Quantum Fields on Twistor Space

Roland Bittleston^a

^a Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 51 Caroline Street, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

E-mail: rbittleston@perimeterinstitute.ca

ABSTRACT: These lectures introduce quantum field theory on twistor space, with gauge theory as the motivating example. I'll begin by reviewing the Penrose-Ward transformation and how it can be used to recast the self-dual sector of gauge theory as a holomorphic field theory on twistor space. This holomorphic theory is sick at one-loop: it suffers from a gauge anomaly. Cancelling the anomaly yields a quantum integrable theory on space-time. I will then elucidate the Costello-Paquette correspondence, which leverages this integrability to compute gauge theory amplitudes and form factors using chiral algebra techniques.

Contents

1	Lecture I		1
	1.1	The Self-Dual Sector of Gauge Theory	2
	1.2	Twistor Space	3
	1.3	Twistor Action for Self-Dual Yang-Mills	5
2	2 Lecture II		6
	2.1	Twistorial Anomalies	6
	2.2	Restoring Integrability	7
	2.3	Space-Time Interpretation	8
3	B Lecture III		9
	3.1	Deforming away from Self-Duality	9
	3.2	Chiral Algebras	10
	3.3	Quantum Deformation	12

1 Lecture I

▶ Big picture: A new notion of quantum integrability in four dimensions. Celestial chiral algebras play the role of quantum groups. Can be used to compute loop amplitudes inaccessible by other methods.

► Goals of these lectures:

- Introduce twistor space and the Ward correspondence
- Understand one-loop anomaly to integrability
- Derive quantum corrected celestial chiral algebra and compute a loop amplitude

► Main references:

- arXiv: 2111.08879 (Quantizing Local Holomorphic Field Theories on Twistor Space)
- arXiv: 2201.02595 (Celestial Holography meets Twisted Holography: 4d Amplitudes from Chiral Correlators)
- arXiv: 2204.05301 (On the Associativity of One-Loop Corrections to the Celestial OPE)

1.1 The Self-Dual Sector of Gauge Theory

► The dynamical field of non-abelian gauge theory on \mathbb{R}^4 is a connection 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathfrak{g})$ with field strength

$$F(A) = \mathrm{d}A + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathfrak{g}).$$
(1.1)

▶ In four-dimensions the Hodge star operator maps 2-forms to 2-forms

$$(*F)_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} \tag{1.2}$$

and $*^2 = 1$. Can decompose F(A) into its +1 and -1 eigenspaces

$$F(A) = F_{+}(A) + F_{-}(A).$$
(1.3)

➤ The self-dual Yang-Mills (sdYM) equations are

$$F_{-}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left(F(A) - *F(A) \right) = 0.$$
(1.4)

These are *integrable*. They imply the full Yang-Mills equations since

$$D * F(A) = DF(A) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

by the Bianchi identity.

▶ Yang-Mills theory on \mathbb{R}^4 with the flat metric δ has action

$$S_{\rm YM}[A] = -\frac{1}{2g^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4 x \operatorname{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(A)F^{\mu\nu}(A)) = \frac{2}{g^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \operatorname{tr}(F_-(A) \wedge F_-(A)) + \theta \operatorname{-term}.$$
(1.6)

> Up to a θ term can be rewritten in a chiral first order form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \operatorname{tr}(B \wedge F(A)) - \frac{g^2}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \operatorname{tr}(B \wedge B) \simeq \frac{2}{g^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_-(A) \wedge F_-(A)\right)$$
(1.7)

where $B \in \Omega^2_-(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathfrak{g})$ obeys *B = -B.

▶ In the limit $g^2 \rightarrow 0$ recover sdYM theory. Equations of motion are

$$*F(A) = F(A), \quad dB + [A, B] = 0.$$
 (1.8)

B represents a linearised negative-helicity gluon propagating freely on the self-dual background determined by A.

➤ The tree amplitudes of this theory vanish for generic kinematics. The one-loop amplitudes are finite. There are no connected higher loop amplitudes on combinatorial grounds.

➤ Can get to full Yang-Mills in perturbation theory around the self-dual sector by inserting the operator $tr(B^2)(x)$ multiple times and integrating over its position

$$e^{-S_{\rm YM}[A]} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2k}}{k! 2^{3k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4k}} \mathrm{d}^4 x_1 \dots \mathrm{d}^4 x_k \operatorname{tr}(B^2)(x_1) \dots \operatorname{tr}(B^2)(x_k) e^{-S_{\rm sdYM}[A,B]}.$$
 (1.9)

The simplest non-vanishing trees appear at k = 1; these are the famous MHV amplitudes.

> We want understand sdYM at the quantum level with (at least) one insertion of $tr(B^2)$. Currently not clear why this is any better than ordinary perturbation theory.

