\bar{\rho}^{\gamma+\theta}, \quad |\check{\eta}_m(\bar{\rho}, 0)| = c_1(\gamma)\bar{\rho}^\theta, \quad \check{\eta}_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0) = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

for some positive $c_i(\gamma), i = 0, 1$, depending only on γ .

3. We integrate equation (3.15) over $(0, T) \times (r, b)$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \tilde{q}(\tau, r)r^{n-1} d\tau &= c(\theta)\bar{\rho}^{\gamma+\theta}b^{n-1}T + \int_r^b (\tilde{\eta}(T, y) - \tilde{\eta}(0, y)) y^{n-1} dy \\ &+ (n-1) \int_0^T \int_r^b \left(-\check{q} + m\check{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho}\check{\eta}_m \right) y^{n-2} dy d\tau \\ &+ (n-1) \int_0^T \int_r^b y^{n-2}\check{\eta}_m(\bar{\rho}, 0)(p(\rho) - p(\bar{\rho})) dy d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_r^b \varepsilon y^{n-1} \left((\rho_{yy} + \frac{n-1}{y}\rho_y)\check{\eta}_\rho + (m_y + \frac{n-1}{y}m)_y\check{\eta}_m \right) dy d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_r^b \delta \rho^2 ((\check{\eta}_m)_\rho \rho_y + (\check{\eta}_m)_u u_y) y^{n-1} dy d\tau \\ &+ (n-1) \int_0^T \int_r^b \delta \rho^2 \check{\eta}_m y^{n-2} dy d\tau \\ &= I_1 + \dots + I_7. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

4. Now we estimate the terms in (3.22). Clearly,

$$|I_1| \leq M\bar{\rho}^{\gamma+\theta}b^{n-1} \leq M\bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n,$$

since $\bar{\rho} < 1$ and $b > 1$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Notice that $|\tilde{\eta}(\rho, m)| \leq \eta^*(\rho, m)$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_r^b |\tilde{\eta}(\rho(T, r), m(T, r))| r^{n-1} dr \\ &\leq \int_a^b \eta^*(\rho(T, r), m(T, r)) r^{n-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

By the energy estimate (2.6), $|I_2(t, r)| \leq E_0$.

The term I_3 is nonpositive by (3.17) and can be dropped.

Using Step 2, we have

$$|I_4(t, r)| \leq M(a_1, T)(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n) \quad \text{for any } (t, r) \in [0, T] \times [a_1, b]. \quad (3.23)$$

5. Consider I_5 . We write

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}(\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\rho_r)\tilde{\eta}_\rho &= (r^{n-1}\rho_r)_r\tilde{\eta}_\rho, \\ r^{n-1}(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r}m)_r\tilde{\eta}_m &= (r^{n-1}m_r)_r\tilde{\eta}_m - (n-1)r^{n-3}m\tilde{\eta}_m, \end{aligned}$$

and employ integration by parts (note that $\tilde{\eta}_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0) = \tilde{\eta}_m(\bar{\rho}, 0) = 0$) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= -\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_r^b (\rho_y(\tilde{\eta}_\rho)_y + m_y(\tilde{\eta}_m)_y) y^{n-1} dy d\tau - (n-1)\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_r^b m\tilde{\eta}_m y^{n-3} dy d\tau \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t \tilde{\eta}_r(\tau, r) r^{n-1} d\tau \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Using the energy estimate (2.3) and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$|J_1(t, r)| \leq ME_0.$$

Also, using Step 2 and (3.21), we have

$$|m\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho|u|^2 + \rho^\gamma + \rho|\tilde{\eta}_m(\bar{\rho}, 0)|) \leq M(\eta^*(\rho, m) + \bar{\rho}^{2\theta}\rho).$$

It follows by the energy estimate (2.3) that

$$\left| \int_a^b J_2 \omega dr \right| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T)(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n),$$

for any nonnegative smooth function ω with $\text{supp } \omega \subset (a, b)$.

