Random Matrices from the Classical Compact Groups: a Panorama Part VI: Asymptotics for eigenvalue distributions

Mark Meckes

Case Western Reserve University

Oxford, 1 March 2021

Asymptotic regimes

The largest portion of Random Matrix Theory focuses on the distributions of eigenvalues when $n \rightarrow \infty$, in the

- macroscopic regime:
 - all the eigenvalues,
 - the whole circle S¹
 - gaps $\approx \frac{1}{n}$,
- microscopic regime:
 - a fixed number the eigenvalues,
 - an arc of length $\approx \frac{1}{n}$,
 - gaps ≈ 1 .

• mesoscopic regime: anything in between.

Classical limit theorems

The macroscopic limit theorems are analogous to the classical limit theorems of probability.

Let $\{X_i\}$ be i.i.d. random vectors in \mathbb{R}^d .

Law of large numbers:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, v \right\rangle \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \langle \mathbb{E} X_1, v \rangle$$

a.s. for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (equivalently, all v in a basis).

Classical limit theorems

Central limit theorem:

$$\frac{\langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, v \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E} X_1, v \rangle}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var} \langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, v \rangle}} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Large deviations principle (Cramér's theorem):

$$\frac{1}{n}\log \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\in A\right]\xrightarrow{n\to\infty}-\inf_{x\in A}\Lambda_{X_{1}}^{*}(x)$$

for nice $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Empirical spectral measure

Macroscopic random matrix theory considers the <u>empirical</u> spectral measure of *U*:

$$\mu_U = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j}.$$



Expectations

 ν denotes the uniform measure on S^1 .

If $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{U}(n)$ is random then $\mathbb{E}\mu_U = \nu$ by symmetry.

If *G* is one of the other groups then symmetry isn't enough, but the Diaconis–Shahshahani calculations imply

$$\mathbb{E}\int z^k \ d\mu_U \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \delta_{k,0} = \int z^k \ d\nu$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$\mathbb{E}\int f\,d\mu_U\xrightarrow{n\to\infty}\int f\,d\nu$$

for all nice f.

That is, $\mathbb{E}\mu_U \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \nu$.

Law of large numbers

Theorem (Diaconis-Shahshahani)

For any nice f and any of the groups,

$$\int f \, d\mu_U \xrightarrow{\mathsf{n} \to \infty} \int f \, d\nu$$

with probability one.

That is, $\mu_U \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \nu$ weakly almost surely.

A few quick proofs:

- Compute Var ∫ z^k dµ_U from Diaconis–Shahshahani, use Chebyshev and Borel–Cantelli.
- Measure concentration + Borell–Cantelli (as in Part III).
- ■ 𝔅µ_U(𝔅) = ∫ 𝔅_𝑋 𝑌µ_U and Var µ_U(𝔅) can be estimated using the determinantal kernel. (More on this next time.)

Theorem (Soshnikov) For any G, if $A \subseteq S^1$ is a fixed arc, then $\frac{N_A - \mathbb{E}N_A}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var} N_A}} = \frac{\mu_U(A) - \mathbb{E}\mu_U(A)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var} \mu_A(A)}} \xrightarrow[D]{n \to \infty} N(0, 1).$

Update of Soshnikov's proof:

 N_A is distributed as a sum of independent Bernoulli random variables.

Var $N_A \approx \log n \rightarrow \infty$, so the Lindeberg central limit theorem applies.

Theorem (Wieand) Let $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$. For $0 \le \alpha < \beta < 2\pi$, define

$$X_{\alpha,\beta} = rac{\pi}{\sqrt{\log n}} (N_{[\alpha,\beta]} - \mathbb{E}N_{[\alpha,\beta]}).$$

Then any finite collection of $\{X_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ converges in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to a centered jointly Gaussian family with

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_{\alpha,\beta}, X_{\alpha',\beta'}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta', \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha', \beta \neq \beta', \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha', \beta = \beta', \\ -1/2 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta' \text{ or } \beta = \alpha', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Idea of Wieand's proof:

The multivariate moment generating function

$$\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{e}^{t_1N_{A_1}+\cdots+t_kN_{A_k}} = \mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \mathbb{1}_{\lambda_j \in A_i}\right)$$

can be written as a Toeplitz determinant.

The surprising covariance structure has a simple explanation/interpretation...

Theorem (Diaconis–Evans)

Let $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$. For f in the Bessel potential space (Sobolev space) $H^{1/2}(S^1)$, define

$$X_f = \int f \, d\mu_U - \int f \, d\nu.$$

Then any finite collection of $\{X_f\}$ converges in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to a centered jointly Gaussian family with

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_f, X_g) = \langle f, g \rangle_{H^{1/2}}.$$

Idea of Diaconis-Evans's proof:

Use (refinements of) Diaconis–Shahshahani computations and Fourier approximation of $f \in H^{1/2}$.