1.2 Twistor Space

- ► Choose a complex structure on \mathbb{R}^4 compatible with the metric δ and orientation d^4x . Let $(u^{\dot{0}}, u^{\dot{1}}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ be holomorphic co-ordinates, and (\bar{u}^0, \bar{u}^1) their complex conjugates.
- ► In these co-ordinates the anti-self-dual (asd) 2-forms are $du^{\dot{0}} \wedge du^{\dot{1}}$, $d\bar{u}^{0} \wedge d\bar{u}^{1}$ and the Kähler form $\omega = \frac{i}{2}(du^{\dot{0}} \wedge d\bar{u}^{0} + du^{\dot{1}} \wedge d\bar{u}^{1})$. The sdYM equations become

$$\begin{split} F^{2,0}(A) &= 0 \implies F_{u^{\dot{0}}u^{\dot{1}}}(A) = \partial_{u^{\dot{0}}}A_{u^{\dot{1}}} - \partial_{u^{\dot{1}}}A_{u^{\dot{0}}} + [A_{u^{\dot{0}}}, A_{u^{\dot{1}}}] = 0, \\ F^{0,2}(A) &= 0 \implies F_{\bar{u}^{0}\bar{u}^{1}}(A) = 0, \\ \omega \wedge F^{1,1}(A) &= 0 \implies F_{u^{\dot{0}}\bar{u}^{0}}(A) + F_{u^{\dot{1}}\bar{u}^{1}}(A) = 0. \end{split}$$
(1.10)

➤ These equations hold iff the operators

$$\bar{D}_0 = \partial_{\bar{u}^0} - z \partial_{u^{\dot{1}}} + A_{\bar{u}^0} - z A_{u^{\dot{1}}}, \quad \bar{D}_1 = \partial_{\bar{u}^1} + z \partial_{u^{\dot{0}}} + A_{\bar{u}^1} + z A_{u^{\dot{0}}}$$
(1.11)

commute $([\bar{D}_0, \bar{D}_1] = 0)$ for all z. This is a Lax pair for the sdYM equations - a hallmark of integrability. Notice that $[\bar{D}_0, \partial_{\bar{z}}] = [\bar{D}_1, \partial_{\bar{z}}] = 0$ also.

- Now suppose we incorporate z into the geometry, that is, work on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. We will show that $\overline{D}_0, \overline{D}_1, \partial_{\overline{z}}$ determine a holomorphic vector bundle on this space in an appropriate complex structure.
- ► First step is to give $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ a complex structure. We interpret $\bar{\partial}_0 = \partial_{\bar{u}^0} z \partial_{u^{\dot{1}}}, \bar{\partial}_1 = \partial_{\bar{u}^1} + z \partial_{u^{\dot{0}}}$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ as Cauchy-Riemann operators on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ (in the patch $z \neq \infty$). Holomorphic co-ordinates are

$$v^{\dot{0}} = u^{\dot{0}} - z\bar{u}^{1}, \quad v^{\dot{1}} = u^{\dot{1}} + z\bar{u}^{0}, \quad z.$$
 (1.12)

▶ In the other patch $\tilde{z} = 1/z$ we use Cauchy-Riemann operators

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\dot{0}} = \partial_{u^{\dot{0}}} + \tilde{z}\partial_{\bar{u}^1} , \quad \tilde{\partial}_{\dot{1}} = \partial_{u^{\dot{1}}} - \tilde{z}\partial_{\bar{u}^0} , \quad \partial_{\bar{z}}$$
(1.13)

for which the holomorphic co-ordinates are

$$\tilde{v}^{\dot{0}} = -\bar{u}^1 + \tilde{z}u^{\dot{0}} = \frac{v^{\dot{0}}}{z}, \quad \tilde{v}^{\dot{1}} = \bar{u}^0 + \tilde{z}u^{\dot{1}} = \frac{v^{\dot{1}}}{z}.$$
(1.14)

Meromorphic functions of z with poles of at worst order m at $z = \infty$ are holomorphic sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(m)$. So with this complex structure $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ is

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \to \mathbb{CP}^1 \tag{1.15}$$

as a complex manifold. This is twistor space, denoted $\mathbb{PT}.$

- There are a number of ways to define a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold X:
 - A smooth, complex vector bundle on X whose transition functions are holomorphic maps into $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.
 - − A smooth, complex vector bundle with a (0, 1)-form connection $a \in \Omega^{0,1}(X, \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$f^{0,2}(a) = \bar{\partial}a + a \wedge a = 0.$$
 (1.16)

➤ These are equivalent: equation (1.16) implies that in a sufficiently nice patch it's possible to find a gauge in which a = 0. When we go from a patch \mathcal{U} to a patch \mathcal{V} with the transition map $h: U \cap V \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$h(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{U}} + a_{\mathcal{U}})h^{-1} = (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} + a_{\mathcal{V}}).$$
(1.17)

Choosing patches and gauges so that $a_{\mathcal{U}} = a_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ we learn that h is holomorphic.

▶ We will use the second definition. To define *a* locally it's enough to supply differential operators

$$\bar{D}_0 = \bar{\partial}_0 + a_0 = \bar{\partial}_0 + \bar{\partial}_0 \,\lrcorner\, a \,,
\bar{D}_1 = \bar{\partial}_1 + a_1 = \bar{\partial}_1 + \bar{\partial}_1 \,\lrcorner\, a \,,
\bar{D}_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} + a_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}} \,\lrcorner\, a \,.$$
(1.18)

Equation (1.16) holds if these differential operators commute with one another.