We write

$$\tilde{\eta}_r = \rho_r \tilde{\eta}_\rho + m_r \tilde{\eta}_m = \rho_r(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m) + \rho\tilde{\eta}_m u_r.$$

Then we consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b J_3 \omega dr &= \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)\omega_r r^{n-1} dr d\tau - (n-1)\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)\omega r^{n-2} dr d\tau \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho(\rho_r(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)_\rho + u_r(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)_u - \tilde{\eta}_m u_r)\omega r^{n-1} dr d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m = \check{\eta}_\rho + u\check{\eta}_m + \text{const.}$ and using Step 2 and estimates (2.3)–(2.6) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\left| \int_a^b J_3(t, r) \omega dr \right| \leq M(a_1, T, \|\omega\|_{C^1}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_T} (\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta})\omega r^{n-1} dr d\tau.$$

To estimate I_6 , employing that $|(\tilde{\eta}_m)_\rho| \leq M\rho^{\theta-1}$, $|(\tilde{\eta}_m)_u| \leq M$, and the energy estimate (2.3), we have

$$|I_6| \leq M \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 r^{n-1} dr d\tau \leq M \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} b^n \leq M \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n,$$

where we have used the result of Lemma 3.2 and $\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} < 1$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$ in the last inequality.

The last term I_7 is estimated in the similar fashion:

$$\left| \int_a^b I_7 \omega dr \right| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega) \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M(\text{supp } \omega) \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n,$$

since $\delta < 1$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Finally, we multiply equation (3.22) by the nonnegative smooth function ω , integrate it over (a, b) , and use estimate (3.16), together with the above estimates for $I_j, j = 1, \dots, 7$, and an appropriate choice of δ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} (\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) \omega r^{n-1} dr d\tau \\ & \leq M(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_t} (\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) \omega r^{n-1} dr d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Weak Entropy Dissipation Estimates. Let $a = a(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $b = b(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$. We choose $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M \quad \text{uniformly in } \varepsilon. \quad (3.25)$$

With this choice $(\bar{\rho}, \delta)$, the estimates on the lemmas in §3.1 are uniform in $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Given a sequence of the initial data functions as in Theorem 1.1, denote $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$ by the corresponding solution of the viscosity equations (1.5) on $Q^\varepsilon = [0, \infty) \times [a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)]$ with $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon)$ as above.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (η, q) be an entropy pair of system (1.3) with form (1.11)–(1.12) for a smooth, compactly supported function $\psi(s)$ on \mathbb{R} . Then the entropy dissipation measures*

$$\eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_t + q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_r \quad \text{are compact in } H_{loc}^{-1}. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof. We divide the proof into seven steps.

1. Denote $\eta^\varepsilon = \eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$, $q^\varepsilon = q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$, and $m^\varepsilon = \rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t^\varepsilon + q_r^\varepsilon &= -\frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^\varepsilon (\eta_\rho^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \eta_m^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{r} \left(\rho_r^\varepsilon \eta_\rho^\varepsilon + r \left(\frac{1}{r} m^\varepsilon \right)_r \eta_m^\varepsilon \right) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon (\rho_r^\varepsilon (\eta_\rho^\varepsilon)_r + m_r^\varepsilon (\eta_m^\varepsilon)_r) + \varepsilon \eta_{rr}^\varepsilon - (\delta \rho^2)_r \eta_m^\varepsilon \\ &= I_1^\varepsilon + \dots + I_5^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

2. We notice that

$$|I_1^\varepsilon(t, r)| \leq M \rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon| (1 + (\rho^\varepsilon)^\theta) \leq M (\rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2 + \rho^\varepsilon + (\rho^\varepsilon)^\gamma), \quad (3.28)$$

bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(0, \infty))$, independent of ε (all of the functions are extended by 0 outside (a, b)).

3. Next,

$$I_2^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{r^2} (\eta^\varepsilon - m^\varepsilon \eta_m^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \eta^\varepsilon \right)_r =: I_{2a}^\varepsilon + I_{2b}^\varepsilon. \quad (3.29)$$

Since

$$|\eta^\varepsilon - m^\varepsilon \eta_m^\varepsilon| \leq M(\rho^\varepsilon + \rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2),$$

then

$$I_{2a}^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.30)$$

On the other hand, if ω is smooth and compactly supported on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \left| \int_{Q^\varepsilon} I_{2b}^\varepsilon \omega(t, r) dr dt \right| &= \varepsilon \left| \int \frac{n-1}{r} \eta^\varepsilon \omega_r dr dt \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon M(\text{supp } \omega) \|\rho^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\gamma+1}(\text{supp } \omega)} \|\omega\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\rho^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\gamma+1}(\text{supp } \omega)}$ is bounded, independent of ε (see (3.3)), the above estimate shows that

$$I_{2b}^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.31)$$

4. For I_3^ε , we use Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3^\varepsilon| &= \varepsilon |\langle \nabla^2 \eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)(\rho_r^\varepsilon, m_r^\varepsilon), (\rho_r^\varepsilon, m_r^\varepsilon) \rangle| \\ &\leq M_\psi \varepsilon \langle \nabla^2 \eta^*(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)(\rho_r^\varepsilon, m_r^\varepsilon), (\rho_r^\varepsilon, m_r^\varepsilon) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.32) with Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.4, we conclude that

$$I_3^\varepsilon \quad \text{is uniformly bounded in } L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(0, \infty)). \quad (3.32)$$

5. To show that $I_4^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in H_{loc}^{-1} as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we need the following claim, adopting the arguments from [18].