Indicators of intervals are not in $H^{1/2}$, but the method can be extended to recover Soshnikov/Wieand's results.

The methods extend to $\mathbb{O}(n)$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{P}}(n)$.

Large deviations principle

Theorem (Hiai–Petz)
Let
$$U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$$
. For a nice $A \subseteq P(S^1)$,
 $\frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbb{P}[\mu_U \in A] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} - \inf_{\rho \in P(A)} \left[-\iint \log |z - w| \ d\rho(z) \ d\rho(w) \right]$
(roughly).

The quantity in the inf is the logarithmic energy / free entropy $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$.

 $\mathcal{E}(\rho) \geq 0$, with = only for $\rho = \nu$.

Very roughly: $\mathbb{P}[\mu_U \in A] \approx e^{-n^2 \inf_A \varepsilon}$.

 μ_U is very unlikely to be very different from ν .

Microscopic limits

The determinantal point process structure is at the heart of the microscopic regime.

The rescaled eigenangles $\{\frac{n}{2\pi}\theta_j\}$ of $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$ are a DPP on [-n/2, n/2] with kernel

$$\widetilde{K}_n(x,y) = \frac{\sin(\pi(x-y))}{n\sin(\frac{\pi}{n}(x-y))} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi(x-y))}{\pi(x-y)}.$$

Theorem

The point process of rescaled eigenangles $\{\frac{n}{2\pi}\theta_j\}$ of $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ to a DPP on \mathbb{R} with kernel

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{sine}}(x,y) = rac{\sin\left(\pi(x-y)
ight)}{\pi(x-y)}.$$

Microscopic limits

This almost immediately yields:

Corollary

The joint intensities of $\{\frac{n}{2\pi}\theta_j\}$ converge as $n \to \infty$ to the joint intensities of the sine kernel process. The counting functions N_A for the process $\{\frac{n}{2\pi}\theta_j\}$ converge in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to the counting functions of the sine kernel process.

Microscopic limits

The DPP structure contains a lot of information about gaps/spacings as well, e.g.:

Proposition

The distribution of the gap between two successive points in a translation-invariant DPP on \mathbb{R} has a density

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\det(I-T_{(0,x)}),$$

where $T_{(0,x)}$ is the integral operator on $L^2(0,x)$ given by the DPP kernel and det is a Fredholm determinant.

Typical gaps

On average, the gap between adjacent eigenvalues is $\frac{2\pi}{n}$.

How does a random matrix tend to vary from that?

Theorem (Soshnikov)

For s > 0, let $\eta(s)$ be the number of gaps $\geq \frac{2\pi}{n}s$ between adjacent eigenvalues of $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$. Then

$$rac{\eta(m{s}) - \mathbb{E}\eta(m{s})}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\eta(m{s})}} \xrightarrow{n o \infty} D N(0,1).$$

This result extends in various ways:

- to mesoscopic scales,
- to a process-level result for *s* > 0,
- to other groups.

Small gaps

Theorem (Vinson, Ben Arous-Bourgade)

Let γ_k denote the kth smallest gap between adjacent eigenvalues of $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$. Then $n^{4/3}\gamma_k$ converges in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to a random

Then $n^{\pi/2}\gamma_k$ converges in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to a random variable with density on $(0,\infty)$

$$\frac{3}{(k-1)!}x^{3k-1}e^{-x^3}.$$

Moreover, the point process $\{n^{4/3}\gamma_i\}$ converges to a Poisson point process with explicit intensity.

Big gaps

Theorem (Feng–Wei)

Let Γ_k denote the k^{th} largest gap between adjacent eigenvalues of $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_k = rac{\sqrt{\log n}}{2\sqrt{2}}(n\Gamma_k - \sqrt{32\log n}) - rac{3}{8}\log(2\log n)$$

converges in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to a Gumbel random variable with a certain mean.

Moreover, the point process $\{\widetilde{\Gamma}_i\}$ converges to a Poisson point process with explicit intensity.

In particular,
$$\Gamma_k \sim \frac{\sqrt{32\log n}}{n}$$

What else?

Some other types of asymptotic spectral results:

Mesoscopic results

• Asymptotics for characteristic polynomials.

• Eigenvalues of truncations of Haar-distributed random matrices.

Additional references

 Alexander Soshnikov, "Level spacings distribution for large random matrices: Gaussian fluctuations", Ann. of Math. (2) 148, pp. 573–617, 1998.

 Jade Vinson, Closest Spacing of Consecutive Eigenvalues, PhD thesis, Princeton University, 2001.

 Gérard Ben Arous and Paul Bourgade, "Extreme gaps between eigenvalues of random matrices", Ann. Probab. 41, pp. 2648–2681, 2013.

 Renjie Feng and Dongyi Wei, "Large gaps of CUE and GUE", arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.02149, 2018.