➤ We've seen that the sdYM equations supply suitable candidates with $a_{\bar{z}} = 0$. Can interpret this as a gauge condition, but necessary to assume this gauge exists. This yields the Ward correspondence:

sdYM connections on $\mathbb{R}^4 \leftrightarrow$ holomorphic vector bundles^{*} on \mathbb{PT} . (1.19)

*trivialisable on twistor lines.

- ➤ The sdYM equations depend on the conformal structure of space-time. Somehow this must be encoded in the complex structure of twistor space.
- ➤ A point $x = (u^{\dot{0}}, u^{\dot{1}}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ determines a complex line $(v^{\dot{0}}, v^{\dot{1}}) = (u^{\dot{0}} z\bar{u}^1, u^{\dot{1}} + z\bar{u}^0)$ in twistor space. But in fact, there are lines corresponding to points in complexified space-time, i.e., can take $(\bar{u}^0, \bar{u}^1) \to (\tilde{u}^0, \tilde{u}^1)$ to be independent of $u^{\dot{0}}, u^{\dot{1}}$. These lines intersect when the corresponding points in \mathbb{C}^4 are null separated.

➤ Indeed the line $(v^{\dot{0}}, v^{\dot{1}}) = (u^{\dot{0}} - z\tilde{u}^{1}, u^{\dot{1}} + z\tilde{u}^{0})$ intersects the line corresponding to the origin $(v^{\dot{0}}, v^{\dot{1}}) = (0, 0)$ if there's a $z \in \mathbb{CP}^{1}$ for which

$$u^{\dot{0}} = z\tilde{u}^{1}, \quad u^{\dot{1}} = -z\tilde{u}^{0}.$$
 (1.20)

This only happens if

$$u^{\dot{0}}\tilde{u}^{0} + u^{\dot{1}}\tilde{u}^{1} = 0, \qquad (1.21)$$

i.e., $(u^{\dot{0}}, u^{\dot{1}}, \tilde{u}^{0}, \tilde{u}^{1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{4}$ is null separated from the origin.

1.3 Twistor Action for Self-Dual Yang-Mills

► We can write down an action imposing (1.16) as an equation of motion by introducing a Lagrangian multiplier $b \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{PT}, \mathcal{O}(-4) \otimes \mathfrak{g})$

$$S_{\rm hBF}[a,b] = \int_{\mathbb{PT}} \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{0}} \wedge \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{1}} \wedge \mathrm{tr}\left(b \wedge \bar{\partial}a + b \wedge a \wedge a\right).$$
(1.22)

The notation $\mathcal{O}(-4)$ indicates that b has a zero of order 4 at $z = \infty$. This compensates the fourth order pole at $z = \infty$ in

$$\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{0}} \wedge \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{1}} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}\tilde{v}^{\dot{0}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\tilde{v}^{\dot{1}}}{\tilde{z}^{4}} \,. \tag{1.23}$$

- ▶ This is classically equivalent to sdYM theory on space-time:
 - First gauge fix $a_{\bar{z}} = 0$.
 - Then integrate out the components of b in the \mathbb{R}^4 directions to learn that $[\bar{D}_0, \partial_{\bar{z}}] = [\bar{D}_1, \partial_{\bar{z}}] = 0$. These are solved by

$$a_0 = A_{\bar{u}^0}(x) - zA_{u^{\dot{1}}}(x), \quad a_1 = A_{\bar{u}^1}(x) + zA_{u^{\dot{0}}}(x).$$
(1.24)

The action becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathrm{d}^4 x \int_{\mathbb{CP}^1_x} \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z} \, b_{\bar{z}} \left(F_{\bar{u}^0 \bar{u}^1}(A) + z F_{\bar{u}^0 u^{\dot{0}}}(A) + z F_{\bar{u}^1 u^{\dot{1}}}(A) + z^2 F_{u^0 u^{\dot{1}}}(A) \right). \tag{1.25}$$

- Upon making the identifications

$$B_{u^{\dot{0}}u^{\dot{1}}} = \int_{x} \mathrm{d}z \, b \,, \quad B_{\bar{u}^{0}u^{\dot{0}}} = B_{\bar{u}^{1}u^{\dot{1}}} = \int_{x} \mathrm{d}z \, zb \,, \quad B_{\bar{u}^{0}\bar{u}^{1}} = \int_{x} \mathrm{d}z \, z^{2}b \qquad (1.26)$$

we recover $S_{\text{sdYM}}[A, B]$. (Here $\int_{\mathbb{CP}_x^1} = \int_x$.) This final step tells us how b is related to B in the gauge $a_{\bar{z}} = 0$. It is the linear *Penrose transform* for a field of spin s = 1 and helicity h = -1.