Claim: Let $K \subset (0, \infty)$ be a compact subset. Then, for any $0 < \Delta < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_r^\varepsilon|^2 dr dt \leq M(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \Delta^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \Delta + \varepsilon). \quad (3.33)$$

In particular,

$$\int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_r^\varepsilon|^2 dr dt \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\varepsilon \eta_r^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^p(0, T; L_{loc}^p(0, \infty)) \quad \text{for } p := 2 - \frac{2}{\gamma+1} \in (1, 2).$$

Now we prove the claim. For the simplicity of notation, we suppress superscript ε in all of the functions. Define

$$\phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho^2}{2}, & \rho < \Delta, \\ \frac{\Delta^2}{2} + \Delta(\rho - \Delta), & \rho \geq \Delta, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi''(\rho) &= \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}}(\rho), \\ \rho \phi'(\rho) - \phi(\rho) &= \frac{\rho^2}{2} \quad \text{for } \rho < \Delta, \\ \rho \phi'(\rho) - \phi(\rho) &= \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \quad \text{for } \rho \geq \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_A(\rho)$ is the indicator function that is 1 when $\rho \in A$ and 0 otherwise.

Let $\omega(r)$ be a nonnegative smooth, compactly supported function on $(0, \infty)$. We compute from the continuity equation, the first equation, in (1.5):

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi\omega)_t + (\phi u\omega)_r - \phi u\omega_r - \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} + \delta^2\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}})\omega u_r + \frac{n-1}{r}\rho u \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \\ &= \varepsilon(\phi'\omega\rho_r)_r - \varepsilon \min\{\rho, \Delta\}\omega'\rho_r + \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r}\omega \min\{\rho, \Delta\}\rho_r - \varepsilon\omega|\rho_r|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Integrating (3.34) over $(0, T) \times (0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int \varepsilon\omega|\rho_r|^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} dr dt \\ &= - \int \phi\omega|_0^T dr + \int_0^T \int \phi u\omega_r dr dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int (\rho^2\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} + \delta\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}})\omega u_r dr dt - \int_0^T \int \frac{n-1}{r}\rho u \min\{\rho, \Delta\} dr dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int \varepsilon \min\{\rho, \Delta\}\omega'\rho_r dr dt + \int_0^T \int \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r}\omega \min\{\rho, \Delta\}\rho_r dr dt \\ &= J_1 + \dots + J_6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

We estimate the integrals on the right:

$$|J_1| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega) \left(\Delta^2 + \Delta \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \rho dr dt \right) \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T)\Delta; \quad (3.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\Delta|\rho u|\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}} + (\Delta^2 + \Delta\rho)|u|\chi_{\{\rho>\Delta\}}) dr dt \\ &\leq \Delta \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho + \rho|u|^2) dt dt \\ &\leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T)\Delta; \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{\Delta^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho + \varepsilon\rho|u_r|^2) dr dt \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}; \quad (3.38)$$

$$|J_4| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega)\Delta \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho + \rho|u|^2) dr dt \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T)\Delta; \quad (3.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |J_5| &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}\Delta^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}}|\rho_r| dr dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \rho|\rho_r|\chi_{\{\rho<\Delta\}}\omega' dr dt \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \rho^{\gamma-2}|\rho_r|^2\omega dr dt + 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \rho^2 \frac{|\omega'|^2}{\omega} dr dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\Delta^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \int |\rho_r|^2\omega dr dt + \varepsilon M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \\ & \quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\Delta^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} M(\text{supp } \omega, T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Moreover, J_6 is estimated in the same way as J_5 . Thus, estimate (3.33) is proved.

Now we prove the second part of the claim.

Notice that

$$|\eta_r| \leq M(|\rho_r||\eta_\rho + u\eta_m| + \rho|u_r|) \leq M(|\rho_r|(1 + \rho^\theta) + \rho|u_r|). \quad (3.41)$$

Let $q \in (1, 2)$ to be chosen later on. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^q |\eta_r|^q dr dt &\leq M \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^q |\rho_r|^q dr dt + \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^q (|\rho_r| \rho^\theta + \rho |u_r|)^q dr dt \\ &\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^{2q} |\rho_r|^2 dr dt \\ &\quad + M \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^p \rho^{\frac{q}{2}} (|\rho^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}} \rho_r|^q + |\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} u_r|^q) dr dt \\ &\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_r|^2 dr dt \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{q-1} M \int_0^T \int_K (\varepsilon(\rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_r|^2 + \rho |u_r|^2) + \varepsilon \rho^{\frac{q}{2-\gamma}}) dr dt \\ &\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\rho_r|^2 dr dt + \varepsilon^{q-1} C(T, K), \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

provided that $\frac{2}{2-q} = \gamma + 1$, which holds if and only if $q = 2 - \frac{2}{\gamma+1}$. Combining this with estimate (3.33), we arrive at the conclusion of the claim.

6. Consider the last term I_5^ε . This term is bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(0, \infty))$. Indeed, for a compact set $K \subset (0, \infty)$, using the energy estimates (2.3) and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_K |I_5| dr dt &\leq M_\psi \int_0^T \int_K \delta \rho |\rho_r| dr dt \\ &\leq M(\psi, K) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_K \rho^{4-\gamma} dr dt \right) \\ &\leq M(\psi, K) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_K \rho^3 dr dt \right) \\ &\leq M(\psi, K) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} b^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the choice of δ , the term on the right is uniformly bounded in ε .

7. Combining Steps 1–6, we conclude

$$\eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_t + q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_r = f^\varepsilon + g^\varepsilon, \quad (3.43)$$

where f^ε is bounded in $L^1(0, T; L_{loc}^1(0, \infty))$ and $g^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{loc}^{-1, q}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for some $q \in (1, 2)$. This implies that, for $1 < q_1 < 2$,

$$\eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_t + q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_r \quad \text{are confined in a compact subset of } W_{loc}^{-1, q_1}. \quad (3.44)$$

On the other hand, using formulas (1.11)–(1.12) and the estimates in Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.5, we obtain that, for any smooth, compactly supported function $\psi(s)$ on \mathbb{R} ,

$$\eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon), q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \quad \text{are uniformly bounded in } L_{loc}^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}_+^2),$$

for $q_2 = \gamma + 1 > 2$ when $\gamma > 1$. This implies that, for some $q_2 > 2$,

$$\eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_t + q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)_r \quad \text{are uniformly bounded in } W_{loc}^{-1, q_2}. \quad (3.45)$$

The interpolation compactness theorem (*cf.* [3, 11]) indicates that, for $q_1 > 1$, $q_2 \in (q_1, \infty]$, and $q_0 \in [q_1, q_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{compact set of } W_{loc}^{-1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)) \cap (\text{bounded set of } W_{loc}^{-1, q_2}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)) \\ & \subset (\text{compact set of } W_{loc}^{-1, q_0}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a generalization of Murat's lemma in [22, 25]. Combining this interpolation compactness theorem for $1 < q_1 < 2, q_2 > 2$, and $q_0 = 2$ with the facts in (3.44)–(3.45), we conclude the result. \square

3.3. Strong Convergence and the Entropy Inequality. The *a priori* estimates and compactness properties we have obtained in §3.1–§3.2 imply that the viscous solutions satisfy the compensated compactness framework in Chen-Perepelitsa [8]. Then the compactness theorem established in [8] for the case $\gamma > 1$ (also see LeFloch-Westdickenberg [17]) yields that

$$(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\rho, m) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$$

for $p \in [1, \gamma + 1)$ and $q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3})$. This requires the uniform bounds (3.3)–(3.5) and the estimate:

$$|m|^q = \rho^{\frac{q}{3}} |u|^q \rho^{\frac{2q}{3}} \leq \rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+1}$$

for $q = \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3}$.

From the same estimates, we also obtain the convergence of the energy as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$:

$$\eta^*(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \rightarrow \eta^*(\rho, m) \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Since the energy $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ is a convex function, by passing to the limit in (2.6), we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \eta^*(\rho, m)(t, r) r^{n-1} dr dt \leq (t_2 - t_1) \int_0^\infty \eta^*(\rho_0, m_0)(r) r^{n-1} dr,$$

which implies that, for *a.e.* $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \eta^*(\rho, m)(t, r) r^{n-1} dr \leq \int_0^\infty \eta^*(\rho_0, m_0)(r) r^{n-1} dr. \quad (3.46)$$

This implies that there is no concentration formed in the density ρ at the origin $r = 0$.