2 Lecture II

2.1 Twistorial Anomalies

- ➤ Last lecture wrote down a twistor action for sdYM. This lecture we will find that it does not exist at the quantum level; it suffers from a gauge anomaly.
- Recall that gauge anomalies arise when we have a gauge invariant action, but there's no regularization which retains this symmetry at loop level. Usually associated with chiral fermions.
- ➤ Since the kinetic term on twistor space is ∂̄, all fields are chiral and contribute to anomalies. It's perhaps strange that a field can contribute to its own anomaly this is because we should define QFT with a cutoff and require gauge invariance of the effective action.
- ► Recall the twistor action

$$\int_{\mathbb{PT}} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{0}} \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{1}} \mathrm{tr}(b \wedge \bar{\partial}a + b \wedge a \wedge a) \,. \tag{2.1}$$

This has a gauge symmetry with parameter $\epsilon \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{PT}, \mathfrak{g})$

$$\delta a = \bar{\partial} \epsilon + [a, \epsilon], \quad \delta b = [b, \epsilon] \tag{2.2}$$

- \blacktriangleright The symmetry with parameter ϵ is anomalous. There are two ways of seeing this:
 - direct Feynman diagram computation,
 - index theory.

In these lectures I will concentrate on the former.

- ➤ For simplicity let's work in the patch $z \neq \infty$ which looks like \mathbb{C}^3 . Since anomalies are local, this will also be an anomaly on \mathbb{PT} .
- ▶ Then the gauge variation of the box diagram is anomalous. To evaluate this we follow the following steps:
 - Pick the metric $|z|^2 + |v^{\dot{0}}|^2 + |v^{\dot{1}}|^2$ and fix Lorenz gauge

$$\partial_z a_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{v^{\dot{0}}} a_{\bar{v}^0} + \partial_{v^{\dot{1}}} a_{\bar{v}^1} = 0.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Propagator is a Bochner-Martinelli kernel

$$\langle a \wedge b \rangle_0 \propto \frac{\bar{z} \mathrm{d}\bar{v}^0 \mathrm{d}\bar{v}^1 + \bar{v}^0 \mathrm{d}\bar{v}^1 \mathrm{d}\bar{z} - \bar{v}^1 \mathrm{d}\bar{v}^0 \mathrm{d}\bar{z}}{(|z|^2 + |v^{\dot{0}}|^2 + |v^{\dot{1}}|^2)^2} \,. \tag{2.4}$$

- Employ a heat kernel regularisation with length scales $0 < l \ll L$.
- Evaluate integral in limit $l \to 0$ (which defines the theory at scale L) followed by $L \to 0$ (the UV limit).

 \blacktriangleright The result is

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^3} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{Ad}}(\epsilon \partial a \wedge \partial a \wedge \partial a) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\partial = \mathrm{d}z\partial_z + \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{0}}\partial_{v^{\dot{0}}} + \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{1}}\partial_{v^{\dot{1}}}.$

> In the index theory approach, we interpret the one-loop partition function around some background a_0 as a section of a line bundle over the moduli space of holomorphic vector bundles. For the partition function to be a number this bundle would need to be trivial, but we can check that it has non-vanishing first Chern class. (This essentially reproduces the above formula).

2.2 Restoring Integrability

- ▶ In order to restore integrability we need to cancel the anomaly. There are a few ways to do this:
 - couple to appropriate Grassmann odd fields,
 - Green-Schwarz mechanism,
 - couple to an infinite tower of higher spin fields,
 - add a non-local term on twistor space.
- > In the first case want fields to obey spin-statistics on space-time. Can be achieved using the linear Penrose transform Weyl fermions in the representation R

$$s = 1/2, \quad h = +1 \text{ represented by } H^{1}(\mathbb{PT}, \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes R),$$

$$s = 1/2, \quad h = +1 \text{ represented by } H^{1}(\mathbb{PT}, \mathcal{O}(-3) \otimes R^{*}).$$
(2.6)

▶ In the h = +1 case can use a Dolbeault representative

$$\chi \in \Pi\Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{PT}; \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes R).$$
(2.7)

The corresponding left-handed space-time fermion is

$$\Psi_{\dot{\alpha}} = \int_{x} \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\dot{\alpha}}} \chi \,. \tag{2.8}$$

▶ In the h = -1 case can use a Dolbeault representative

$$\tilde{\chi} \in \Pi\Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{PT}; \mathcal{O}(-3) \otimes R^*).$$
(2.9)

The corresponding right-handed space-time fermion is

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\alpha} = \int_{x} \mathrm{d}z \, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix}^{\alpha} \tilde{\chi} \,. \tag{2.10}$$

 Can write down a twistor action reproducing the usual action for Weyl fermions on space-time

$$\int_{\mathbb{PT}} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{0}} \mathrm{d}v^{\dot{1}} \,\tilde{\chi}_i (\bar{\partial} + a)^i{}_j \chi^j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathrm{d}^4 x \,\tilde{\Psi}^{\alpha}_i \sigma^{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} (\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu})^i{}_j \Psi^{j\dot{\alpha}} \,. \tag{2.11}$$