Furthermore, we multiply both sides of (2.5) by a smooth function $\varphi(t) \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$, integrate it over \mathbb{R}_+^2 , and pass to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \eta^*(\rho, m) \varphi'(t) dr dt \geq 0,$$

which, together with (3.46), concludes (1.17).

Finally, the energy estimates (2.3)–(2.6) and the estimates in Lemmas 3.3–3.5 imply the equi-integrability of a sequence of

$$\eta_\psi^\varepsilon, \quad q_\psi^\varepsilon, \quad m^\varepsilon \partial_\rho \eta_\psi^\varepsilon, \quad \frac{(m^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^\varepsilon} \partial_m \eta_\psi^\varepsilon, \quad q_\psi^\varepsilon,$$

for any $\psi(s)$ that is convex with subquadratic growth at infinity: $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\psi(s)|}{s^2} = 0$.

Passing to the limit in (3.27) multiplied by r^n and integrated against a smooth compactly function supported on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, we obtain (1.18).

3.4. Limit in the Equations. Let $\varphi(t, r)$ be a smooth, compactly supported function on $[0, \infty) \times [0, b(\varepsilon))$, with $\varphi_r(t, r) = 0$ for all r close to 0. Assume that the viscosity solutions $(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)$ are extended by 0 outside of $[a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)]$. Multiplying the first equation in (1.5) by $r^{n-1}\varphi$ and then integrating it over \mathbb{R}_+^2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \left(\rho^\varepsilon \varphi_t + m^\varepsilon \varphi_r + \varepsilon \rho^\varepsilon \left(\varphi_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r \right) \right) r^{n-1} dr dt \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho_0^\varepsilon(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by the energy inequality, $\int_0^1 (\rho^\varepsilon)^\gamma r^{n-1} dr$ is bounded, independent of ε , which implies that there is no concentration of mass at $r = 0$.

Passing to the limit in the above equation, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} (\rho \varphi_t + m \varphi_r) r^{n-1} dr dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho_0(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} dr = 0,$$

which can be extended to hold for any smooth, compactly supported function $\varphi(t, r)$ on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, with $\varphi_r(t, 0) = 0$.

Consider now the momentum equation in (1.3). Let $\varphi(t, r)$ be a smooth, compactly supported function on $[0, \infty) \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon))$. Multiplying the first equation in (1.5) and then integrating it over \mathbb{R}_+^2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \left(m^\varepsilon \varphi_t + \frac{(m^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^\varepsilon} \varphi_r + p_\delta(\rho^\varepsilon) \left(\varphi_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi \right) + \varepsilon m^\varepsilon \varphi_{rr} \right) r^{n-1} dr dt \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} m_0^\varepsilon(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \left(m \varphi_t + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \varphi_r + p(\rho) \left(\varphi_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi \right) \right) r^{n-1} dr dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} m_0(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} dr = 0.$$

Note that the term containing $\delta \rho^2$ converges to zero by Lemma 3.2 since $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

This equation can be extended for any smooth compactly supported function $\varphi(t, r)$ on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(t, 0) = \varphi_r(t, 0) = 0$, since $(\frac{m^2}{\rho} + \rho^\gamma)(t, r) r^{n-1} \in L_{loc}^1([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$.