 \blacktriangleright Contribute to the twistorial anomaly with opposite sign and in representation R, so cocycle is modified to

$$\int_{\mathbb{PT}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{Ad} \oplus \Pi R}(\epsilon \partial a \wedge \partial a \wedge \partial a) = \int_{\mathbb{PT}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{Ad}}(\epsilon \partial a \wedge \partial a \wedge \partial a) - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}(\epsilon \partial a \wedge \partial a \wedge \partial a).$$
(2.12)

- ➤ Clearly vanishes when R = Ad, corresponding to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY, but there are other possibilities. Vanishing is equivalent to the trace identity $tr_{Ad}(X^4) = tr_R(X^4)$.
- ➤ Consider, e.g., $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and look for $R = F^{\oplus N_f} \oplus (F^*)^{\oplus N_f}$. This is the self-dual sector of SU₂ gauge theory with N_f fundamental Diracs. Can easily check that

$$tr_{Ad}(X^4) = 16tr_F(X^4)$$
 (2.13)

so $N_f = 8$ will do. There exist many other examples.

➤ Might worry that we miss something by working on \mathbb{C}^3 rather than \mathbb{PT} . Indeed we do: the usual chiral gauge anomaly on space-time comes from a mixed anomaly with the background complex structure on \mathbb{PT} . For $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n \ge 3$ this can be evaded using Dirac fermions.

2.3 Space-Time Interpretation

- Twistorial anomalies do not represent gauge anomalies on space-time. What do they tell us?
- ➤ To understand these need to think about amplitudes. Positive helicity gluon scattering states in sdYM can be represented by

$$A_{u^{\dot{\alpha}}} = \mathrm{i}t_{\mathsf{a}}\frac{\tilde{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}}}{w}e^{\mathrm{i}x\cdot p}, \quad A_{\bar{u}^{\alpha}} = 0$$
(2.14)

where $p_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \tilde{\kappa}^{\dot{\alpha}}(1,w)^{\alpha}$ is a complexified null momentum. In particular

$$x \cdot p = (u^{\dot{0}} - w\bar{u}^{1})\tilde{\kappa}_{\dot{0}} + (u^{\dot{1}} + w\bar{u}^{0})\tilde{\kappa}_{\dot{1}}.$$
 (2.15)

Negative helicity states are represented by

$$B_{u^{\dot{0}}u^{\dot{1}}} = e^{\mathbf{i}x \cdot p} , \quad B_{u^{\dot{0}}\bar{u}^{0}} = B_{u^{\dot{1}}\bar{u}^{1}} = w e^{\mathbf{i}x \cdot p} , \quad B_{\bar{u}^{0}\bar{u}^{1}} = w^{2} e^{\mathbf{i}x \cdot p} .$$
(2.16)

 \blacktriangleright These lift to twistor representatives localised at points on the \mathbb{CP}^1 base, in particular

$$a = t_{\mathsf{a}} \delta^{(2)}(z-w) e^{\mathrm{i}v^{\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\bar{z} \,, \quad b = t_{\mathsf{a}} \delta^{(2)}(z-w) e^{\mathrm{i}v^{\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\bar{z} \,, \tag{2.17}$$

and similarly for b.

▶ Holomorphic BF theory on twistor space does not know about the metric, so we can choose to evaluate Feynman diagrams in whichever gauge we like. A natural choice is

$$\delta + r^2 g_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \tag{2.18}$$

where δ is the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^4 and $g_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$ is the Fubini-Study metric on \mathbb{CP}^1 . Scaling up r we can make the propagation in the \mathbb{CP}^1 direction arbitrarily difficult. This means that states supported at different values of z can cannot talk to one another, and amplitudes vanish for generic kinematics.

- ▶ But although the trees vanish in sdYM, the loops do not. As a result, sdYM theory cannot arise as QFT on twistor space. This is the four-dimensional interpretation of the anomaly: the non-vanishing loop amplitudes.
- ▶ When the twistorial anomaly vanishes other nice properties hold:
 - Conformal symmetries complexify, e.g., protects operators from acquiring anomalous dimensions.
 - Correlation functions of local operators are analytic functions of position with poles on the complexified light cone.
 - Chiral algebra bootstrap, subject of next lecture.

3 Lecture III

3.1 Deforming away from Self-Duality

- ▶ Have seen that to deform one step away from the self-dual sector we need to add the integral of $tr(B^2)$. This will give us access to two-minus tree, one-minus one-loop and all-plus two-loop amplitudes.
- ► Inserting the operator $tr(B^2)$ at some point x breaks translation invariance, but integrating over position restores it. In an amplitude the integral over x generates the momentum conserving δ -function. It's therefore enough to evaluate amplitudes in the presence of the operator $tr(B^2)(0)$.
- ▶ We'd like to uplift $tr(B^2)(0)$ to twistor space. In the trivial gauge background (in the gauge $a_{\bar{z}} = 0$

$$\operatorname{tr}(B^2)(x) \simeq \int_{x=0} \int_{x=0} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}z' \, (z-z')^2 \operatorname{tr}(b \wedge b') \,.$$
 (3.1)

But our scattering states are not in this gauge - we need a gauge invariant expressions.

> This can be achieved by gluing the two copies of b together with a frame field g(z, z') obeying

$$(\partial_{\bar{z}} + a_{\bar{z}})g(z, z') = 0, \quad g(z', z') = \text{id.}.$$
 (3.2)

We can solve this explicitly to get

$$g(z, z') = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{x=0} \dots \int_{x=0} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \dots \mathrm{d}z_m}{(z-z_1)(z_1-z_2)\dots(z_m-z')} a_1 \wedge a_2 \dots \wedge a_m.$$
(3.3)

► Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(B^2) = \sum_{m,n\geq 1} \int_{x=0} \dots \int_{x=0} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \dots \mathrm{d}z_{m+n}}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{(m+n)1}} z_{1(m+1)}^4 \operatorname{tr}(b_1 \wedge a_2 \dots a_m \wedge b_{m+1} \wedge a_{m+2} \dots a_{m+n})$$
(3.4)

At this stage can plug in scattering states and recover tree MHV amplitude; however, we will instead reinterpret this formula as the correlator of some chiral CFT coupled to holomorphic BF theory.

3.2 Chiral Algebras

► A chiral algebra consists of holomorphic operators $\mathcal{O}_i(z)$ of conformal dimensions Δ_i together with a singular OPE

$$\mathcal{O}_i(z_1)\mathcal{O}_j(z_2) \sim \sum_{k:\Delta_i + \Delta_j > \Delta_k} \frac{C_{ij}^{\ k}}{z_{12}^{2(\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k)}} \mathcal{O}_k(z_2) \,. \tag{3.5}$$

➤ Would like to view $tr(B^2)$ as the correlator of some chiral CFT coupling to a, b. Suppose a^{c} couples to $J_{c}(z)$ and b^{d} to $\tilde{J}_{d}(z)$

$$I[a,b] = \int_{x=0} \mathrm{d}z \left(a^{\mathsf{c}} J_{\mathsf{c}}(z) + b^{\mathsf{d}} \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{d}}(z) \right).$$
(3.6)

From this formula can read off $\Delta(J_{\mathsf{c}}(z)) = 1$, $\Delta(\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{d}}(z)) = -1$.

► The OPEs of J, \tilde{J} can be determined by requiring gauge invariance of the coupling. The variation under $\delta a = \bar{\partial} \epsilon + [a, \epsilon]$ is

$$\delta I = \int_{x=0} \mathrm{d}z \left(\bar{\partial} \epsilon^{\mathsf{c}} J_{\mathsf{c}}(z) + f_{\mathsf{ab}}{}^{\mathsf{c}} a^{\mathsf{a}} \epsilon^{\mathsf{b}} J_{\mathsf{c}}(z) \right)$$
(3.7)

First term vanishes by holomorphicity of J_c . Second must cancel against linearised variation of the bilocal term

$$\delta(I^2) = \int_{x=0} \int_{x=0} dz_1 dz_2 \left(\bar{\partial} \epsilon^{\mathsf{c}}(z_1) a^{\mathsf{d}}(z_2) J_{\mathsf{c}}(z_1) J_{\mathsf{d}}(z_2) + a^{\mathsf{c}}(z_1) \bar{\partial} \epsilon^{\mathsf{d}}(z_2) J_{\mathsf{c}}(z_1) J_{\mathsf{d}}(z_2) \right).$$
(3.8)

Now $J_{c}(z_1)J_{d}(z_2)$ may have a pole as $z_1 \rightarrow z_2$, so integrating by parts in first term can generate a local contribution. This cancels equation (3.7) if

$$J_{\mathsf{a}}(z_1)J_{\mathsf{b}}(z_2) \sim \frac{f_{\mathsf{ab}}^{\ \mathsf{c}}}{z_{12}}J_{\mathsf{c}}(z_2).$$
 (3.9)

This is Kac-Moody at level zero. If we'd kept b would also learn that

$$J_{\mathsf{a}}(z_1)\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{b}}(z_2) \sim \frac{f_{\mathsf{ab}}^{\ \mathsf{c}}}{z_{12}}\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{c}}(z_2), \quad \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{a}}(z_1)\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{b}}(z_2) \sim 0.$$
(3.10)

➤ To recover tr(B²) need to define correlation functions compatible with these OPEs. Enough to fix the two-point function

$$\langle \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{a}}(z_1)\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{b}}(z_2)\rangle = \kappa_{\mathsf{a}\mathsf{b}}z_{12}^2\,,\tag{3.11}$$

and require that insertions of three or more $\tilde{J}s$ give zero. The remaining correlators are determined by the operator product and conformal dimensions

$$\langle J_{a_{1}}(z_{1}) \dots J_{a_{n-2}}(z_{n-2}) \tilde{J}_{a_{n-1}}(z_{n-1}) \tilde{J}_{a_{n}}(z_{n}) \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \frac{z_{(n-1)n}^{4}}{z_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} z_{\sigma(2)\sigma(3)} \dots z_{\sigma(n-1)n} z_{n\sigma(1)}} \operatorname{tr}(t_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \dots t_{a_{\sigma(n-1)}} t_{a_{n}}) .$$
(3.12)

The proof is by induction. Using this formula we find that $tr(B^2)(x) = \langle exp(-I[a, b]) \rangle$.