Acknowledgements: The research of Gui-Qiang G. Chen was supported in part by the UK EPSRC Science and Innovation Award to the Oxford Centre for Nonlinear PDE (EP/E035027/1), the UK EPSRC Award to the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in PDEs (EP/L015811/1), the NSFC under a joint project Grant 10728101, and the Royal Society–Wolfson Research Merit Award (UK). The research of Mikhail Perepelitsa was supported in part by the NSF Grant DMS-1108048. The authors would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the 2014 Programme on *Free Boundary Problems and Related Topics* where work on this paper was undertaken.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bianchini, S. and Bressan, A. Vanishing viscosity solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **161** (2005), 223–342.
- [2] Chen, G.-Q. Convergence of the Lax-Friedrichs scheme for isentropic gas dynamics (III), *Acta Math. Sci.* **6B** (1986), 75–120 (in English); **8A** (1988), 243–276 (in Chinese).
- [3] Chen, G.-Q. The compensated compactness method and the system of isentropic gas dynamics, *Lecture Notes, Preprint MSRI-00527-91*, Berkeley, October 1990.
- [4] Chen, G.-Q. Remarks on R. J. DiPerna’s paper: “Convergence of the viscosity method for isentropic gas dynamics” [Comm. Math. Phys. 91 (1983), 1–30], *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 2981–2986.
- [5] Chen, G.-Q. Remarks on spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **127A** (1997), 243–259.
- [6] Chen, G.-Q. and Glimm, J. Global solutions to the compressible Euler equations with geometrical structure, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996), 153–193.
- [7] Chen, G.-Q. and Li, T.-H. Global entropy solutions in L^∞ to the Euler equations and Euler-Poisson equations for isothermal fluids with spherical symmetry, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **10** (2003), 215–243.
- [8] Chen, G.-Q. and Perepelitsa, M. Vanishing viscosity limit of the Navier-Stokes equations to the Euler equations for compressible fluid flow, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63** (2010), 1469–1504.
- [9] Courant, R. and Friedrichs, K. O. *Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves*, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1948.
- [10] Dafermos, C. M. *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2010.
- [11] Ding, X., Chen, G.-Q., and Luo, P. Convergence of the Lax-Friedrichs scheme for the isentropic gas dynamics (I)–(II), *Acta Math. Sci.* **5B** (1985), 483–500, 501–540 (in English); **7A** (1987), 467–480; **8A** (1989), 61–94 (in Chinese); Convergence of the fractional step Lax-Friedrichs scheme and Godunov scheme for the isentropic system of gas dynamics, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), 63–84.
- [12] DiPerna, R. Convergence of the viscosity method for isentropic gas dynamics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **91** (1983), 1–30.
- [13] Guderley, G. Starke kugelige und zylindrische Verdichtungsstosse in der Nahe des Kugelmittelpunktes bzw. der Zylinderachse, *Luftfahrtforschung* 19 (1942), no. 9, 302–311.
- [14] Ladyzhenskaja, O. A., Solonnikov, V. A., and Uraltseva, N. N. *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type*, LOMI-AMS, 1968.
- [15] Lax, P. D. Shock wave and entropy, In: *Contributions to Functional Analysis*, ed. E.A. Zarantonello, 603–634, Academic Press: New York, 1971.
- [16] Liu, T.-P. Quasilinear hyperbolic system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **68** (1979), 141–572.
- [17] LeFloch, Ph.G. and Westdickenberg, M. Finite energy solutions to the isentropic Euler equations with geometric effects, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **88** (2007), 386–429.
- [18] Lions, P.-L., Perthame, B., and Souganidis, P. E. Existence and stability of entropy solutions for the hyperbolic systems of isentropic gas dynamics in Eulerian and Lagrangian coordinates, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **49** (1996), 599–638.

- [19] Lions, P.-L., Perthame, B., and Tadmor, E. Kinetic formulation of the isentropic gas dynamics and p-systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 415–431.
- [20] Makino, T., Mizohata, K., and Ukai, S. Global weak solutions of the compressible Euler equations with spherical symmetry I, II, *Japan J. Industrial Appl. Math.* **9** (1992), 431–449.
- [21] Makino, T. and Takeno, S. Initial boundary value problem for the spherically symmetric motion of isentropic gas, *Japan J. Industrial Appl. Math.* **11** (1994), 171–183.
- [22] Murat, F. Compacité par compensation, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Sci. Fis. Mat.* **5** (1978), 489–507.
- [23] Rosseland, S. *The Pulsation Theory of Variable Stars*, Dover Publications, Inc.: New York, 1964.
- [24] Slemrod, M. Resolution of the spherical piston problem for compressible isentropic gas dynamics via a self-similar viscous limit, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **126 A** (1996), 1309–1340.
- [25] Tartar, L. Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations, *Research Notes in Mathematics, Nonlinear Analysis and Mechanics*, Herriot-Watt Symposium, Vol. **4**, Knops R.J. ed., Pitman Press, 1979.
- [26] Whitham, G. B. *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1974.
- [27] Yang, T. A functional integral approach to shock wave solutions of Euler equations with spherical symmetry, I. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **171** (1995), 607–638; II. *J. Diff. Eqs.* **130** (1996), 162–178.

GUI-QIANG G. CHEN, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, ANDREW WILES BUILDING, RADCLIFFE OBSERVATORY QUARTER, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD OX2 6GG, UK; ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA.

E-mail address: `chengq@maths.ox.ac.uk`

MIKHAIL PEREPELTSIA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, 651 PGH BUILDING, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204–3008, USA

E-mail address: `misha@math.uh.edu`