➤ This is not the only correlator compatible with the OPEs, for example, could require that the two-point function vanishes but

$$\langle \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{a}}(z_1)\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{b}}(z_2)\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{c}}(z_3)\rangle_{\mathrm{tr}(B^3)} = f_{\mathsf{abc}}z_{12}z_{23}z_{31}.$$
 (3.13)

Insertions of four or more \tilde{J} s are required to give zero. This correlator generates the operator tr(B^3). A family of correlators compatible with the OPE is known as a *conformal block*.

▶ But this is not the most general possible coupling we could have considered between holomorphic BF theory and a chiral CFT. Could also couple to holomorphic derivatives $\partial_{v^{\dot{0}}}^{m} \partial_{v^{\dot{1}}}^{n} a$ and $\partial_{v^{\dot{0}}}^{m} \partial_{v^{\dot{1}}}^{n} b$ with operators $J_{a}[m,n](z)$ and $\tilde{J}_{b}[m,n](z)$ of conformal dimensions 1 - (m+n)/2 and -1 - (m+n)/2.

Gauge invariance of the bulk-defect coupling yields the S-algebra

$$J_{\mathbf{a}}[p,q](z_{1})J_{\mathbf{b}}[r,s](z_{2}) \sim \frac{f_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}}^{\ \mathbf{c}}}{z_{12}}J_{\mathbf{c}}[p+r,q+s](z_{2}),$$

$$J_{\mathbf{a}}[p,q](z_{1})\tilde{J}_{\mathbf{b}}[r,s](z_{2}) \sim \frac{f_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}}^{\ \mathbf{c}}}{z_{12}}\tilde{J}_{\mathbf{c}}[p+r,q+s](z_{2}), \quad \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{a}}[p,q](z_{1})\tilde{J}_{\mathbf{b}}[r,s](z_{2}) \sim 0.$$
(3.14)

In fact *any* operator in sdYM theory can be obtained as a conformal block of this chiral CFT.

> To compute an amplitude in the presence of a local operator we just plug into our twistor representatives for a, b. These correspond to insertions of hard states

$$J_{\mathsf{a}}(\tilde{\kappa},w) = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}^{m} \tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{n}}{m!n!} J_{\mathsf{a}}[m,n](w) , \quad \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{a}}(\tilde{\kappa},w) = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}^{m} \tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{n}}{m!n!} \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{a}}[m,n](w) \quad (3.15)$$

respectively. The amplitude in the presence of \mathcal{O} is the correlation function of these states, dressed by a momentum conserving δ -function generated by the space-time integral.

3.3 Quantum Deformation

- ▶ The real power of this approach is at loop level. This is because quantum corrections can be built into the chiral algebra.
- ▶ These are generated by loop contributions to the gauge variation of the bulk-defect coupling. On grounds of conformal spin and space-time dilation symmetry the simplest one-loop correction must take the form

$$J_{\mathsf{a}}[1,0](z_1)J_{\mathsf{b}}[0,1](z_2) \sim \hbar \frac{A}{z_{12}^2} f_{\mathsf{ab}}{}^{\mathsf{c}} \tilde{J}_{\mathsf{c}}\left(\frac{z_1+z_2}{2}\right) + \hbar \frac{B}{z_{12}} (f_{\mathsf{ae}}{}^{\mathsf{c}} f_{\mathsf{bd}}{}^{\mathsf{e}} + f_{\mathsf{be}}{}^{\mathsf{c}} f_{\mathsf{ad}}{}^{\mathsf{e}}) : J_{\mathsf{c}} \tilde{J}^{\mathsf{d}} : (z_2) .$$
(3.16)

▶ However, there's no choice for A, B such that this OPE is associative. To see this we compare

$$\oint_{|z_{12}|=2\epsilon} \oint_{|z_2|=\epsilon} \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \, z_1 J_{\mathsf{a}}[1,0](z_1) J_{\mathsf{b}}[0,1](z_2) J_{\mathsf{c}}(0) \tag{3.17}$$

 to

$$\left(\oint_{|z_2|=2\epsilon} \oint_{|z_1|=\epsilon} - \oint_{|z_1|=2\epsilon} \oint_{|z_2|=\epsilon} \right) \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \, z_1 J_{\mathsf{a}}[1,0](z_1) J_{\mathsf{b}}[0,1](z_2) J_{\mathsf{c}}(0) \,. \tag{3.18}$$

By a deformation of contours argument these should be equal, but they do not agree.

➤ The culprit is the twistorial anomaly we saw in lecture II. Consider a general G and R such that the anomaly vanishes. The fermions contribute two new towers of Grassmann odd states $M_i[m,n](z)$ and $\tilde{M}^j[m,n](z)$ coupling to $\partial_{v^0}^m \partial_{v^1}^n \chi^i$ and $\partial_{v^0}^m \partial_{v^1}^n \tilde{\chi}_j$ of conformal dimensions (1-m-n)/2 and -(1+m+n)/2 respectively. These have tree OPEs which are determined by gauge invariance

$$J_{\mathbf{a}}[p,q](z_{1})M_{i}[r,s](z_{2}) \sim \frac{1}{z_{12}}(t_{\mathbf{a}})^{j}{}_{i}M_{j}[p+r,q+s](z_{2}),$$

$$J_{\mathbf{a}}[p,q](z_{1})\tilde{M}^{j}[r,s](z_{2}) \sim -\frac{1}{z_{12}}(t_{\mathbf{a}})^{j}{}_{i}\tilde{M}^{i}[p+r,q+s](z_{2}),$$

$$M_{i}[p,q](z_{1})\tilde{M}^{j}(z_{2}) \sim \frac{1}{z_{12}}(t_{\mathbf{a}})^{j}{}_{i}\tilde{J}^{\mathbf{a}}[p+r,q+s](z_{2}).$$
(3.19)

 $(t_a)^j{}_i$ is the matrix representing the basis element t_a in the representation R. There are also quantum corrections involving the $M_i[m,n], \tilde{M}^j[m,n]$ states. In particular the J, J OPE acquires a correction (again fixed by symmetry)

$$J_{\mathsf{a}}[1,0](z_1)J_{\mathsf{b}}[0,1](z_2) \sim \hbar \frac{C}{z_{12}} \left((t_{\mathsf{a}})^i{}_k(t_{\mathsf{b}})^k{}_j + (t_{\mathsf{a}})^k{}_j(t_{\mathsf{b}})^i{}_k \right) : M_i(z_1)\tilde{M}^j : (z_2) . \quad (3.20)$$

▶ Can show that there is a consistent chiral algebra with

$$A = -\frac{I_2(\text{Ad}) - I_2(R)}{96\pi^2}, \quad B = C = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}.$$
 (3.21)

with $\operatorname{tr}_R(X^2) = I_2(R)\operatorname{tr}(X^2)$.

➤ At this stage can compute the one-loop one-minus amplitude at arbitrary multiplicity. Using the loop corrected OPEs one finds that in the tr(B²) conformal block

$$\langle J_{\mathsf{a}}[1,0](z_1)J_{\mathsf{b}}[0,1](z_2)\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{c}}(z_3)\rangle = -\hbar f_{\mathsf{abc}}\frac{I_2(\mathrm{Ad}) - I_2(R)}{96\pi^2}\frac{z_{13}z_{23}}{z_{12}^2}.$$
 (3.22)

To prove this we first check the singularity of the left hand side in the $z_1 \rightarrow z_2$ limit, which yields

$$- \hbar f_{ab}{}^{d} \frac{I_{2}(\mathrm{Ad}) - I_{2}(R)}{96\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{z_{12}^{2}} \langle \tilde{J}_{d}(z_{2}) \tilde{J}_{c}(z_{3}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2z_{12}} \partial_{z_{2}} \langle \tilde{J}_{d}(z_{2}) \tilde{J}_{c}(z_{3}) \rangle \right) + \mathcal{O}(z_{12})$$

$$= -\hbar f_{abc} \frac{I_{2}(\mathrm{Ad}) - I_{2}(R)}{96\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{z_{23}^{2}}{z_{12}^{2}} + \frac{z_{23}}{z_{12}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(z_{12})$$

$$= -\hbar f_{abc} \frac{I_{2}(\mathrm{Ad}) - I_{2}(R)}{96\pi^{2}} \frac{z_{13}z_{23}}{z_{12}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(z_{12}) .$$

$$(3.23)$$

This is the only singularity in z_1 (can check that there are no contributions from normal ordered products). Finally the correlator vanishes to first order as $z_1, z_2 \to \infty$, so the regular terms vanish.

 \blacktriangleright Can induct to get the one-loop one-minus amplitude in gauge theory with group G and anomaly cancelling fermions in the representation R. The final result is

$$\mathcal{A}(1^+,\ldots,(n-1)^+,n^-) = \langle J_{\mathsf{a}_1}(\tilde{\kappa}_1,w_1)\ldots J_{\mathsf{a}_{n-1}}(\tilde{\kappa}_{n-1},w_{n-1})\tilde{J}_{\mathsf{a}_n}(\tilde{\kappa}_n,w_n)\rangle$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{96\pi^2} \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n-1}} \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n-1} \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\kappa}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}w_{in}^2w_{jn}^2}{w_{ij}w_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)}\ldots w_{\sigma(n-1)n}w_{n\sigma(1)}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{Ad}\oplus\Pi R}(t_{\mathsf{a}_{\sigma(1)}}\ldots t_{\mathsf{a}_{\sigma(n-1)}}t_{\mathsf{a}_n})$$
(3.24)

Proof is by induction on n.